

PULSE OF THE FASHION INDUSTRY

Publisher: Global Fashion Agenda & The Boston Consulting Group

Copywriter: John Kerr, John Landry

Graphic Designer: Daniel Siim

Art director: Thomas Blankschön

Cover photo: Copenhagen Fashion Week

Photos: Machines documentary - Rahul Jaan I:CO/SOEX Group Copenhagen Fashion Week Greenpeace - Jeff Lau, Lu Guang, Andri Tambunan, Peter Caton, Rezza Estily, Liu Feiyue

Print: KLS PurePrint A/S

2017 Copyright ${\scriptstyle (\! \ C\!)}$ Global Fashion Agenda and The Boston Consulting Group, Inc.

All rights reserved. Reproduction is strictly prohibited without prior written permission from the authors.

Every effort has been made to trace the copyright holders for this publication. Should any have been inadvertently overlooked, Global Fashion Agenda and The Boston Consulting Group will be pleased to make the necessary changes at the first opportunity.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Sustainable Apparel Coalition for providing the data that made it possible to take the Pulse of the Fashion Industry, and the colleagues who contributed to this report: Jason Kibbey, Baptiste Carrière-Pradal, Julie Holst and Betsy Blaisdell.

A big thanks goes out to all the respondents who participated in the Pulse Survey.

In addition, we would like to thank all the experts that donated their time and expertise to make this report come to life, including Hendrik Alpen, Michael Beutler, Marc Binder, Marie-Claire Daveu, Sabine Deimling, Anna Gedda, Linda Greer, Sarah Jastram, Leslie Johnston, Ivanka Mamic, William McDonough, John Mowbray, Emmanuelle Picard-Deyme, Irene Quarshie, Harsh Saini, Mark Sumner, Géraldine Vallejo, Dilys Williams and Åsa Östlund

The authors would also like to thank all the members of the BCG fashion and sustainability community for their contribution to this report, including Olivier Abtan, Christine Barton, Filippo Bianchi, Bharat Khandelwal, Stefan Rasch and Christina Synnergren.

This report is Cradle to Cradle Certified[™] and environmentally neutral. The paper and ink contains no harmful chemicals or heavy metals, and are 100% biodegradable. Printed by KLS PurePrint A/S, a 100% sustainable printing house.



GLOBAL FASHION AGENDA

Global Fashion Agenda is a global leadership forum on fashion sustainability founded in 2016 and anchored around Copenhagen Fashion Summit, the world's principal event on sustainability in fashion for industry decision-makers. Global Fashion Agenda advances a yearround mission to mobilize the international fashion industry to transform the way we produce and consume fashion, for a world beyond next season. In partnership with sustainability pioneering industry leaders including Kering, H&M, Target, Li & Fung and Sustainable Apparel Coalition, Global Fashion Agenda sets the agenda for the industry on the most critical environmental, social and ethical issues, and advocates for a collective industry focus on the largest opportunities. Global Fashion Agenda is a not-for-profit initiative. For more information, please visit

www.copenhagenfashionsummit.com/global-fashion-agenda

THE BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP

The Boston Consulting Group (BCG) is a global management consulting firm and the world's leading advisor on business strategy. We partner with clients from the private, public and not-for-profit sectors in all regions to identify their highest-value opportunities. Our customized approach combines deep insight into the dynamics of companies and markets with close collaboration at all levels of the client organization. This ensures that our clients achieve sustainable competitive advantage, build more capable organizations, and secure lasting results. Founded in 1963, BCG is a private company with 85 offices in 48 countries. For more information, please visit www.bcg.com



SCCG The Boston Consulting Group

INTRODUCTION / PAGE 1 Towards a Better Fashion Industry

CHAPTER 1 / PAGE 7 The Case for Change is Indisputable

CHAPTER 2 / PAGE 25 Pulse Check of the Industry

CHAPTER 3 / PAGE 69 A Landscape for Change

CHAPTER 4 / PAGE 105 A Call for Collaboration and Innovation

CONTENTS





INTRODUCTION

The fashion industry has a clear opportunity to act differently, pursuing profit and growth while also creating new value for society and therefore for the world economy. It comes with an urgent need to place environmental, social, and ethical improvements on management's agenda.

In recent decades, the fashion industry has been an engine for global development. One of the world's largest consumer industries,¹ generating €1.5 trillion in annual apparel and footwear revenues in 2016,² it employs around 60 million people along its value chain.³

To continue the growth trajectory, the fashion industry needs to address its environmental and social footprint. The earth's natural resources are under pressure, and the fashion industry, although not the most obvious contributor, is a considerable one. Social conditions—also in the fashion industry—are far from those set forth in the United Nations' goals for sustainable development. With current trajectories of production and consumption, these pressures will intensify by 2030 to the point of threatening industry growth itself.

With resources becoming even scarcer, the industry will face rising costs from labor to materials and energy. Based on conservative projections, fashion brands' profitability levels are at risk in the range of at least *3 percentage points* if they don't act determinedly, and soon.

The facts show a clear need for acting differently. The good news is that by changing practices, the industry can both stop the negative impact and generate a high amount of value for society, while also protecting profitability. We estimate that the world economy would gain about $\notin 160$ billion annually if the fashion industry would successfully address those environmental and social issues.

As of today, the sustainability 'pulse' of the industry is weak. The newly developed global Pulse Score, a health measure for the sector (see page 28 for more details), is only 32 out of 100. The industry is not yet where it could and should be. The spread of performance is also quite large. The best performers on sustainability are the very big players as well as some mid-sized, family owned companies, while over half of the market, mainly small to medium-sized players, has shown little effort so far. The rest of the industry is somewhere in between. This is confirmed by the Pulse Survey (further information on pages 35/36), where two-thirds of polled fashion executives have not made environmental and social factors guiding principles for their companies' strategy.

Fashion brands with targeted initiatives will be best placed to improve their environmental and social footprint and counteract the rising costs of apparel production. They will pull ahead of their competitors with innovative ways of doing business and efficient production techniques that minimize the use of water, energy, and land, as well as hazardous chemicals. By realizing better working conditions and improving workers' safety, they will minimize their operational and reputational risks and create significant value for themselves and the world economy. These initiatives will improve the overall industry Pulse, raising the average and creating inspiring best practices for the low performers to learn from.

However, even if the entire industry caught up to the best practice front-runners, it would not be enough. Under optimistic and ambitious assumptions, only less than half of the \in 160 billion could be captured.⁴ The industry needs coordinated action *beyond today's solutions*. This report explains the size of the challenge and the need for innovation, collaboration and supporting regulatory action to close the gap.

About the report

The authors of this report—The Boston Consulting Group (BCG) and Global Eashion Agenda (GEA)—have developed a common fact base on the health of the industry, and have evaluated and quantified the overall opportunity for sustainability in fashion. GFA and BCG worked in close collaboration with GFA's strategic partners that have acted as a sounding board, comprising H&M, Kering, Li & Fung, Target, and the Sustainable Apparel Coalition (SAC). Further, the SAC has acted as an exclusive data provider through the Higg Index. BCG has analyzed this data in depth, and has complemented the input to get a representative metric on sustainability in fashion: the Pulse Score.

TOWARDS A VISION OF A BETTER FASHION INDUSTRY

This first edition of the Report on the Pulse of the Fashion Industry exposes the challenges in a number of sustainability impact areas and along the industry's value chain, from design and development to end-oflife for apparel and footwear. It aims to provide transparency on the industry's stance in terms of its environmental, social, and ethical footprintstopics that have been much debated, yet without a common baseline and framework against which to evaluate change. It also reviews ways in which the industry can maintain and even strengthen its profitability despite the pressures of rising costs.

The overarching objective of the report is to provide a direction and guidance towards a better fashion industry. As a starting point, the report provides a common fact base on the current sustainability performance of the industry. Based on that it lays out a Landscape for Change and presents pragmatic, concrete, and economical actions that are already available for producing palpable change. The report promotes collaboration and innovation as main drivers to accelerate change.

BOLDER LEADERSHIP NEEDED NOW

What will it take to tackle the changes necessary to improve the industry's standing—and to safeguard its profitability? First, it is important to acknowledge that many laudable efforts are already being made across the industry. Individually, many companies are striving to optimize business practices. Collectively, too, many initiatives have been launched with the goals of educating consumers, striving for substantial improvements, building broad industry networks dedicated to environmental, social and ethical objectives, and more,

There is no shortage of non-government organizations and private foundations to provide education, incentive, and oversight. There are also working groups, forums, and conventions, with the Global Fashion Agenda's annual Copenhagen Fashion Summit as the world's leading event on sustainability in fashion.⁵ Much effort has gone to develop transparency indexes as standard supply chain measurement tools, such as the Sustainable Apparel Coalition's (SAC) Higg Index that is already in use by many companies. These enable all industry participants to understand the environmental and social impacts of making and selling their products and services.6

All of these well-staffed and well-thought-out initiatives have helped companies make real progress in sustainability and built a foundation for future improvement. Despite those efforts, the pulse of the industry is weak. Therefore we advocate for a consolidation and realignment of efforts and resources towards high impact levers, with fewer and stronger initiatives. It's now time to work for the broad commitment necessary to make the extensive, industry-wide changes required. We need to go beyond campaigns driven by single entities that yield incremental results. Individual fashion brands cannot drive major changes on a large scale across value chains, impact areas and geographies. And individual governments cannot set the regulatory framework for a global industry.

We need well-orchestrated, system-wide actions that involve a broad coalition of stakeholders. That requires bold leadership: from fashion businesses in prioritizing, collaborating and committing to actions on critical areas for improvement; from bodies such as NGOs, industry associations, and consortia in coordinating and driving the cross-industry and cross-functional collaboration to propel change; and from regulators in amplifying change with supportive incentives—or in interfering with strong dictates when the industry moves too slowly.

All this is more easily said than done.

There is, however, every possibility that change can happen in a short time. The fashion industry has in-built advantages: the creativity that is its most emblematic trait and the public admiration it continues to enjoy. Supported by disruptive technologies, fashion has the talent, the networks, the funding, and all of the resources it needs to transform itself. Now is the time to start doing things differently.



CHAPTER



The fashion industry is highly fragmented, with thousands of actors involved and one of the most complex global production networks and supply chains. There is not a standard path for the cotton produced in one country, spun in another, dyed and processed in a different one and converted into a garment in a factory far away from the store. And often, there is no view of the 'real cost' incurred.

It is challenging then to truly gain an understanding of what the critical sustainability issues are and to fully grasp the magnitude of the value at stake.

In fact, there is a lack of reliable facts to guide action. It is not enough to respond to unsubstantiated statements such as "The global fashion industry is the second most polluting industry in the world". Data and agreed-upon links between cause and effect are what spark ideas, create conviction, and sponsor action. With this report, GFA and BCG intend to start building a frame of reference that transcends misconceptions and for the first time offer a common baseline of facts and ideas, empowering the fashion industry to act.

This chapter provides global environmental and social facts at a glance and looks at how they relate to the fashion industry. It also presents a projection to 2030 that assumes the fashion industry continues on its current trajectory of production and consumption. To highlight the opportunity, we conclude by calculating the value at stake for the world economy and arguments for businesses to start acting now.

2030: 8.5 BILLION PEOPLE WILL REQUIRE CLOTHING

If the global population rises as expected to 8.5 billion people by 2030^7 and the GDP per capita grows at 2% per year in the developed world and 4% in the developing world,⁸ GFA and BCG project that the overall apparel consumption will rise by 63%, from 62 million tons today to 102 million tons in 2030^9 —an equivalent of more than 500 billion T-shirts. Concurrently, soaring demand for apparel—much of it from developing nations—will see the annual retail value of apparel and footwear reach at least €2.0 trillion by 2030 (an over 30% increase of €500 billion between now and then).¹⁰

We explore below how the growth of the industry—in terms of value and volume—comes with increased environmental and social costs. We consider these mounting costs from the global and the industry perspective.

To gain a sense of the importance of each impact area, GFA and BCG have placed a monetary value on each externality. This enables a transparent illustration of how much value is at stake for the world economy—representing human economic activity, social and natural capital—in a tangible and comprehensive way. In this report we present exemplary evidence for the economic viability of sustainability initiatives. Estimating the full business opportunity for individual companies implementing sustainable practices will be a topic of future editions of the Pulse report. This assessment will be carried out in cooperation with corporate frontrunners on the subject.



Exhibit 3 Projected Environmental Impacts

Projected global fashion consumption¹ (Million tons)





ENVIRONMENTAL PRESSURES PUT €110 BILLION VALUE AT STAKE

When we look at the planet from the perspective of several planetary boundaries, delimiting an environmentally sustainable safe operating space for humanity, as defined by a group of earth environmental scientists led by researchers from the Stockholm Resilience Center and the Australian National University, it becomes clear that the planet is already facing significant tensions based on human activity.^{11,12} According to these researchers the planet is already beyond its safe operating space in terms of climate change, waste pollution, changes in land use, and biochemical output.^{13,14} (See Exhibit 1.) That means we face increasingly higher risk of destabilizing the state of the planet,¹⁵ which would result in sudden and irreversible environmental changes with potentially large damaging impact on the world economy.

stress on natural resources.

If production and consumption of apparel and footwear follow their current trajectories, increasing by another 63%, fashion's environmental footprint will continue to contribute to the negative impacts on the planet. (See Exhibit 3.)

The additional strain of an expanding environmental footprint can be observed on a number of impact areas, specifically water use, CO₂ emissions, use of chemicals, and generation and disposal of waste.

Given that the natural resources of the planet are already burdened, the projected increase in the industry's environmental footprint will exacerbate the situation. (See Exhibit 2.) In the worst case, the fashion industry will face distinct restrictions on one or more of its key input factors, leaving it unable to grow at the projected rate and in the long run unable to continue under its current operating model.

To understand the magnitude, context, and opportunities related to each area of environmental impact, it is useful to look at each in more detail.

Although the harm is, of course, not all due to the fashion industry, the industry's present linear business model is an obvious contributor to

Water Consumption

Although on average, global freshwater use has not yet exceeded its planetary boundary¹⁶ freshwater access is unevenly distributed across the planet. Certain areas of the world (e.g., North Africa, the Middle East, and South Asia) already live in a state of near-permanent water stress.¹⁷

The volume consumed by the fashion industry today is already large with nearly 79 billion cubic meters—enough to fill nearly 32 million Olympic-size swimming pools. GFA and BCG anticipate that water use will increase by 50% by 2030,¹⁸ which is critical, because some of the main cotton-producing countries such as China and India are located in areas that are already suffering from high or medium to high levels of water stress.¹⁹ Those levels are projected to become even more severe, as the shortfall between demand and supply of water is projected to reach 40%²⁰ by 2030. Thus, as water scarcity becomes more extreme, cotton-growing nations and the fashion industry may face the dilemma of choosing between cotton production and securing clean drinking water.

Estimating the value for the world economy (see *Impact Area Overview* on page 21/22 for more detail) of the 39 billion additional cubic meters expected to be consumed annually by 2030,²¹ results in €32 billion at stake per year. That is the potential benefit to the world economy if the fashion industry can find ways to consume no more water than it does today. The most significant water use occurs during the production of raw materials—notably in cotton cultivation—but many aspects of textile processing are also water intensive. Additionally, consumers are responsible for further consumption as they wash their clothes.

Energy Emissions

The level of atmospheric CO_2 already today exceeds by about 20% what is considered safe, according to the latest earth system research.^{22,23} The industry's CO_2 emissions are projected to increase by more than 60% to nearly 2.8 billion tons per year by 2030²⁴—the equivalent of emissions produced by nearly 230 million passenger vehicles driven for a year, assuming average driving patterns.

The value opportunity at stake to the world economy of improved energy management in the fashion industry is the largest in magnitude across all impact areas with €67 billion, representing effects such as shifting climate patterns. Because some of the fashion sector's primary manufacturing locations are particularly vulnerable to climate change and rising sea levels, large benefits can be reaped for both the world economy and the suppliers to the fashion industry. The industry's greatest impacts on the climate is from processing, followed by the use of apparel and the production of raw materials.^{25,26}

Chemicals Usage

The level of biochemical flows, represented by the flow of phosphorus from fertilizers to erodible soils, already exceeds *the safe operating space* by more than 220%.²⁷ Through cotton production, the fashion industry is a large user of fertilizers, with cotton consuming 4% of nitrogen fertilizers and phosphorous globally.²⁸ Excessive use of fertilizers can lead to runoff from the land into waterways. The negative effects include algal blooms depleting oxygen in the water.²⁹ Further, although the cultivation area of cotton covers only 3% of the planet's agricultural land, its production consumes an estimated 16% of all insecticides and 7% of all herbicides.³⁰ Finally, organic and inorganic toxic substances (such as mercury and arsenic) discharged to waterways from processing plants damage the environment.³¹ The impacts to human health of water pollution include toxins building up in the body, possibly leading to cancers, acute illnesses, or other conditions.

To approximate the monetary impact, these effects are tied to occupational illnesses attributed to carcinogens and airborne particulates (see Impact Area Overview for details, page 21/22). By eliminating such health impacts due to poor chemical management by 2030, an annual value of around €7 billion can be gained.

Waste Creation

Today, humankind produces 2.1 billion tons of waste per year.³² In terms of annual ecological footprint, the world's population already produces more than 1.6 times what the earth can absorb in the same time-frame.³³

Assuming today's current solid waste³⁴ during production and at end-of-use, the industry's waste will increase by about 60% between 2015 and 2030, with an additional new 57 million tons of waste generated annually.³⁵ This brings the total level of fashion waste in 2030 to 148 million tons—equivalent to annual waste of 17.5 kg per capita across the planet.³⁶ The vast majority of clothing waste ends up in landfills or is incinerated; globally, only 20% of clothing is collected for reuse or recycling.³⁷

THE FASHION INDUSTRY WILL FACE RESTRICTIONS ON ONE OR MORE OF ITS KEY INPUT FACTORS, RISKING GROWTH AT THE PROJECTED RATE

A large opportunity for value creation awaits the world economy if the fashion industry manages to convert textile waste into raw materials through the use of advanced recycling techniques (discussed in more depth in chapter 3). But this type of recycling technology is not yet available for a broad range of fibers and it has yet to be proved economically viable at scale. Therefore, the current value is based on pure waste reduction along a *linear* value chain. Consequently, the opportunity to the world economy is modest at around €4 billion per year in 2030—although under a circular model of production and consumption, this value would be manifold higher. OVER

34% OF THE TOTAL EMPLOYMENT IN MANUFACTURING ACROSS **KEY ASIAN PRODUCTION COUNTRIES**

6

THE MINIMUM WAGE IN

THE PHILIPPINES

OF WORKERS ARE NOT PAID

COUNTRIES LIKE INDIA OR

60-80% SHARE OF EXPORTS IN **COUNTRIES SUCH AS** BANGLADESH OR CAMBODIA

> **OF SALES ON COMMUNITY** SPENDING, WHILE THE **UN PROPOSES 0.7% IN ITS** DEVELOPMENT GOALS¹

> > ON AVG.

MINIMUM WAGES IN THE INDUSTRY ARE OF WHAT CAN BE CONSIDERED A LIVING WAGE

NON COMPLIANCE TO MINIMUM WAGES CAN BE AS HIGH AS

FOR WOMEN WHILE IT IS 27% FOR MEN IN PAKISTAN



COMPANIES ON AVG. SPEND

THE INDUSTRY HAS **INJURIES PER 100 WORKERS** PER YEAR, WHILE IN SUPPLIERS' FACTORIES OF A LARGE SPORTS GOODS MANUFACTURER NUMBER IS **ONLY 2.5**



 Fashion consumption of apparel and footwear
 The authors of this report do not recommend 120% min. wage as representative of a living wage; level of 120% min. wage taken to show general insufficiency of min. wage leve to make a living; further the taken threshold is advantageous due to data availability in ILO reports on min. wage compliance



The area of forested land that has been cleared for various uses, including land intended for cotton cultivation, has exceeded the safe operating space by 17%.^{38,39} By 2030, it is predicted that the fashion industry will use 35% more land for cotton, forest for cellulosic fibers, and grassland for livestock—altogether over 115 million hectares that could be used to grow crops for an increasing and more demanding population or to preserve forest.⁴⁰ A global population of 8.5 billion in 2030 will require a 60% increase in agricultural production in order to feed everyone,⁴¹ which, as with the case of water, will result in the dilemma of whether to produce raw materials for textiles or to grow food for an increasing population. This is a strong incentive for the fashion industry to consider the impact of its raw materials on land use and to shift the material mix toward less land-intensive inputs. The scarcity of arable land might lead to higher cost of land or even restricted access for non-food crops in the future.

SOCIAL PRESSURES PUTTING €50BN VALUE AT STAKE

With approximately 60 million people employed in the fashion industry⁴² and 26 million of those employed upstream,⁴³ the fashion industry has an opportunity to create large-scale social change for millions. It provides employment for roughly every third manufacturing worker across key Asian production countries⁴⁴ and is a key driver of economic growth, accounting for as much as 80% of merchandise exports in Bangladesh and 66% in Cambodia,⁴⁵ for instance. The mandate for the fashion industry to drive positive improvements becomes very apparent. (See Exhibit 4.)

The growth in apparel consumption will also leave its mark along several social impact areas, specifically labor practices, health and safety, and community and external engagement. (See Exhibit 5.) In the following, each impact area is addressed in more detail—in terms of both social pressures and opportunities.

Labor Practices

As recently as 2015, 10% of the world's workers and their families were living below the international poverty line of \leq 1.8 (in purchasing power parity) per day.⁴⁶ If current patterns persist, 4% to 6% of the world's population will still be below the poverty line in 2030, falling significantly short of the UN Sustainable Development Goal of zero poverty by 2030.⁴⁷ The fashion industry is not solely responsible for eradicating all poverty and hunger, but as a major employer and driver of economic prosperity in many developing countries, it is well placed to make a difference and improve social conditions.

In many Asian nations, the sector's minimum wages are less than half of what can be considered a living wage.⁴⁸ The gaps between minimum wages and living wages are equally staggering in Eastern Europe and Turkey.⁴⁹ This issue is heightened with the many factories that fail to comply with their countries' minimum wage laws. For example, in major textile manufacturing countries like India, the level of noncompliance reaches 51%.⁵⁰ If there is no systematic, concerted push to respond to those realities, more than one-third of workers in the sector globally are projected to be paid less than the minimum wage by 2030.⁵¹

At a global level, gender equality has an especially long journey ahead, with 52 countries lacking constitutionally guaranteed equality. Further, the United Nations views gender equality as "not only a fundamental human right, but a necessary foundation for a peaceful, prosperous and sustainable world."⁵² This gender inequality also manifests itself in the fashion industry, where women are particularly vulnerable to low wage levels due to persistent gender pay gaps. (In India, women face a pay gap of 39% compared with men for the same job; in Pakistan, that figure is as great as 48%.)⁵³ Further, women are more likely than men to be paid below the minimum wage. For instance, in Pakistan's garment sector, 87% of women are paid less than the minimum wage, while the figure is 27% for men.⁵⁴ This is critical, as women often constitute the majority of the apparel, footwear, and textile workforce—as much as 74% to 81% in Cambodia, Vietnam, and Thailand.⁵⁵

The topic of labor practices encompasses a broad range of social issues such as compensation, working hours, worker treatment, worker rights, gender equality, and child labor. In order to provide the broadest representation of this impact area, the focus in this part of the report is wages. Paying fair wages is a key area for the industry to act on. Because a large gap exists between minimum wages and living wages (see Impact Area Overview on page 21/22 for details), the first step could be for the industry to aim for 'extreme compliance' to minimum wages (paying 120% of the legal minimum) as reported by ILO.⁵⁶

Currently 14 million workers are paid below this 120%-threshold. If wages are not increased, that number is projected to exceed 21 million by 2030.⁵⁷ By not increasing the number of workers being paid less than this level, while maintaining the projected growth of the industry, there is an annual value opportunity at stake of approximately €5 billion by 2030.

Health and Safety

The early stages in the fashion value chain expose garment workers to health and safety concerns, ranging from factory fires to exposure to hazardous chemicals to working overtime. If business continues as usual,



THERE IS A €160 BILLION-PER-YEAR UPSIDE FOR THE WORLD ECONOMY ROUGHLY 11% OF THE CURRENT RETAIL VALUE OF THE GLOBAL APPAREL AND FOOTWEAR SECTOR



the number of recorded injuries in the industry is projected to reach 1.6 million by 2030 compared to 1.4 million today.⁵⁸ The increase in the total number of injuries is modest at 7%. This is attributed to a decreasing trend as the industry is already striving to provide a safer working environment. Nevertheless, there is room for improvement when comparing the 2015 average industry recordable injury rate of 5.6 with that of industry frontrunners—for instance Nike, with a recordable injury rate of 2.5 for 2015.⁵⁹

More than €32 billion a year can be reclaimed if the fashion industry were to succeed in preventing all workplace injuries (see Impact Area Overview on page 21/22 for details).

Community and External Engagement

Finally, it is worthwhile to compare the fashion industry's community spending levels with a few global benchmarks. The UN Millennium Development Goals stipulate that the governments of the world's wealthy countries should commit 0.7% of their gross national product to official development assistance to developing countries—a goal reaching back to the 1970s.⁶⁰ While nations and companies may not be directly comparable, it is interesting to note that fashion brands spend on average only around 0.2% of sales on community spending and other Corporate Social Responsibility-related activities.⁶¹ This is on a par with spending in the consumer electronics industry but lags far behind mining and pharmaceuticals, with averages of 0.4% and 1.2%, respectively.⁶²

If brands across the industry were to commit to increase spending to 0.7% (in line with UN goals) from 0.2% of sales, there is an annual value to be gained of €14 billion in 2030.

THE FASHION INDUSTRY HAS AN **OPPORTUNITY TO CREATE LARGE-**SCALE SOCIAL CHANGE FOR MILLIONS

In summary, GFA and BCG contend that there is a €160 billionper-year upside for the world economy that can be realized through more efficient and diligent use of scarce resources, by treating workers fairly, and by making progress on a range of issues up and down the value chain (see Exhibit 6 on page 20 and Impact Area Overview on page 21/22 for a summary). This is equal to roughly 11% of the current retail value of the global apparel and footwear sector or 90% of its current profit pool.

In addition to the environmental and social impact areas mentioned above, there is an ethical facet to a sustainable fashion industry. While we do not review in detail the ethical dimension in this first edition of the Pulse Report, it is by no means a trivial topic. The ethical



wage as representative of a living wage; level of 120% min. wage taken to show general insufficiency of min. wage lev to make a living; further the taken threshold is advanta-

dimension tackles challenging topics such as animal welfare, loss of biodiversity, corruption, and negative imagery - such as inadvertently pressuring girls and young women to live up to body ideals that might lead to eating disorders. These ethical reflections are important for the fashion industry to consider and are deemed to be a focus area in future issues of the report. We focus in this report on the impact areas mentioned above, due

tangible to the reader.

WHAT IS AT STAKE FOR BUSINESSES?

For businesses, acting differently than today and pursuing novel solutions offer an opportunity to maintain and ensure profitable growth going forward.

If no action is taken, fashion brands will find themselves likely squeezed between falling average per-item prices, deeper discount levels, rising costs, and resource scarcity along the value chain. Indeed, the sector today is built on a linear 'one-way street' of take, make, and waste: take, with raw material inputs that are becoming more expensive; make, with labor costing more and more; and waste, with value lost as clothing ends up in landfills.

19

to the availability of reliable data sources that would keep the subject

The value per unit represents the monetary value to the world economy of one unit of the indicated proxy. The overall value at stake represents the yearly total monetary value to the world economy at risk by 2030 if the fashion industry continues 'business as usual'

WATER

Chosen proxy

Water consumption (billion cubic meters)			
Value per unit ¹	Projected overall value at stake by 2030		
€0.81/m³	€32 billion per year		

Account for the year ended 31 December 201

ENERGY

Chosen proxy

Emissions of CO2-eq. (million tons)

Value per unit ²	Projected overall value at stake by 2030
€62/t	€67 billion per year

2. Kering. (2015). Environmental Profit & Loss (E P&L) - 2014 Group Results.

CHEMICALS

Chosen proxy

Pulse Score in chemicals (% achieved)

Value per unit	Projected overall value at stake by 2030
T€143/DALY	€7 billion per year

The total monetary value represents the potential benefit to the world economy if the fashion industry achieves the projected retail volume growth while consuming no more water by 2030 than it does today

The figures represent the effect of water consumption on society in terms of: health impacts (malnutrition): resource depletion: subsidy cost of water: opportunity cost of water: and the environmental impacts of the water supply sector.

The most significant usage is during raw material production - notably for cotton cultivation - but many aspects of textile processing are water-intensive too. Additionally, consumers are responsible for further consumption as they launder garments.

The value opportunity at stake here is the largest in magnitude across all impact areas. The overall value is calculated based on the industry avoiding all additional emissions through energy use projected in 2030 compared to today. It represents effects such as shifting climate patterns, sea levels rising and increasingly extreme weather events. With some of the fashion sector's primary manufacturing locations especially vulnerable to climate change and rising sea levels, there are large benefits to be reaped for both the world economy at large as well as for the suppliers to the fashion industry.

The climate impact of the fashion industry is largest during processing, followed by the use phase and raw materials production

The overall figure shows the value opportunity if the industry eliminates today's negative health impacts due to poor chemicals management by 2030.

Because of limited transparency in the early value chain and a plethora of different chemicals with varying levels of hazardous impact, it is difficult to choose a proxy that captures impacts ranging from pollution of waterways to the health effects of airborne chemicals. To encompass a multitude of initiatives aimed at better chemicals management, the chosen proxy is the Pulse Score in chemicals management, which is then tied to occupational illnesses attributed to carcinogens and airborne particulates measured in DALYs (disability-adjusted life-years). The €-value of each DALY lost due to mismanagement of chemicals in the workplace is estimated at €143,000³. This average value is calculated under consideration of a number of factors across large apparel and footwear producing nations such as the life expectancy, the value of a statistical life and number of garment workers in a given country.

A WASTE

Chosen proxy

Amount of waste (million tons)

Value per unit⁴

€66/t €4 billion per vear

4. BCG calculations, mainly based on PUMA. (2011). PUMA's Environmental Profit and Loss Account for the year ended 31 December 2010.

Projected overall value

at stake by 2030

The overall figure represents the value if the industry achieves to generate no more waste by 2030 than it already generates today while achieving the projected growth in retail volume

An immense value creation opportunity is at stake for the world economy if the fashion industry manages to convert waste into raw materials through the use of advanced recycling techniques. However, this type of recycling technology is not yet available for a broad range of fibers and it is yet to be proven economically viable on a large scale. The current value is therefore based on pure waste reduction along a linear value chain. The value per ton of waste represents effects such as emissions from decomposing waste (methane) and waste incineration (greenhouse gasses, air pollutants) and the effects of landfills and incineration sites (noise, dust, litter, odor, vermin, visual intrusion).

B LABOR PRACTICES

Chosen proxy

No. of workers earning <120% minimum wage (in millions) Projected overall value

Value per unit⁵ at stake by 2030

€642/worker €5 billion per year

& Cowgill, M. (2016). Weak minimum wage compliance in Asia's garment industry. ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. (Asia-Pacific Garment and Footwear Sector Research Note, [5]); Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Living Wage in Asia; Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Stitched Up: Poverty Wages for Garment Workers in Eastern Europe and Turkey; results from the Boston Consulting Group and

The overall value shows the value opportunity by not further increasing the number of workers being paid less than 120% of the local minimum wage while maintaining the projected growth of the industry. Labor practices encompass a broad range of social issues such as compensation, working hours, worker treatment, worker rights, gender equality and child labor. Paving fair wages is a key area for the industry to act. One speaks of 'fair wages' when those are able to support the worker as well as two adult dependents or one adult and two children or four children. covering food, clothing, housing, travel costs, children's education, health costs and 10% towards discretionary income (e.g. savings, pension). The level of 120% of minimum wage is chosen to reflect the fact that the local minimum wage in the main textile-producing countries is often considered insufficient to make a living⁶. It does not represent a recommended level

The value per worker represents the opportunity to the world economy of increased economic consumption and increased private investments.

🍽 HEALTH & SAFETY

Chosen proxy

No. of recorded ir	njuries (in millions)
Value per unit ⁷	Projected overall value at stake by 2030

0 by 2030.

T€21/injury €32 billion per vear The value per unit represents the cost to the world economy per worker injury, representing such effects as shorter healthy life expectancy, risk of premature death due to occupational hazards, and inability to provide for the worker's family.

7. BCG calculation, based on Nike Inc. (2015). Sustainable Innovation Is a Powerful Engine for Growth - Sustainability Business Report; National Safety Council. (2015). The ROI of Safety – Injury Facts; PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (2015). Valuing cor-porate environmental impacts: PwC methodology Document; World Health Organization. (2017). Global Health Observatory (GHO) Data: Life Expectancy]; Markandya, A. (1999). The valuation of health impacts in developing countries. Environmental Economics and Policy Making in Developing Countries.; Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Living Wage in Asia.

COMMUNITY & EXTERNAL ENGAGEMENT

Chosen proxy

Foregone communityspending (in billion €)

Value per unit⁸

The overall value opportunity to society is estimated based on the industry increasing community spending and other CSR-related activities to 0.7% of sales from today's level of 0.2%. Today, the fashion industry is not on par with other industries with regard to community spending; for instance, the pharmaceuticals and mining sectors donate 1.2% and 0.4% respectively.

Projected overall value at stake by 2030

€1.5/€1 spent €14 billion per year

8. BCG calculation, based on results from the Boston Consulting Group and the World Food Programme. (2009). School Feeding Cost Benefit Analysis.

WETHICAL PRACTICES

As the ethical impact area comprises many, yastly different topics, representing it with a single proxy would go too short, even more so than in other impact areas. Furthermore, the overall value of many ethical issues can hardly be represented by monetary values based on currently available research and methodologies. Further, available monetary estimates for single topics lack applicability to the fashion industry and/or do not allow for a delimited consideration of the industry's impacts. We therefore decided to exclude this impact area in this type of analysis.

The overall value represents the opportunity to the world economy if the fashion industry succeeds in eliminating workplace injuries from a current average of 5.6 per 100 workers to

This represents effects such as increased quality of life for workers and their families.

3. The source of this value are BCG calculations based on PWC (2015); WHO (2017); Markandya (1998); Clean Clothe Campaign (2014); SAC Higg Facility Module (2017); BCG

6. See amongst others ILO (2015). Employment, wages and working conditions in Asia's Garment sector: Finding new drivers of competitiveness. ILO Asia-Pacific Working Paper Series. Bangkok: ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific

Exhibit 7 Exemplary P&L For A Fashion Brand

Continuing Business As Usual Will Place 3 Percentage Points EBIT at Risk



 Note that we do not assume the same growth rate for every year in the study, so the CAGR represents an indication of magnitude over 15 years Source: BCG analysis

Between now and 2030, the industry's labor costs are expected to continue to outpace growth in retail value. While retail value is projected to grow at 2% annually,⁶³ the cost of labor in large garment-producing countries is expected to grow at least 4%⁶⁴ and as much as 5% a year.^{65,66} While this is a positive development for the industry's workers and supported by many brands, it does increase the pressure on fashion brands' profitability.

While cotton prices have been projected to remain relatively stable at a projected real annual growth of 1%,⁶⁷ we would assume that figure could increase given increasing water scarcity worldwide and how it might affect the cost of future cotton production. Energy prices are projected to increase steadily, with annual growth of at least 2.3%⁶⁸ to as much as 3.5% over the same period.⁶⁹ While energy is estimated to account for 6% to 10% of production and material costs, the greatest cost impact of energy prices is contributed by the close correlation between oil prices and the price of polyester.⁷⁰

The consequences for fashion brands are at hand: even if base-case projections are used for growth in energy prices and in wages, GFA and BCG project that, by 2030, fashion brands will see a decline in EBIT margins of more than 3 percentage points if they continue 'business as usual.'⁷¹ (See Exhibit 7.) That adds up to approximately €45 billion per year of profit reduction for the industry as a whole.

There are good reasons to consider the possibility of still higher costs. Wages may rise faster in the fashion sector than in other industries as the industry draws more public attention for its environmental impact and its low minimum wage compliance. Also, oil prices may rise faster than anticipated—particularly should strife in the Middle East expand or Venezuela experiences an economic collapse. In addition, governments may up prices on increasingly scarce water.

GFA AND BCG PROJECT THAT, BY 2030, FASHION BRANDS WILL SEE A DECLINE IN EBIT MARGINS OF MORE THAN 3 PERCENTAGE POINTS IF THEY CONTINUE BUSINESS–AS–USUAL

If energy, water prices, and labor costs grow strongly, the industry's profitability will be under even more pressure. Factoring in the negative externalities of increased water use (such as health impacts from water deficiency) in the price of water puts another 2 percentage points of fashion brands' margins at risk. The high-case assumption for labor costs adds another 2 percentage points and the same assumption for energy costs inflates that figure by an additional 9 percentage points.⁷²

Through investments in water, energy, and waste efficiency as well as labor productivity already feasible today, fashion brands will be able to counteract in a lasting manner several of those percentage points due to the cost pressures outlined above. As this report will show, there is a viable business case for environmental and social measures. The report also lays out the Landscape for Change and highlights the economic viability of robust, committed, long-term initiatives.



PULSE CHECK OF THE INDUSTRY REVEALS THE NEED TO INTENSIFY EFFORTS

Worldwide, the fashion industry does not perform well on sustainability. Its overall pulse is weak, with a score of just 32 out of 100, and some dimensions are far below that figure. This chapter takes the pulse along two dimensions: 1) the overall fashion value chain, from design to disposal; and 2) eight impact areas, from water use and carbon footprint to labor conditions and ethical stance.

GFA and BCG analyze, for the first time, the detailed data from the Sustainable Apparel Coalition's Higg Index-the industry's self-assessment tool for environmental and social impacts throughout the supply chain. We complemented the Higg Index results with a survey of industry executives (the Pulse Survey), as well as with multiple interviews with experts, to arrive at an overall Pulse Score for the entire global fashion industry.

The Pulse is not uniformly weak across the fashion industry. There is a considerable gap in sustainability performance by segment and company. The clear driver of sustainability is company size, not price positioning. So the largest enterprises and a few sustainability-focused niche players are most advanced, while small and midsize companies, which together account for more than half of the industry, rate lowest. (See Exhibit 8.) These low-rating entities are a blind spot in addressing sustainability. Companies in the top revenue quartile have an average Pulse Score of 63, while bottom-quartile contenders are at 11.

THE FASHION INDUSTRY **DOES NOT PERFORM WELL ON** SUSTAINABILITY, WITH A PULSE SCORE OF ONLY 32 OUT OF 100

> Not surprisingly, the Pulse Survey showed executives of large fashion brands indicating a stronger commitment of funds, head count, and other resources to progress toward sustainability than those from small companies. (See Exhibit 9.) Projections show the same pattern. Small brands constituting around half of the industry, are lacking the knowledge and resources to significantly improve their footprint. They also have little control over and transparency along their supply chains. Even when their intent is good, they lack the critical reach to effect change.

PERFORMANCE GAPS ACROSS SEGMENTS. VALUE CHAIN STAGES AND IMPACT AREAS



TAKING THE PULSE **OFTHE FASHION INDUSTRY**

WHY A PULSE SCORE?

The Pulse Score is a global and holistic baseline of sustainability performance in the fashion sector. It is based on Sustainable Apparel Coalition's proprietary Higg Index and extends its scope to extrapolate its findings to the entire industry. The Higg index is the most extensive and representative existing transparency measurement tool of the industry. It covers the majority of large companies and was extended to gain a view on currently underrepresented small to medium-sized players.

Gaining full transparency on the sustainability level of the industry as a whole is important because it gives the industry a common understanding of what the most critical issues across the value chain and by impact areas are. Perhaps more important, it creates a foundation for the landscape for change, channeling investment and innovation into those areas that smart businesses will capture and benefit from.

As the Pulse report will be released annually, the Pulse Score further allows tracking the progress of the industry over time.

METHODOLOGY

The Pulse Score was developed based on:

SAC Higg Index Brand Module as underlying data set source, clustered into segments to detect patterns¹

And complemented by:

- 1. Expert interviews going through Higg Index Brand Module questions to test patterns and validate and pressure testing answers live with Sustainability Managers
- 2. Pulse Survey answers to reconfirm sustainability patterns and performance to increase sample size and fair market representation further
- **3.** Expert sounding board to validate and discuss results

To get a representative view of the entire market, results were analyzed by company size and price positioning and reweighted according to the overall market structure based on revenue contribution.

1. The brand module is unverified, meaning in this context that it is based on a self assessment and that it has not been

About the Higg Index

The Higg Index, developed by the Sustainable Apparel Coalition, is a suite of self-assessment tools that empower brands, retailers and facilities of all sizes, at every stage of their sustainability journey. to measure their impact on environmental and social dimensions and to identify areas for improvement.

The Higg Index has three modules: brand, facilities and product. The brand module measures amongst others the degree of transparency, environmental/social impact tracking as well as fashion brands' collaboration with facilities. The facilities module focuses on environmental and social measures implemented by fashion-industry suppliers. The product module provides general frameworks to be utilized especially by brands in their design processes to optimize design and material choices with regard to sustainability.

THE PULSE SCORE

The Pulse is a performance score for measuring and tracking the sustainability of the global fashion industry on key environmental and social impact areas. By design it is impossible to achieve a score of 100 on sustainability, as this is intended to be aspirational

Overall, the Pulse Score of the fashion industry is:

Measured on a scale from



>=70

60-69

<20

Strong 50-59 40-49 30-39 20-29

Weal

28

Exhibit 8 Average Pulse Scores Across Market Segments and Revenue Sizes



Weak

The report reveals that so called 'fast fashion' does not automatically represent a threat to the environment and the world economy. At least the large high-street players score consistently higher than most of the market. Many large entry-price high-street and sportswear brands achieve strong Pulse Scores, as do the small 'sustainability champions'. But most small and midsize premium brands show scores in the midfield.

The premium/luxury segment in the Pulse Score analyses comprises a broad selection of players from 'upper middle premium bridge' to highend luxury. Large luxury conglomerates show strong performance, thanks Exhibit 9 Current and Future Commitment to Sustainablity Initiatives by Fashion Executives



rce: BCG analysis; SAC Higg Index Brand Module, Jan

among other reasons to better labor conditions at their production sites, located mostly in higher-wage European countries.

The data indicates that family-owned brands are taking a stronger leadership role, as public companies are more likely to follow shareholders' expectations for short-term value maximization. Yet we can learn from the positive examples of some listed front-runner companies with credible activities.

Geographically, European brands score better along environmental dimensions, while US brands are more compliant on social and labor practices.

VALUE CHAIN

Pulse Scores also differ along the value chain. (See Exhibit 10.) The end-of-use and raw material stages are at an average score of 9 and 17, respectively, while design and development is little better at 22. Processing and transportation are the highest at 38 and 41. Some stages may enjoy better scores because their solutions are manageable for the company

Strong

PERFORMANCE DIFFERENCES ALONG THE

	Design & development	Raw materials	Processing	Manufacturing	Transportation	Retail	Use
Total	22	17	38	28	41	28	23
Top quartile	37	47	66	56	67	33	24
2nd quartile	22	16	43	26	47	35	26
3rd quartile	19	4	29	22	34	29	29
Bottom quartile	10	2	14	11	17	14	14

ote: Quartiles weighted by revenue: Normalized unverified data Source: BCG analysis; SAC Higg Index Brand Module, Jan 2017; Expert Interviews

GAPS BY IMPACT AREA

We see further gaps and imbalances when we look across areas of impact. (See Exhibit 11.) Brands are more likely to return higher scores in areas like health and safety, which are regularly in the media spotlight and, especially in Europe, under regulatory scrutiny. Chemical use, subject to the EU's REACH (Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and restriction of Chemicals) laws, also delivers higher scores for many fashion brands: a Pulse Score of 37. But waste management and water management, which get much less consumer attention, are at only 20. If we examine impact areas by revenue quartiles, the trends are much the same. Energy shows the biggest gap, at 58 points, while waste - where the top quartile is at a mediocre 24, shows a difference of only 12 points.

THE CLEAR DRIVER OF SUSTAINABILITY IS COMPANY SIZE, NOT PRICE POSITIONING

by itself, while improvements at stages such as end-of-use require broad collaboration and scaled-up initiatives.

There are substantial gaps in performance between top- and bottom-quartile companies in most stages. The gap is biggest in transportation, with a 50-point difference, and in raw materials and manufacturing, which both show a gap of 45 points. These are stages of low-hanging-fruit for sustainability, where leaders have shown what is possible.

Other stages such as consumer use, where all companies did poorly (only a 10-point gap), require greater attention and a collective push forward. As the survey confirmed, firms are committing far less funds, head count, or other resources to stages at the beginning and the end of the value chain and are currently not planning to do so in the future.



Impact Areas Under Regulatory and Public Spotlight Get Higher Pulse Scores





Note: Quartiles weighted by revenue; Normalized – unverified data Source: BCG analysis; SAC Higg Index Brand Module, Jan 2017; Expert Interviews

THE REALITY AS **EXPERIENCED BY FASHION** SUSTAINABILITY PROFESSIONALS

STATUS QUO

Half of companies have extensive sustainability target setting nearly all participants have at least a few targets

"Are there sustainability related company targets (such as reduction of CO2 emissions by x% by 2020 or at least x% of suppliers meeting specific labor standards by 2018)?"

14% No, there are no sustainability related company targets

30% Yes, but only a few sustainability related company targets exist

Yes, multiple sustainability related company targets exist 56% (more than five)

% of participants

About the Survey

BCG and GFA polled over 90 senior managers responsible for sustainability issues across a range of fashion firms, from large international brand names to small and medium-sized companies spanning various price tiers and distribution models globally.

The managers were asked about the integration of sustainability topics in their organization and its sustainability strategy as a whole, and queried about specific focus topics along impact areas and value chain steps. Additionally, their views were sought on which barriers prevent progress and which stakeholders should take responsibility. The respondents were also invited to share their perspectives on the best ways to advance the industry's standing on sustainability.

Integration of targets into business steering is, however, limited...

"What role do these sustainability related company targets play in the overall strategy?"

Not currently used 1%

< 1%

1-5%

6-15%

16-30%

31-50%

I don't know

>50%

They are not yet an influencing part of the company's strategy 58% They are included in the company's strategy but other factors mostly guide decision making

They drive the company's strategy, acting as a 34% guiding principle for nearly every decisi



34%

They are factored in when evaluating (senior) management performance but do not influence executive compensation

mance assessr

They are factored in when evaluating (senior) management performance and influence executive compensation

...and sustainability initiatives have little consumer-facing exposure

"Which share of your volume is made of sustainable materials (e.g., organic, recycled, re-generated, fair trade, BCI, Tencel[®])?

14%

Estimated avg.:

survey sample

ment ranking after NGOs

"Which stakeholder groups are most

influential in shaping your company's

26% sustainable materials across

Respondents say status quo mainly influ-

enced by regulators, with senior manage-

"Which share of your revenue is currently achieved with products explicitly marketed as sustainable?"







PATH TO CHANGE

Consumers willingness to pay, missing regulation and collaboration seen as main barriers to progress

"In your view, which barriers exist today hindering your company from becoming more sustainable (environmentally, socially and ethically)?"4



Responsibility to drive industry progress put upon other stakeholders

"To whom would you attribute the major responsibility for driving the industry towards more sustainability?"5

Overall SME <€0.45 Consumers Investors/Shareholders Industry Association Governments/policy makers / regulators NGOs Brand / Retailer Suppliers Employees Competitors Local Communities affected by operations 49

Participants final remarks

"We strongly believe that now is the time for the industry to act collectively and roll out common tools like the SAC Higg Index or the ZDHD MRSL and Wastewater Guideline. However while most brands and retailers would support such a statement. the adoption of commonly developed tools by brands and retailers is often slow. leading to confusion and double efforts in the supply chain.

"Building a Sustainable Business is a market share game as only **Sustainable Businesses** can survive and thrive in a Sustainable World and a Sustainable World can only contain Sustainable Businesses.

"I believe in joined forces and that increased pressure from international political level is needed, together with a **commercial "under-**standable project" like a yearly "Textile/Environmental Band Aid" project to put focus on the problems we are facing right now and not only in the future.

"Sustainability is no longer optional it is a must.

"I believe that the **companies' risk-averse** attitude to invest in new technologies and research will separate forerunners from the ones that get left behind.

The role of research and development in a wider sense than just product development will increase in the future. The companies that are able to turn their company culture into innovative one are the ones to shine in consumers minds in the future.

The challenges that our industry is facing cannot be beaten alone. We need collaboration and our competitors need to become our partners. The main competitive advantage of design brand and retailers is not in the materials we use, it's in the design

Estimate based on mid-value of survey categories - e.g. 1-5% interpreted as

Participants were asked to chose their top 5 influencing parties, percentages

Totolphila who backed broke their top of infections parties, percentages show share of top 3 ranks given
 Based on MIT / BCG survey amongst Managers to C-Suite across industries (BCG Market Research; MIT Sloan Management Review / BCG Report "Sustain-ability's Next Frontiers", Dec 2013)

sustainability agenda?"2

Mid-Size €0.45-4.4Bn	Big Players >€4.4B
38%	36%
38%	36%
41%	14%
38%	29%
34%	36%
34%	29%
17%	14%
24%	14%
10%	36%
10%	

Survey sample

Region of Headquarter						
	65%			23%	5	12%
Europe	Americas	Asi	ia Pacifi	с		
Company Size						
13% 4%	24%	11%	11%	12%	27	%
>€9B €4.5-9B	€0.9-4. €450-8	4B 89M	€1 €4	80-449M I5M-179M	_ <€	45M
Company Price S	egment					
7%	44%			35%		11%
2% Premium Lower middle price Luxury Mid-market Discount						

Participants were asked to chose their top 5 barriers, per centages show share of top 3 ranks given
 Participants were asked to chose their top 5 responsible

EXAMINING THE PULSE FROM DESIGN TO END-OF-USE

To help the industry break through its environmental, social, and ethical challenges, this report assesses the industry's level of sustainability at each value chain step and identifies key issues. (See Exhibit 12.) It also calls attention to the eight impact areas chosen for this report. (See Exhibit 13.) This assessment draws on GFA's and BCG's proprietary analyses of the Pulse Score and the Pulse Survey.

Exhibit 12 The Fashion Value Chain

Design & Development

Raw materials

Processing
Manufacturing
Transportation
Retail
Use
End of use

Exhibit 13 Eight Areas in Which the Fashion Industry Can Make a Difference

Environmental	
Water	Consumption of freshwater, output and processing of wastewater
Energy	Use of renewable energies and CO2 emission management
Chemicals	Amount and toxicity of employed chemicals, processing of utilized chemicals
Waste	Amounts and types of waste generated, treatment of waste
Social	
Labor practices	Compensation, working hours, worker treatment, worker involvement, worker rights (to vacation, to form unions etc), gender equality, child labor
Health & safety	Facility standards (fire doors, sufficient emergency exits etc; established emergency procedures/training), exposure to chemicals and dangerous equipment
Community & external engagement	Interactions with and services for the community, such as providing education facilities for children of factory workers, engagement with external stakeholders and consumers
Ethical	
Unethical practices	Corruption, animal welfare, use of models and imagery that sets a poor standard



DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT

Exhibit 14 Water, Chemicals, and Waste Impact Highly Influenced in Design Phase

Impact	area	Magnitude of impact	Biggest drivers
\bigcirc	Water	Very high	Choice of materials, e.g., high water consumption of cotton Choice of colors (dyeing), finishing
(†)	Energy	Medium	Choice of fabrics, e.g., oil as input for polyester
	Chemicals	High	Choice of material treatments, e.g., softening of fabrics Choice of colors (dyeing)
(A) Ze	Waste	High	Choice of recycled fabrics and blends Design for longevity Choice of cuts and possibility to glue
	Labor practices	Low	Design implies choice of supplier due to necessary capabilities
	Health & safety	Low	Design implies choice of supplier due to necessary capabilities
(\$¥)	Community & ext. eng.	Very low	
I	Ethical practices	High	Choice of materials (animal welfare) Influence on marketing and trends Choice of cuts and sizing setting role models



In the design and development phase, brands can reduce lifecycle impacts by considering the foot- nies that best connect design to sustainability are big print of proposed garments upfront. (See Exhibit 14.) Designs, especially the choice of raw materials, deter- Their scores are around 40. mine much of a garment's destiny and impact. The fiber mix of a garment can impede or facilitate recycling, while the colors and prints will limit the options stage with the second largest difference between for dyes and process chemicals.

come two challenges. One is the lack of awareness of their influence on the environmental and social footprint, which goes hand in hand with the absence of tools to assess their impact. The other is many brands' tendency to 'design to cost', letting immediate materials costs drive design choices-instead of total environmental and social costs over the entire value chain. With a full understanding of the implications of their decisions, they can adjust their designs to lighten the load for the entire production process.

The overall score in this stage of the value chain is just 22, well below the overall average of 32. Apart

from a few niche sustainability champions, the compainternational sportswear and large entry-price players.

The Pulse Survey further confirms that fashion design has significant catch-up potential: It is the fashion brands' current low level of commitment and The design function in fashion brands has to over- their intent to focus on this area in the future.

> Indeed, some leading brands have made headlines with more sustainable designs. Nike has "designed out" waste from the start with its FlyKnit collection of footwear, whose one-piece upper avoids multiple stitched or glued panels, cutting waste volume during production by 60%.⁷³ (See Encouraging Moves). But the industry would still benefit from universal design standards discouraging fiber combinations that pollute, harm, or consume excessive resources, and raising awareness in designers of their role and their collective impact.

ENCOURAGING MOVES

Adidas: The brand released athletic shoes under their 'No Dye' design principles, using materials in their natural 'greige' colour to avoid water or chemical use due to dyeing.

www.adidas-group.com/en/sustainability/products/sustainability-innovation/#/adidas-nodye/

TED (Textile Environment Design): Practice-based sustainable design strategies that assist designers in creating textiles that have a reduced impact on the environment. Their approach is summarized in their report The TEN, including such topics as Design to minimize waste. Design for cyclability, and Design to reduce the need to consume. The TED team has led customized training activities at companies including VF Corporation to teach about sustainable design. www.tedresearch.net/teds-ten-aims/

Levi's: In 2013, the brand released its Wellthread product development process and clothing line focused on sustainability. Instead of following a cost of goods target, the aim was to "do the right thing at every decision point". This resulted in complexity reductions and decisions against producing certain products if this aim could not be achieved. The company states it was able to lower its price points by 30% year over year through this approach, achieving profitability, as indicated by a company representative in an interview in Ecouterre. www.levistrauss.com/sustainability/products/levis-wellthread-collec-

RAW MATERIALS

Exhibit 15 Water, Energy, Chemicals, and Ethical Practices Drive Footprint in Raw-Material

Impact area	Magnitude of impact	Biggest drivers
Water	High	Irrigation methods, e.g., choice between conventional cotton versus more sustainably sourced cotton
Energy	High	Using recycled plastics/fibers, e.g., replacing virgin polyester
Chemicals	High	Amount and frequency of fertilizer and pesticide use
へ と 、 Waste	Very low	
Labor practices	Medium	Low level of wages Prevalence of child labor
Health & safety	Medium	Safeguarding safety standards
(\$¥ € Community & ext. eng.	Very low	
Ethical practices	High	Guaranteeing animal welfare Extensive land use, consideration of use for food production

THE BAW MATERIALS STAGE HAS A DISPROPORTIONATELY LARGE IMPACT **ON SUSTAINABILITY, PARTLY BECAUSE OF** THE EFFECT IT HAS ON RECYCLABILITY

Exhibit 16 Cradle to gate environmental impact by material

The raw materials stage also has a disproportionately large impact on sustainability, partly because of the effect it has on recyclability. It involves the cultivation and sourcing of base materials, such as natural and synthetic fibers. (See exhibit 15.) Suppliers at this stage are referred to as Tier 3, whereas Tier 2 refers to as 5. processing and Tier 1 to manufacturers.

Data from the Higg Materials Sustainability Index (MSI), a cradle-to-gate material scoring tool by the Sustainable Apparel Coalition (SAC), shows that the materials with the overall highest environmental impact are leather and natural fibers (silk, cotton, wool). (See Exhibit 16.) These materials show the highest negative impacts across all dimensions. And even and explicitly marketed as such. within one type of material there are considerable differences. Water use for cotton depends a great deal on the method of cultivation, while incorporating recy-

cled polyester reduces a garment's energy footprint. The raw materials stage as a whole scores next to last in the value chain, at 17. But there is wide variation: the niche sustainability champions and large players reach scores of 60, while smaller companies come in as low

The Pulse Survey confirms the Pulse Score findings. Large players are certainly more cognizant of the impact of their raw materials. Interestingly, the survey asked respondents to estimate what proportion of raw materials were sustainable (e.g., organic, recycled, regenerated, fair trade, BCI, Tencel®), and the average was a fifth. Yet only a tenth was labeled as sustainable

There is a small but perceptible shift toward broader use of sustainably sourced materials. (See Encouraging Moves). One marker is the rising share of or-



Chemistry

Abiotic Resource Depletion, Fossil Fuels

Scoring currently qualitative. Once methodology is more mature, ecotoxicity and human toxicity will be assessed quantitatively in the MSI. Data collection is ongoing. Prevalent in the raw materials and processing phases

Global Warming

Emissions of greenhouse gasses. Prevalent in processing and manufacturing phases

Depletion of natural resources faster than they can be replenished. Prevalent in raw materials and manufacturing (sundries and packaging)

Water Scarcity

Environmental damages of water use for human health, ecosystem quality, and resources Prevalent in the raw materials and processing phases

Eutrophication

Excessive richness of nutrients in a lake or other body of water, frequently due to runoff from the land, causing dense growth of plant life and death of animal life from lack of oxygen. Prevalent in the raw materials phase

Source: SAC Higg Materials Sustainability Index (MSI), Jan 2017; Levi's (2015); H&M (2017); BCG Analysis



ganic cotton, which can have only a quarter of the environmental impact of conventional cotton.⁷⁴ Another ity comparable to that of virgin materials, but only is the active research into "classic" natural fibers such as hemp, flax, linen, and even nettle, all biodegradable. Although finishing processes for such fibers still limit their widespread use, these fibers generally require less water and fertilizer, and have greater natural resistance to weeds, which means that fewer herbicides material over time and hence creating a lower-value are needed.

ocell, established now for years, consists of cellulose fibers made from dissolving pulp, for instance from wood (Tencel®) or bamboo (Monocel®). Bio-based Nylon 6.6 (one name is RENNLON[®]) comes from glucose and other renewable feedstock, and is in the early stages of commercialization. Other promising areas include research and prototyping on entirely new kinds of fibers, such as a merino wool-like yarn made of gelatin (undergoing trials at ETH Zürich), and leathery materials made from materials such as pineapple change. leaves (from start-up Ananas Anam).

Also encouraging are indices and apps from some brands that show designers the environmental impact of different materials and combinations.

Recycling the fibers would mitigate much of the environmental impact of raw materials, but current technology can cause a 75% loss of value in just the first cycle.75

ogies.77

The economics of recycled materials are unappealing at present, as for example recycled polyester is 10% more expensive compared to virgin materials.⁷⁸ Even though, as outdoor brand Patagonia estimates, recycling saves 75% of the energy needed and 40% of the CO₂ compared to using virgin polyester,⁷⁹ companies will make little headway until those numbers To truly close the loop of the fashion value chain,

both the technology and economics of recycling need to improve dramatically, ideally with a single standard to help with scaling up to commercialization. Getting there will require technological disruption, industry-wide collaboration and, hence, willingness to invest to truly move the needle.

ENCOURAGING MOVES

BCI Cotton: The Better Cotton Initiative (BCI) aims to reduce the environmental impact of cotton production and improve the conditions under which it is produced. It claims a current share of 8% in global cotton production, targeting 30% by 2020-a first step in the right direction.

www.bettercotton.org/about-bci/

Lindex: The mid-sized Swedish brand focuses on materials with reduced impact. In 2016, 63% of its cotton use was organic. Overall, it could triple its use of 'more sustainable materials' from 17% in 2013 to over 50% today, including materials such as recycled polyester or **Tencel**[®]

www.about.lindex.com/en/blend/

Nike: Its materials sustainability index allows design teams to compare the environmental impacts of 57,000 different materials. www.about.nike.com/pages/sustainable-innovation/

Chemical recycling can produce fibers of a qualfor polyesters and nylons at present, and with added chemical by-products.⁷⁶ Mechanical recycling works for natural materials, but the shredding usually leaves the individual fibers much shorter. As such it is a downcycling technology, reducing the quality of the product, eventually ending up in a landfill. The mixing There are also novel bio-based raw materials. Ly- of fibers is another challenge: the addition of elastane, for example, precludes recycling with current technol-

> Worn Again: This start-up builds on a collaboration with large fashion brands. The venture's chemical-recycling technology addresses major barriers in textile-to-textile recycling, namely how to separate blended fibers and how to separate dyes and other contaminants from polyester and cellulose. Worn Again aims to provide an alternative to the use of virgin polyester. www.wornagain.info/

PROCESSING

Exhibit 17 Both the Environmental and the Social Footprints Have a Large Impact in the Processing Phase

Impact area		Magnitude of impact	Biggest drivers			
\bigcirc	Water	High	Water use in dyeing Water use in cleaning, rinsing of fibers			
	Energy	Very high	Share of renewable energy use Energy efficiency of equipment			
	Chemicals	Very high	Lack of waste water treatment in dyeing Chemicals for fiber treatments			
$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1} \\ \zeta_{2} \end{pmatrix}$	Waste	Medium	Waste of fibers/fabrics (e.g., roll ends, off-cuts, samples)			
	Labor practices	Very high	Low level of wages, non-compliance to min. wage laws, gender inequality Worker wellbeing, bonded and child labor			
	Health & safety	Very high	Building safety Chemical exposure of workers			
(\$¥) €	Community & ext. eng.	Low				
F	Ethical practices	Low	Prevalence of corruption			

The processing phase includes spinning, weaving, and other preparation of fabrics, all activities with substantial environmental and social footprints.⁸⁰ (See Exhibit 17.)

This stage has the second-highest Pulse score, at Pollution in Fashion). 38, with companies scoring from 80 to 0. That's one of the biggest performance gaps, suggesting that tremendous improvements are feasible if the small companies catch up.

H&M estimates that 47% of the climate impact and 6% of the water impact occurs in processing.^{81,82} Dyeing fabrics alone can require as much as 150 liters of water per kilogram,⁸³ and the water is often dis-

charged unfiltered into waterways. Wastewater pollution can be considered as a major area of challenges within processing but also in raw materials stages due to the use of nutrients and fertilizers. (See Wastewater

Moreover, the social impact within processing is described by many actors as high, primarily because of garment workers' exposure to hazardous chemicals.84

The limited transparency and traceability are a fundamental weakness in this stage.⁸⁵ Few brands effectively monitor their Tier 2 suppliers, especially on labor practices and workers' safety, partly because of

Wastewater Pollution in Fashion

Measuring the chemical pollution of wastewater is a complex procedure due to a large number of hazardous substances and the variation in their impact. Fortunately, it is possible for companies to mitigate wastewater pollution without performing advanced analyses.

How does water pollution work?

The sources of water pollution in the fashion industry are twofold. First, excessive amounts of nutrients (e.g. nitrogen and phosphorous) in agriculture can lead to runoffs from the land ending up in waterways. The resulting negative effects include algal blooms leading to a lack of available oxygen in the water. Second, organic and inorganic toxic substances (e.g.

mercury and arsenic) discharged from factories to waterways can cause undesirable change in the natural environment and bioaccumulation in the food web. Such toxic chemicals are numerous and have diverse water pollution impacts.

How large is the relative impact?

In their 2015 EP&L, Kering estimates that water pollution contributes 12% of the total environmental footprint of the company - as compared with greenhouse gas emissions at 37%, and land uses at 24%. The vast majority of water pollution occurs during raw material production and raw material processing. The effects of manufacturing, assembly, and stores. warehouses and offices are negligible.

the proliferation of suppliers and the distance from brand operations. The issue is further complicated when processing suppliers, facing high demand, outsource and sub-contract to third-party suppliers un- couraging Moves) But technology is no substitute for known to the brand or retailer.

With environmental factors, transparency is especially an issue with chemical usage. Suppliers are looking to increase energy efficiency, a much-needed step as processing is very energy-intensive.

ENCOURAGING MOVES

Nike: For its 2016 Super Bowl collection of apparel, Nike used a novel dyeing process by Dutch company DyeCoo, in which pressurized CO2, in a nearly closed loop process (95% of ingoing materials are recycled), is used as the dyeing medium instead of water, thus requiring zero water and process chemicals. www.dyecoo.com/co2-dyeing/

Timberland: The firm introduced its Green Index in 2007, tracking climate impact, use of chemicals, and other resources during production. www.greenindex.timberland.com/

ZDHC (Zero Discharge of Hazardous Chemicals): The program advocates eliminating hazardous chemicals from the fashion industry. Participating brands commit to adhering to a Restricted Substances List and report on results from wastewater testing. 79 companies currently participate, thereof 22 brands such as Burberry, Gap or Puma.

Out of all the raw materials used in the fashion industry, metals - in particular precious metals - have the highest water pollution impact.

Why should we care?

There are multiple adverse effects of water pollution on the environment. For human health toxins can build up in the body, potentially leading to cancer and other acute conditions. Excessive nutrients can reduce the oxygen in water and kill off the fish stock. Polluted drinking water for livestock may reduce the production, quality and safety of the meat.

Source: based on Kering EP&L for 2013, 2014, 2015

Production technologies are improving, galvanized by demand from brands and retailers keen to improve the eco-friendliness of their products. (See Enthe protocols that help change practices everywhere along the value chain. Using technology to increase transparency and analysis is one thing; doing something with the resulting insights is another.

www.roadmaptozero.com/programme/



MANUFACTURING

Exhibit 18 The Impact of Health and Safety, and Labor Practices is High During Manufacturing

Impact area	Magnitude of impact	Biggest drivers
Water	Low	Water use in garment finishing
Energy	Medium	Share of renewable energy use Energy efficiency of equipment
Chemicals	Low	Toxicity of materials used for prints
$\begin{pmatrix} \ddots \\ \land \downarrow \end{pmatrix}$ Waste	Medium	Waste from cut-and-sew, samples
Labor practices	Very high	Low level of wages, non-compliance to min. wage and overtime laws, gender inequality Worker co-determination (unions)
Health & safety	Very high	Issues in building safety Insufficient length of rest times
	Medium	Setup of local infrastructure and services to workers
Ethical practices	Medium	Prevalence of corruption



The manufacturing stage involves cutting, sewing, buttonholing, gluing, welding, and seam taping the fabric, along with some dyeing and finishing such as stonewashing. Social factors are the main issue here retailers are intensifying the spotlight on working with labor and health and safety standards having been in public spotlight recently. (See Exhibit 18.)

Manufacturing lags behind processing with a Pulse Score of 28. As elsewhere, the big sportswear players outperform the others with a score of 76, while the midsize and small segments reveal their catch-up potential with scores ranging from 18 to 43.

The Pulse Survey results are largely in agreement. They reveal brands' declared intent to invest in more environmentally and worker friendly manufacturing processes. More than three-quarters of the companies polled state that they plan to elevate the topic by assigning head count and funding to it-the highest

number for any of the value-chain steps. They realize there is much to do to "clean up" these operations.

Social impact is the main challenge. Brands and conditions in their suppliers' factories by closer monitoring, often with their own evaluations and clear minimum requirements. (See Encouraging Moves). Consumers are increasingly seeking transparency in the value chain.

Yet in Myanmar textile factories, wages can still be as low as €55 per month, and little higher in Bangladesh.⁸⁶ That's half of what's needed to sustainably support the workers and their families.⁸⁷ A central challenge is the continual drive-down of pricing combined with the fierce competition among low-wage factories. Concerned about their international competitiveness, governments in many producing counworkers' basic needs.88

erning labor conditions (for example, controlling working hours), environmental aspects (for example, governing chemical use) and other well-intended rules. Voluntary agreements abound, such as the bluesign label to certify production processes, the UN

tries have hesitated to set minimum wages that meet Global Compact, and Bangladesh's Accord on Fire and Building Safety. The International Labour Organ-Factories, of course, work under local laws gov- ization publishes standards, albeit without the ability to directly implement or enforce them. Yet there is no cross-country legal framework that addresses allor even a large part of-what is needed to secure fair wages and safe working conditions.

ENCOURAGING MOVES

Levi's: The brand launched its Worker Well-Being Initiative in 2011, implementing programs to increase social sustainability at supplier factories. The brand had expanded its initiative to 12 countries by 2016 and aims to do so with 80% of its product volume by 2020. www.levistrauss.com/sustainability/people/

Povigy: The technology startup will soon launch a mobile app that lets shoppers evaluate the sustainability of participating brands directly in store. Povigy plans to base the evaluations on its own verification of the brands and on documentation describing upstream manufacturing processes. www.povigy.com

TRANSPORTATION

Exhibit 19 Transportation Phase with Overall Low Relative Environmental and Social Footprint

Impact area	Magnitude of impact	Biggest drivers
Water	Very low	
Energy	Medium	Excessive use of energy in transport modes (airplanes)
Chemicals	Very low	
$\left(\begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ z \\ \downarrow \end{pmatrix} \right)$ Waste	Medium	Waste generated through packaging, pallet use
Labor practices	Low	Non-compliance to contract terms (sub-contracting) Excessive working hours
Health & safety	Low	Insufficient length of rest times
(S¥) € Community & ext. eng.	Very low	
Ethical practices	Very low	

Transportation, which includes packaging as well as distribution, has clear impacts related to sustainability. (See Exhibit 19.) Yet the environmental and social footprints in this stage are much smaller than in other stages. That's partly because the activities money, time and resources on transportation, as sugin this stage are similar to what happens in other industries, so that fashion brands can benefit from the scale and innovation already in place elsewhere. For all the energy expended in moving apparel globally, this stage contributes only 2% of the climate-change impact of the entire value chain.⁸⁹ The effects on water and chemicals are negligible.

highest of all the stages. Even the lowest-scoring performers in this stage do not fall below 28, while the top players exceed 90.

The Pulse Survey showed that brands spend little gested in expert interviews because they already have programs, in collaboration with logistics partners, to optimize the flow of goods. Transportation is also one of the few instances where cost and environmental impact are closely tied together (See Encouraging Moves). Companies have built-in disincentives to discourage routine air-shipments.

Indeed, transportation's overall Pulse Score is 41,

ENCOURAGING MOVES

Nike: The brand offers an example for brands that have invested significantly in their warehouse operations to reduce their environmental impact. In 2016 the brand opened a new distribution center in Belgium, using 100% renewable energy from its own wind turbines and solar panels. It claims to recycle more than 95% of the waste generated onsite.

www.news.nike.com/news/nike-laakdal-belgium-campus/

Inditex: The retailer introduced its Green to Pack program in 2015 to reuse and optimize packing materials and methods, saving 660 sea-container shipments and more than 185,000 m² of cardboard that year, equivalent in area to a 25 football fields. www.inditex.com/sustainability/environment/logistics/



RETAIL

Exhibit 20 Retail Phase with Varied Environmental, Social and Ethical Impact Areas to Consider

Impact area	Magnitude of impact	Biggest drivers
Water	Very low	
Energy	Medium	Energy efficiency in stores (e.g., lighting)
Chemicals	Very low	
$\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\Delta} \\ \widehat{\Sigma} \\ \overleftarrow{\Delta} \end{pmatrix}$ Waste	Medium	Waste generated through packaging, tags, hangers, bags
Labor practices	Medium	Low level of wages, excessive working hours Limited social security, temporary employment
Health & safety	Very low	
	Medium	Engagement with consumers on sustainability awareness and impacts
Ethical practices	Medium	Choice of models and imagery Influence on consumption patterns

Retail is where the magic happens for shoppers— stage as the main point of customer contact, brands where ambience and aspiration turn into purchase. It gets little attention for sustainability, which is unfortunate because it has great potential for improving ener-(See Exhibit 20.)

The retail stage's Pulse Score is only 28, with low variation across the industry. The exceptions are the premium and luxury players, which fall short with scores around 13, and the sustainability champions, which have built their customer experience around the subject, at 75. Many of the latter have point-of-sale value chain.⁹⁰ Regulation is unlikely to play a part; as measures to drive a reduced footprint.

but adds that the big players deviate from this pattern with a higher level of commitment. With the retail

can influence consumer behavior regarding wear and care of the products. And with many large stores, these companies are realizing that energy efficiency gy use, reducing waste, and engaging with consumers. (on lighting and air conditioning for instance) may bring significant cost savings.

At first glance, it's hard to grasp the overall impact of the retail stage. Inventory usually turns quickly, and staff are treated well, especially in premium stores. But all the bright lighting, heating, and ventilation can account for around 5% of the CO₂ generated over the with transportation, most retailers will act in their eco-The Pulse Survey backs up those conclusions nomic interests to reduce energy consumption. (See Encouraging Moves.)



ENCOURAGING MOVES

Kering: The brand promotes renewable energy use in its headquarters and the stores of its brands. For single brands' operations it states 'green energy' shares of over 80%. It also invests in onsite production of clean energy through solar panels, announcing to have saved nearly 400 tons of CO2 in 2014. It also encourages LED lighting in the stores of its brands to gain energy savings of up to 90% over older technologies. In 2015, Gucci invested €2.4 million to replace in-store lighting. www.kering.com/en/sustainability/

Inditex: By the end of 2016. Inditex had 4.519 eco-efficient stores accounting for over 60% of its stores, with the aim of reaching 100% by 2020. These stores save 20% in electricity and up to 50% of water consumption in comparison with conventional stores. The brand follows the guidelines and recommendations of the LEED certificate and the European BREEAM seal in order to ensure that the initiative keeps moving in the right direction. www.inditex.com/sustainability/environment/ecoefficient stores

54



CONSUMER USE

Exhibit 21 Energy with the Highest Impact During the Use Phase

Impact area	Magnitude of impact	Biggest drivers
Water	Medium	Water use of washing by consumers
Energy	High	Energy consumption of washing, drying, ironing
Chemicals	Medium	Toxicity of detergents Pollution of waste water (e.g., chemical processing residues, micro-plastics)
$\begin{pmatrix} \ddots \\ \searrow \end{pmatrix}$ Waste	Medium	Prolonging product use avoiding resource waste Using second hand sources for products saving resources
Labor practices	Very low	
Health & safety	Very low	
$ \stackrel{\texttt{S}}{\underbrace{}} $	Very low	
Ethical practices	Low	Participation in trends encouraging waste

The consumer use phase is where the product is handled, washed, repaired, and possibly passed on. The magnitude of this phase's impact is not yet conclusively assessed in research and comprehensive data is lacking. A future edition of this report will elaborate on the topic in more detail. Yet, it is reasonably safe to assume that the main drivers are the energy and water consumption from washing, as well as energy-intensive drying. (See Exhibit 21.) The type and amount of detergents influence the impact as well.

Also important are attitudes about prolonged use. Not long ago, most apparel was carefully looked after, repaired, and handed down. With the coming of fast-fashion, in the past decade the number of garments purchased by the average consumer has more than doubled. Some consumers treat garments as nearly disposable, throwing them out after only a cou- consumers about responsible use of their products. ple of wears.⁹¹

With a Pulse Score of 23, the industry seems to be neglecting this stage. Even the big fast-fashion and sportswear firms that do well elsewhere on the value chain are unable to top 20 at the consumer use stage. Only the sustainability champions surpass 50. The Pulse Survey underscores the Pulse Score findings, especially with regard to the lack of commitments to funding and resources into the future. This suggests that either most companies do not consider the use phase their responsibility, or that technologically and economically viable solutions do not yet exist.

Yet brands do have an opportunity to promote awareness here, especially on environmentally friendly washing and the options for reuse-which in turn can boost consumer engagement with their brands.

Several large brands have initiatives to educate More than that: some offer incentives to care and

IN THE PAST DECADE THE NUMBER OF **GARMENTS PUBCHASED PER CONSUMER** HAS MORE THAN DOUBLED, AND SOME CONSUMERS THROW THEM OUT AFTER ONLY A COUPLE OF WEARS

resources to act on their concern. (See Encouraging Moves.) Regulators can help with inducements for prolonging a product's life, as Sweden did by halving the tax rate on product repairs.

emerged around clothing rental, using the web to minimize one-time use of garments. Start-ups include consider participating in retailer buy-backs.⁹² Research MUD jeans and VIGGA organic children's wear. A caveat to these online rental business models, however, ahead: only 10% of respondents considered buying is the additional need for transport and for garments second-hand in their three most recent purchases.⁹³ to be washed between rentals. Further, hardly anyone

ENCOURAGING MOVES

Patagonia: Through the brand's Worn Wear program consumers can send in worn or lightly damaged Patagonia apparel to be repaired. The program involves 45 full-time repair technicians at a service center. completing about 40,000 repairs a year. The program also extends to a collaboration with iFixit, an online repair resource, to create care and DIY repair guides for consumers. www.patagonia.com/worn-wear.html/

Stella McCartney: Together with Clevercare the brand releases an ongoing series on steps consumers can take to prolong the useful life of its products and reduce their own environmental impact during use. It also equips its products with the Clevercare label, providing for instance washing advice to minimize the footprint of product care

www.stellamccartney.com/experience/the-clevercare-series/

at scale.

As for reuse, more than half of respondents to a UK survey had bought used clothes in the previous Meanwhile an intriguing sub-industry has year, and a fourth indicated that they would buy more if the choices improved. Two-thirds said they would in other EU countries, though, shows the long journey

has yet managed to succeed in this concept profitably

Rent the Runway: The clothing rental firm started off in 2009, and in 2015 it introduced its Unlimited subscription, allowing customers to hold on to as many as three pieces of apparel at a time for as long as they want for €130 per month. Rent the Runway even partnered with high-end retailer Neiman Marcus in late 2016 to offer its rental services in store outlets. The concept is clearly a winner: in 2016, the company generated annual revenue of more than €90 million, up from €40 million in 2014 (according to Recode).

www.renttherunway.com/unlimited/

END-OF-USE

Exhibit 22 Environmental Impact Driven by Waste During End-of-Use Phase

Impact area	Magnitude of impact	Biggest drivers
Water	Medium	Premature disposal leading to unnecessary resource waste
Energy	Medium	Premature disposal leading to unnecessary resource waste
Chemicals	Very low	
(へ) とく) Waste	Very high	Disposing end-of-use products generating waste and connected negative externalities (e.g., leachate emissions from landfills)
(A) Labor practices	Very low	
Health & safety	Very low	
 Still Still Community & ext. eng. 	Very low	
Ethical practices	Medium	Premature disposal leading to unnecessary resource waste

THE PULSE SCORE FOR END-OF-USE IS ONLY 9, THE LOWEST OF THE STAGES, LIKELY DUE TO LACK OF INDUSTRY ATTENTION AND TECHNOLOGY

Exhibit 23 Pulse Survey Results: "Which levers show the potential to have the greatest impact on improving sustainability?"

Recycling Options Are Not Thought of As Levers for Improving Sustainability

When it comes to the end of the life cycle of fashion products, different fates are possible. They can be put to a different use (e.g., second use with a new owner), up- or down-cycled, fully recycled (feeding back to the fashion value chain to 'close the loop') or just disposed of, winding up in landfills. Here lies the largest driver of this stage: preventing products from on end-of-use. ending up as pure waste. (See Exhibit 22.)

stages. Even segments that score well in other stages do poorly, some as low as 15. Only the sustainability champions do well at 46. As with consumer use, this low achievement level likely reflects little industry attention or a lack of technologically and economically viable solutions.

The Pulse Survey underscores these results and reveals that across the value chain, recycling options are perceived to be the least relevant improvement levers. (See Exhibit 23.) Further, in interviews, companies have expressed a wish to "fix the basics" of sustainability elsewhere in the value chain before working

But does the fashion industry even need to get The Pulse Score here is 9, the lowest of all the involved? Don't consumers already use the clothing drop boxes available and respond to the leaflets from non-profits offering free pickups of castoffs? Some do-yet across the EU27 nations, only 18% of clothing is reused or recycled⁹⁴ (See Exhibit 24) and the U.S. number is even worse.⁹⁵ The global average is 20%.⁹⁶ In contrast to glass, plastic, and paper waste, apparel

CHAPTER 2

Innovating on novel technology Expanding environmental sustainability efforts to include raw material production and processing Prolonging useful life of garments (e.g. repairs, re-use) Fostering different business models, such as fashion rental services Fostering design for durability and cyclability Expanding social and ethical sustainability efforts to include raw material production and processing Increasing use of virgin organic or novel bio-based (e.g. Lyocell/Tencel*) fibers Improving recycling collection and sorting Engaging and informing consumers on more sustainable product care Increasing use of recycled fibers Others, please specify below

1. Participants were asked to chose their top 5 levers percentages show share of top 3 ranks given



14

"Changing the traditional fashion cycle/mindset to promote buying less and making it last longer"

"Chemicals phase-out will be a huge win"



gets little respect at disposal. Indeed, when it comes as raw materials for production-a 'circular' industry to packaging, recovery and recycling rates are at 98% model. End-of-use already receives some notable atin Germany and 79% in Belgium.⁹⁷

billion worldwide in 2015.⁹⁸ But consumer hesitance toward second-hand clothing in developed countries make it unlikely that the reuse market can develop as Moves) lack scale, and must be amplified in coordinata robust, growing part of the fashion industry's value chain without major changes in fashion brands' and (See Chapter 3.) consumers' views.

Transitioning to a 'closed loop' fashion value chain is needed, where discarded products are used

tention from individual brands, such as Patagonia's The used-textile market was worth close to €4 Common Threads program for Teijin polyester, which is chemically recycled for use in new garments. While admirable, this and other advances (see Encouraging ed efforts among brands, regulators, and consumers.

ENCOURAGING MOVES

H&M: The brand has partnered with I:CO, a solutions provider for clothing and footwear reuse and recycling. Its facility in Germany receives 25 to 30 truckloads a day from collection bins at H&M stores. The brand has similar facilities in the US and India. In 2016 it collected nearly 16,000 tons, a 29% increase from the year before. The brands CEO Karl-Johan Persson is satisfied with the program's results, as he states: "According to our customers surveys, our garment collecting program quickly became the sustainability initiative with the highest awareness amongst our customers. It is tangible for consumers and makes them a part of it." He also confirms that many

stores reported positive feedback, both in terms of handling processes and customer reactions.

www.about.hm.com/en/sustainability/get-involved/recvcle-your-clothes.html

Esprit: Since 2016, together with the charity Packmee, the brand lets customers donate clothing via free shipping to the company, which gives part of the revenue generated to the Red Cross, along with a 10% discount voucher back to the customer. www.esprit.com/sustainability



GAINING MOMENTUM

Fashion brands have many opportunities to move to better business practices, following after standout brands and newcomers built around sustainability. But they face too few external pressures for change, aside from a few NGO campaigns such as Greenpeace's 'Detox'. Consumers show too little concern, nor is there much of a regulatory push. Indeed, nearly half of Pulse Survey respondents strongly criticized regulators for doing little to hold the industry to account. Respondents are looking to industry associations to drive industry collaboration, particularly with smaller brands. While collaboration has begun on local initiatives such as the Bangladesh Accord on Fire and Safety and country specific Better Work programs, strong global efforts have yet to take shape.

The good news is that fashion brands recognize the upside. While 30% of Pulse Survey respondents identified sustainability as "a social responsibility that fashion brands should fulfill," 31% tagged it as "an immense business/value creation opportunity for fashion companies." Big brands, with more than €4.4 billion in annual revenues, were even more enthusiastic: 50% checked the box for "immense opportunity." (See Exhibit 25.) Only 5% listed it as a "hygiene factor that every fashion firm needs to address so as to not lose out to the competition."

To capture the opportunity, however, the industry needs greater awareness of the principles and levers for improving the situation. This is particularly true for most of the small to medium sized players that show significant room for improvement according to the Pulse Score. This report aims to close that transparency gap with Good Citizen Principles. (See following page.) It presents the minimum requirements and current best practices for companies to follow, adjusted for the degree of maturity around sustainability.

But even if all companies from small to large were to adhere overnight to the Good Citizen Principles or match the efforts of the upper quartile achievers on the Pulse Score, it would not be enough to realize the full €160 billion value potential to the world economy. As of yet, too few concerted, cohesive, persistent initiatives bring together players from across the fashion industry ecosystem, allowing them to implement novel solutions that go beyond today's best practice. Brands, suppliers, and stakeholder organizations must not just step up, but also pull together collectively.

	Overall
"An immense business/value creation opportunity for fashion companies"	31%
"A social responsibility fashion companies should fulfill"	30%
"The answer to a fundamental problem threatening the future of the fashion industry"	27%
"A hygiene factor every fashion company needs to address so as to not lose out against the competition"	5%
Others	7%



PULSE OF THE FASHION INDUSTRY

Exhibit 25

Pulse Survey Results:

"In your opinion, what

is sustainability first and foremost for fash-

Large Companies in Particular See Sustain-

ability as a Chance to

ion companies?

Create Value


GOOD CITIZEN PRINCIPLES

	Step in value chain	Minimum Requirements	Industry Best Practice
Design and Development	Sampling	 Considerate production of samples; avoid short notice samples due to potentially negative im- plications on social labor conditions in facilities 	 Replacement of physical samples with virtual samples in all basic items; avoid short notice samples due to potentially negative implica- tions on social labor conditions in facilities
	Optimization of materials (fiber types and mix)	 Impact assessment of materials made available for designers, at least for those with high volume—e.g., through LCA results, Higg MSI index, footprint tools Recommendations set on preferred materials and environmentally friendly substitutes 	 Impact assessment of materials made read- ily available for designers for all items at all times—e.g., through LCA results, Higg MSI index, footprint tools, company e-P&L—and standard- ized consideration thereof in the design process Recommendations set on preferred materials and environmentally friendly substitutes Targets for environmental impact of material use
	Planning of durability (material and design)	• Durability criteria for large majority of materi- als, supported by lab testing	 Full coverage of lab testing for materials, at least half of styles subject to field testing Consider simplicity/timelessness of design also across seasons to ensure efficient manufacturing androbust products
	Optimization of design recycling	Optimization of basic items for waste reduc- tion, e.g., when cutting fabrics and sewing with minimized excess fabric	 Optimization of majority of items for waste reduction, e.g., when cutting fabrics (pattern efficiency) Design for enabling closed loop recycling in choice of fabrics, trim, design elements and processing
Raw Materials	Supplier transparency & traceability	• First initiatives started to improve low transpar- ency, e.g., through participation in multi-stake- holder collaborations	 Specific measures in place to improve high (but still not full) transparency in the future: e.g., personal visits, participation in 3rd party verified collaborations, only work with agents showing better levels of transparency
	Environmental footprint tracking	 First measures in place to track environmental impacts for known suppliers, initiate setup of certified supplier base Active in collaborations to tackle environmental issues more efficiently 	 Full environmental risk tracking initiated where visibility is already available; action plan on how to extend to additional suppliers Clear targets for proportion of certified suppliers and materials (e.g., 100% organic cotton) Increase supplier engagement based on personal visits or collaborations; industry leaders help shape agenda of collaborations to distribute knowledge across the industry Lead collaborations and provide training to suppliers to improve environmental impact
	Sustainable material mix	 Provision of guidelines for sustainable sourcing of main materials, e.g. mandatory certifications, minimum compliance requirements 	 Use more sustainable sourcing for all key materials, such as organic cotton, recycled polyester Set targets for key materials, e.g., 50% of cotton sourced from cotton initiatives such as organic cotton, Cotton made in Africa, Better Cotton Initiative
	Social labor conditions	 Clear action plan developed to track social labor standards for known suppliers and to set up certified supplier base, work towards preventing child labor Active in collaborations to tackle social labor issues more efficiently 	 Use fair trade suppliers where possible (e.g., to allow for funds into extra wages or into community projects), certify that no child labor is in place and monitor efforts Provide training to workforce to reduce health & safety risks

The principles outlined on the following pages aim to close the gap between laggards and best performers, as identified by the Pulse Score and the Pulse Survey.

The principles outline the minimum requirements for fashion brands as well as the current industry best practice achievable today

Step in value chain Minimum Requirements		Industry Best Practice		
Supplier transparency & traceability	 Min. 50% transparency on 2nd tier suppliers; e.g., name, location, owner and certificates 	• Full transparency on 2 nd tier suppliers; e.g., name, location, owner and certificates		
Environmental footprint	 Environmental impact tracking established for half of 2nd tier suppliers with plans laid out on how to extend tracking Leveraging collaborations to improve footprint Provision of targets and guidelines (e.g., on chemicals use and substitutes) for min. 1/3 of facilities, e.g., by joining collaborations/using agencies Full enforcement of Restricted Substance Lists; use of industry collaborations to find substitutes Measures initiated to single out most reliable suppliers, consolidate supplier base, build long term cooperation to help suppliers improve their environmental performance 	 Environmental impact tracking established for all 2nd tier suppliers; increase supplier engagement based on personal visits or collaborations Help distribute knowledge across industry through collaborations Providing targets and guidelines (on, e.g., chemicals use and substitutes) for all facilities; ensure implementation and ongoing development of guidelines through personal/auditor visits Extended enforcement of Restricted Substances (stricter standards than required by regulator); providing substitutes to suppliers and support price negotiations with next tier Long term relationships built up for reliable supplier base Investment or investment support (e.g., through loans at reduced interest) into machinery, technology, e.g. wastewater treatment 		
Social labor conditions	 Clear action plan developed to track social labor standards only use certified supplier base; part of collaborations to tackle social labor issues more efficiently 	 Only work with certified suppliers, provide extended guidelines to suppliers, e.g., help negotiating prices for substitute chemical; set targets for social performance, e.g., on collective bargaining; trainings to improve health & safety and productivity 		
Supplier transparency & traceability	• High transparency on 1 st tier suppliers; only exceptions not covered. Majority of suppliers visited by brand or third party auditors	 Full visibility on 1st tier suppliers. Suppliers visited by brand or third party auditors Publish full list of contracted manufacturing facilities and system in place to monitor sub-con- tracting 		
Environmental footprint	 >1/3 of 1st tier suppliers with tracked environmental impact; clear targets set, e.g., by jointly assessing manufacturing efficiency with facilities Reduction of packaging waste (less packaging, re-use), supported by clear target setting 	 Impact tracking for all suppliers; track record of improved environmental performance; increase supplier engagement based on per- sonal visits or collaborations Distribute knowledge across the industry through collaborations Cooperation or sharing of guidelines on ma- chine standards Investment support for facilities to upgrade technology, improvements in production methods, packaging and energy efficiency with clear impact reduction targets 		
Social labor conditions	 Measures in place to track social labor stand- ards, only use certified supplier base; part of collaborations to tackle social labor issues more efficiently 	 Only use certified suppliers, provide extended guidelines to suppliers, e.g., optimize production for health and safety Planning of production to ensure sustainable working hours; trainings with impact on health and safety as well as productivity 		
Environmental footprint	 Full tracking of impact, at least for closer transportation steps (to and from warehouse), dedicated tracking of air freight; Target setting to reduce impact per garment 	 Full tracking of environmental impact per means of transportation, also in the beginning of the value chain Target setting and dedicated programs to optimize impact per garment, including location planning of facilities/production steps, transport intervals and space needed for packaging 		
Utilization of transport space	 Supplier cooperation initiated on efficiency for closer transportation steps (final transpor- tation to warehouse, warehouse to retail outlet) Target setting in place 	 Full cooperation on space utilization including utilization of "back journey" (e.g., avoiding empty containers) Target setting in place and track record of reduced impact 		

	Step in value chain	Minimum Requirements
Retail	Communication of sustainability	 General communication in most PoS advocat- ing sustainability; e.g., environmental impacts of materials; engagement in collaborations to promote these standards across the industry
	Environmental standards at the PoS	 Tracking of energy usage in retail outlets, plans developed to minimize energy and water consumption as well as waste; use of certifica- tions (e.g., LEED) for at least the newest retail outlets
Cse	Care & Repair	 Care & Repair information on garment tags optimized for low environmental impact (e.g., recommend less and low temperature washing, highlight urgency of repair versus throwing an item away), successively implemented Providing replacement buttons and yarn with the item
	Extend lifecycle through re-use options	
	Preparation of items for recycling	 At least on core items, materials which can be recycled are labeled explicitly on the item to allow easier recycling process
d of Use	Communication of recycling opportunities	High level education of customer on recycling potential (e.g., donation boxes)
Enc	Support and setup of dedicated recycling infrastructure for clothing	 Specific communication of recycling offerings by other providers external to brand Taking part in collaborations to push setup of recycling infrastructure in public spaces

portation

à

ssing

facturing

Σ

Industry Best Practice
 More detailed communication included in most PoS; advocating sustainability messages, e.g. reminders to recycle, importance of repair to pro- long useful life of garment, systematic training of store personnel to educate customers around case and repair of clothes Collaboration on establishing further data on labels, such as E-P&L/LCA data
• Full guidelines for energy and water usage in retail outlets (buildings and processes), measures in place to maximize waste reduction and use of renewable energy; full adaptation of all existing outlets to meet guidelines
 Care & Repair information on garment tags optimized for low environmental impact (e.g., recommend less and low temperature washing, highlight urgency of repair vs. throwing an item away, providing specific repair manuals), in place for all products Providing replacement buttons and sewing kit with the item Offer repair services, e.g., specific repair offering
 Advocate and/or offer options for secondary use of garments
 Extended optimization of materials (-50% of volume), e.g., no materials mixes obstructing recycling and explicit labeling to enable later separation of materials
• Specific education of customer on recycling options, e.g., existing infrastructure (internal and external to brand), where to send items for recycling and which items can be recycled
 Offering recycling channels to customers—take- back models in store, pick up services, feed back into second-hand, material processing for new items Offering recycling infrastructure in public spaces to clothes of other brands, engaging in cooper- ation with competitors to push cross-industry initiatives Piloting new business models (e.g., rental fashion) to reduce waste-culture and invest in new recy- cling technology

68

Thus far, GFA and BCG have pointed to a host of initiatives that are to be applauded and encouraged. There is plentiful evidence that many fashion brands are aware of their impact and ready to engage further at many stages of the value chain. But it has been demonstrated as well, that over 50 percent of the industry, especially small and medium-sized players have not started to take actions yet.

It is also clear that more needs to be done to counteract the impacts that come with the projected increase in consumption. Even if all companies lived up to the good citizen principles, planetary boundaries would still be stretched and only less than half of the €160 billion outlined value potential to the world economy could be realized, even under optimistic and ambitious assumptions, as will be outlined.

So what is the best way forward? What could we do that we do not do today or are not thinking about today? Are there new and different ways to design, produce, sell, and use clothing?

MANY FASHION BRANDS ARE AWARE OF THEIR IMPACT AND READY TO ENGAGE FURTHER. HOWEVER OVER 50 PERCENT OF THE INDUSTRY HAS NOT STARTED TO TAKE **ACTION YET**

TURNING OPPORTUNITIES INTO REALITIES

In the following, we will guide you through the full Landscape of Change as we see it, based on what we already know today. Each dimension of change will take the two outlined perspectives:

- achieve outsize impact

The proposed landscape is a first attempt to sketch out the spectrum of options available. It is meant to invite further input and rich discussions during the coming year. And of course, new technologies and solutions will emerge that we cannot imagine today. These will find their way onto future versions of the Landscape for Change.

CHAPTER



A LANDSCAPE FOR CHANGE **TURNING OPPORTUNITIES INTO REALITIES**

1. Pragmatic, immediate actions that can produce palpable change in economically viable ways: These can be implemented with today's technologies and capabilities with ambitious targets and initiatives, going beyond pure good citizen principles

2. Novel solutions and disruptive actions based on collaborating and innovating: These rely on bolder technologies and collective approaches, potentially going beyond what we know today, to

INTRODUCING THE LANDSCAPE FOR CHANGE

The Landscape for Change will have the following change levers and goals. (See Exhibit 27.)

Environmental:

- Closed loop recycling No value leakage, e.g., one garment recycled for every new garment produced
- Sustainable material mix 100% sustainable fibers with low footprint, e.g., replacing conventional cotton
- Reduced energy footprint Minimized energy consumption and 100% carbon neutrality
- Chemical and water optimization No hazardous chemicals and no water pollution
- Production-to-demand No overproduction

Social:

- Rebalanced industry economics Fair and equal pay to worker and skill development for all workers
- Health and safety excellence 100% safe working places fostering well-being and morale
- Advocacy of human rights No human rights abuses and full rights advocacy

Overarching:

- Transparency and traceability Full visibility on all tiers' supplier performance and conditions
- Consumer engagement Complete customer information on a garment's life-cycle impact, environmentally and socially
- Novel business models Full utilization of purchased fashion products

Moving toward these goals will go a long way toward achieving the €160 billion a year opportunity for the world economy described in chapter 1. Staying on the current path, by contrast, will put the industry at risk of significantly higher costs.

A COLLECTIVE EFFORT IS REQUIRED TO GO **BEYOND WHAT IS POSSIBLE TODAY**

GFA and BCG have quantified a number of initiatives available to individual companies to demonstrate that there is value to be captured today if the industry starts acting now. The quantifications of these levers will be further outlined in the course of this chapter.

Disclosing the result up front: By summarizing all quantifiable levers, it can be seen that there is a combined value opportunity of $\sim \in 60$ bn available to the world economy through the use of ambitious yet realistic le-

Change area	Change lever	Estimated impact
Sustainable material mix	Reduce conventional cotton use Replacing 30% of 2030 cotton with polyester saves 22.6 bn m ³ water	€18 billion/p.a.¹
Reduced energy footprint	Increase renewable energy use (focus: processing) Moving all processing steps for cotton & polyester to 40% renewable energy saves over 200 M t CO2-eq	€13 billion/p.a.
Health & safety excellence	Realize industry best practice safety levels Reaching an injury level comparable to frontrunners by all industry players	€12 billion/p.a.
Reduced energy footprint	Increase energy efficiency in processing steps Increasing efficiency in all processing steps for cotton and polyester by ~10% saves over 95 M t CO2-eq	€6 billion/p.a.
Rebalanced industry economics	Establish minimum wage pay (focus: gender wage gap) Allowing all garment workers paid less than 120% of the local minimum wage to reach that level	€5 billion/p.a.
Closed loop recycling	Offer in-store end-of-use collection schemes Moving collection rates globally to 60% would reduce waste by nearly 54 M t p.a.	€4 billion/p.a.²
Chemical & water optimization	Increase transparency on chemicals usage Reach 60% score in chemicals section of Higg index, reduce workers' exposure to chemicals	€3 billion/p.a.
		~€60billion/p.a.

Only effect of reduced water consumption consid o possible negative secondary effects of increased olyester production regarded here

No circularity considered, therefore amount does not include value to be realized through up-/down-/recycling Source: BCG analysis

vers that can be implemented by individual businesses already today. (See Exhibit 26.)

But with a value of at least €160bn at stake, ~€60bn clearly falls short of the target. In order to access the remaining value opportunity, a collective push is needed across the industry. This collective movement will bring the industry toward well-balanced, outward-looking practices ensuring that fashion brands can prosper while making smart choices that benefit their growth as well as the economy at large. Possible disruptive solutions for industry-wide collaborative initiatives will be explored in detail across environmental, social, and overarching levers.

TO CAPTURE THE FULL €160 **BILLION OPPORTUNITY**, THE INDUSTRY NEEDS A **COLLECTIVE PUSH**

Individual company effort

Collaborative industry effort



CHAPTER 3

1. E.g., conventional cotton or leather 2. Cotton grown traditionally; excludes Better Cotton Initiative, Cotton Made in Africa, organic cotton, recycled cotton

74

Closed loop Recycling: Target 2030: No value leakage, such as one garment recycled for every new garment produced

If the industry manages to fully close the loop between the end-ofuse phase and the raw materials phase, recycling apparel and footwear waste into new input materials, the environmental footprint of the entire industry will be drastically reduced. This type of *circular* model is the ultimate aim of all actions targeting recycling.

Immediate Actions

Offer end-of-use in-store garment collection scheme. Driving down current and future waste levels is essential. Hence, progress starts with increasing collection rates at the end of a garment's life. Through their interface with consumers, brands and retailers can lead in boosting collection rates.

We anticipated that today's 20% collection rate could be tripled by 2030-worldwide. With a 60% collection rate, and the same allocation to end-of-use processing as today, the industry could save more than €4 billion in value to the world economy. This value only represents products not ending up in landfills-not to mention the additional value to be realized by 'closing the loop' and feeding products back to the value chain as raw material. The industry would still be creating vast volumes of waste-more than 90 million tons a year-but the absolute amount would no longer grow with rising production. Clearly, this target calls for a dramatic change in consumers' mindsets, not to mention much more attractive collection options.

Fashion brands can step up collection programs for end-of-use-especially in their own-brand stores. They can set up reverse supply chainsor work with third-party logistics and processing providers-to sort the apparel, process it, and send as much recycled raw material as possible back to their suppliers' factories.

Disruptive Actions:

Establish industry-wide end-of-use garment collection. These go beyond brand-specific pickup, and could take the form of every brand deploying boxes in every store for every garment type. It can also mean moving to a more overarching setup of public collection points in areas with currently limited garment collection opportunities.

Design for recyclability. While maximizing collection is essential, we need to work toward true recycling-feeding previously used materials back into textile production, rather than downcycled into low-value uses. The industry can craft clear guidelines for designers to collaborate with others along the value chain.

Breakthrough in recycling technology. Technology offers the best way to eliminate barriers to large-scale recycling. Smart garments would allow sorting machines to detect fiber types and determine the practicality of and next steps for further processing. The industry has to advance to new process technologies that will make it possible to chemically recycle every possible fiber combination at scale and to mechanically recycle with no significant loss in fiber quality.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Sustainable Material Mix: as replacing conventional cotton

Since one of the largest determinants of the industry's environmental footprint is the material mix, especially leather and natural fibers, fashion brands should carefully consider the fiber mix choices. Certificates for sustainable materials and production methods are on the rise, such as Cradle-to-Cradle (see Sustainable Products Possible at Competitive Prices-Evidence with New C2C-Certified[™] T-shirt).

Immediate Actions:

Reduce conventional cotton use. We calculate that reducing conventional cotton by 30% can yield more than €18 billion in annual water savings. Polyester, more than any other alternative, has the cost efficiencies and production scale to be a practical substitute. (See more details on the footprint advantages of polyester over cotton in Exhibit 28.) Polyester already makes up most of the global textile fiber mix, and after decades of development, we can make it with characteristics resembling those of cotton

The mathematics works as follows. Replacing 30% of cotton use by polyester in 2030 would save roughly 23 billion m³ of water annually-water valued at €0.81 per m³. The goal is realistic, especially since it would call for an increase of only 17% in today's polyester production, considering a one-to-one cotton-to-polyester substitution.

Polyester is no perfect answer, of course. It comes with its own challenges. In a 2017 study, it is estimated that 15% to 30% of plastics polluting the oceans can be attributed to primary micro-plastics,⁹⁹ with 35% of those attributed to laundering of synthetic textiles.¹⁰⁰ (See Micro-plastic Contaminating Oceans.) Moreover, polyester's production relies heavily on fossil fuels. It is a non-renewable resource and is not biodegradable. Yet polyester lends itself to fiber-to-fiber recycling better than cotton

does. It can also be made from waste products such as plastic bottles. Further positive developments include innovations that minimize the impact

PROOF OF CONCEPT

C&A: Sustainable Products Possible at Competitive Prices-Evidence with New C2C-Certified[™] T-shirt

The fashion retailer C&A partnered with two Indian suppliers to develop and produce two Cradle to Cradle (C2C) Certified™ T-shirts. The Cradle to Cradle Certified Products Program includes standards on raw material and chemicals usage, designing products with materials that allow reutilization, releasing only clean water, using only renewable energy sources, and providing safe and dignified working conditions.

After nine months of development the partners developed garments that were C2C Certified at the "Gold" level, the first fashion garment produced in Asia at scale complying with the extensive environmental and social standards in the C2C Certified Products Program.

But the most interesting achievement can be seen in the price point set by the company: The basic T-Shirt will retail in Europe for \in 7, with the retailer indicating a positive contribution margin. Released in Europe in June, as well as Brazil and Mexico in the fall, C&A plans to expand this effort into more categories and higher volumes C&A further shares its experiences and learnings from the pilot project to allow other fashion brands to follow suit (see the Good Fashion Guide on www.fashionforgood.com).

Target 2030: 100% sustainable fibers with low footprint, such



of plastic microfibers, for example through protective bags for clothing during washing (such as Patagonia partner Guppy Friend) and filters for washing machines (such as filters from Wexco).

Other alternatives to traditional cotton include organic, recycled, regenerated, fair trade, or BCI cotton. These are viable options, especially in the short term, where fashion brands and consumers may not be ready for a complete elimination of cotton. (See Reduce Toxic Fertilizer and Chemicals in Raw-Materials Stage under Chemical and Water Optimization.)

Increase use of sustainable materials. Several environmentally preferable alternatives exist or are under development besides polyester. Substituting cotton with bast reduces the environmental impact by more than half, with the largest gains in water conservation. (See Exhibit 28.) Viscose and lyocell also have lower water and energy footprints. The challenge here is to convince consumers that alternative fibers are as comfortable and good looking as cotton. There already is research in that direction, as with the CRAILAR FTI process for bast, giving it a softer cotton like hand feel and appearance.¹⁰¹

Water Scarcity

Environmental damages of water use for human health, ecosystem quality, and resources. Prevalent in the raw materials and processing phases

Global Warming

Emissions of greenhouse gasses. Prevalent in processing and manufacturing phases

Eutrophication

Excessive richness of nutrients in a lake or other body of water, frequently due to runoff from the land, causing dense growth of plant life and death of animal life from lack of oxygen. Prevalent in the raw materials phase

Abiotic Resource Depletion, Fossil Fuels

Depletion of natural resources faster than they can be replenished. Prevalent in raw materials and manufacturing (sundries and packaging)

Source: SAC Higg Materials Sustainability Index (MSI), Jan 2017; Levi's (2015); H&M (2017); BCG Analysis

Exhibit 29 Examples of Material Alternatives

Standard material Alternative material		Impact	
Virgin Polyester Recycled Polyester (mechanically, chemically), e.g., rPET (recycled PET)		Reduced use of fossil resources, non-renewable primary ener- gy demand (and related impacts) reduced up to 50%	
PET, conventional cotton, PP	Man-made cellulose fibre (Lyocell: Modal, Viscose, Tencel)	Reduced non-renewable energy use compared to conven- tional alternatives (-75% compared to PET), reduced global warming potential, reduced chemical use compared to conventional cotton	
Conventional Cotton	Organic Cotton, CmiA cotton	Organic: No use of synthetic chemicals (pesticides, mineral fertilizer) in crop cultivation, soil protection measures are en- couraged; CmiA: standards define minimum requirements for ecological, social, and economic aspects of cotton production and processing	
Conventional Cotton	Recycled Cotton	Avoids the impact of both, cotton cultivation and dyeing steps, based on selection of raw materials obtained from textile wastes	

Source: Thinkstep



CHAPTER 3



Micro-plastics Contaminating Oceans

Micro-plastics are small pieces of plastic invisible to the human eye. Primary micro-plastics are directly released into the environment as small particles, whereas secondary micro-plastics largely stem from the degradation of larger plastic waste after entering the ocean.

The main sources of primary micro-plastics are tires, synthetic textiles, marine coatings, road markings, personal-care products, plastic pellets, and city dust. Thus, the sources range from household to commercial activities conducted on land and at sea.

ment¹

Synthetic leather has only a third of the environmental impact of cow leather. (See Exhibit 28.) As Kering says in its 2015 EP&L statement, different leathers can have an over tenfold difference in environmental impact based on their type and origin, how the animal was raised, and how the tanning process took place. Switching to alternative materials can directly improve a product's footprint. (See Exhibit 29.)

Disruptive Actions:

Establish common standard for animal treatment. In its 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development the United Nations sets as one of its goals to achieve a state "in which wildlife and other living species are protected"¹⁰². While animal welfare in general is a topic that most can approve of, agreeing on what it implies for industrial production processes is much more difficult.¹⁰³ Fashion brands' policies around the subject are less developed today than those concerning the environment.¹⁰⁴ The focus areas are angora (rabbit), down, fur, leather, and wool. Collaboratively developed standards would go far to guarantee the ethical treatment of animals, enabling them to live healthy lives without suffering from pain, fear or distress.¹⁰⁵ These would include rejecting methods such as force-feeding or live plucking of waterfowls, as well as promoting transparency in farming and processing practices. A common standard establishes a global benchmark and helps fashion brands communicate expectations along their supply chain, as well as the sharing of best practices.¹⁰⁶ Promising initiatives include the recently launched Responsible Wool Standard (RWS), the Responsible Down Standard (RDS) or the Sustainable Fibre Alliance (SFA) on cashmere production. Widened partnerships of such initiatives and industry-wide adoption would be the next step.

PROOF OF CONCEPT

Li & Fung: Investments in lowered impact breaking even after one vear

A recent pilot conducted by Li & Fung at their LF Beauty factory in the UK, show promising results from the use of sensors to drive energy efficiency in production. More specifically, the wireless sensors capture energy and production data at a granular level to counteract the major operational cost of electricity. The facility had already captured 'low hanging fruits' by installing LED lighting and lights switch-off policies in order to increase the energy efficiency.

gy savings.

Source: Company information

The full consequences of increasing amounts of micro-plastics in the world oceans are not conclusively known. However, the suspected consequences include human health concerns due to accumulation of micro-plastics in the food chain as well as the absorption of toxicants in plastic traveling through the environ-

1. Boucher, J., & Friot, D. (2017). Primary Micro-plastics in the Oceans: A Global Evaluation of Sources. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN

The project required investment in 30 sensors along a single production line and at key points in the facility. The investment broke even already within the first year due to the realized ener-

The company is currently exploring how to implement this type of technology in its garment factories.

Develop innovative man-made fibers. Some innovative man-made fibers are emerging with reduced water and energy intake and without the negative externalities of other fibers. Adidas, for instance, presented in 2016 a shoe featuring a fully biodegradable, protein-based yarn named Biosteel® that relies on nature-based finishing.¹⁰⁷

Develop polyester enhancements. Another priority is to develop next-generation polyester. The industry must overcome the problem of polyester micro-particles and produce polyester fibers without heavy metals (e.g. antimony free). There is also work to do to persuade consumers that polyester can be as appealing as cotton. Branded materials such as Tencel[®] show how materials that originally did not directly speak to consumers-in this case Lyocell-can successfully be marketed toward them. Designers must also embrace and promote these fibers in their creations.

Reduced Energy Footprint: Target 2030: Minimized energy consumption and 100% carbon neutrality

Energy is the largest individual impact area in terms of the value opportunity to the world economy. Further, many solutions for improved efficiency are already available.

Immediate Actions:

Increasing efficiency in the value chain's most energy-intense step of processing. The energy-intensive processing stage, with its high global-warming footprint, is an obvious target. The energy-efficiency potential is greatest in the least-developed countries, where most fashion products are made. But the more developed producing countries can also improve. Measures include combined heat and power sources, high-efficiency motors and boilers, variable-frequency drives, and improved sensors. With assumed increases in efficiency of 10% to 30%, an annual amount of 90 million tons of CO₂ equivalent can be saved globally, representing nearly €6 billion to the world economy. Suppliers can realize significant savings in upgrading their facilities. (See Investment in Lowered Impact Breaking Even After One Year).

Using renewable energy in the production stage. We estimate that this lever can release €12.5 billion in annual savings, assuming the industry can guickly reach a global target of 40% renewable energy. At that rate, approximately 200 million tons of CO₂ could be saved-equivalent to 7% of global annual emissions in 2030 for the fashion industry. Spinning, weaving, and pre-treatment, as well as dyeing and finishing processes, are energy intensive.¹⁰⁸ While the International Renewable Energy Agency projects that by 2030 the use of renewable energy in the US will increase to 27%,¹⁰⁹ in Africa to 22%,110 and in the EU to 27%,111 this will fall short of offsetting the additional anticipated emissions. Far-reaching actions by textile suppliers and continuous pressure from brands and consumers can push these numbers higher.

Disruptive Actions:

Establish 100% renewable-energy use. The benefits of installing solar panels or wind turbines at or near large production facilities transcend straightforward operating-cost benefits. Self-sustaining energy supplies from renewables can mitigate risks in countries such as Pakistan, where power cuts are common and diesel generators are expensive and costly to maintain. Large installations can further reduce operating costs if they supply numerous nearby facilities at the same time. Of course, that calls for unprecedented levels of collaboration among multiple suppliers.

Chemical and Water Optimization: Target 2030: No hazardous chemicals and no water pollution

Chemical and water optimization has traditionally been difficult to address for fashion brands due to low transparency in the earliest stages of the supply chain. But with increased media and corporate attention, brands can engage with suppliers to set targets.

Immediate Actions:

Reduce toxic fertilizer and chemicals in raw-materials stage. Today's excessive use of chemicals leads to heavy pollution of waterways. These concerns can be mitigated by more sustainable cultivation methods.¹¹² The Better Cotton Initiative (BCI) projects a 16% pesticide reduction in China and a 20% decrease in India, through its improved cultivation principles.¹¹³ Cotton made in Africa (CmiA), for instance, says that cotton grown with their methods uses only rainwater and has a 40% lower CO₂ footprint.¹¹⁴ Organic cotton yields can be 25% less than conventional yields,¹¹⁵ and require more manual labor.¹¹⁶ but research indicates that the benefits outweigh the costs of producing better cotton.¹¹⁷ So moving from conventional cotton to organic/BCI or CmiA is a first interim step; in the long run, lower-impact materials than cotton should prevail.

Reduce water pollution. Shifting away from conventional cotton will reduce excess nutrients in waterways. Processing mills can better detect leaks and reuse water-in-process,¹¹⁸ but a full solution requires wastewater treatment plants on site, with sufficient testing.¹¹⁹ The Zero Discharge of Hazardous Chemicals Programme (ZDHC)—a collaboration of 22 signatory brands and 24 value-chain affiliates - is working on guidelines. Signatory brands include H&M, Kering, Primark, Adidas, and Inditex.

PROOF OF CONCEPT Kering: Making metal-free tanning economical

It is 20 to 25 percent more expensive to tan skins without the use of metals. The higher expense stems from salting of the skins with scale of production-ideally driven in a joint industry effort. at the beginning of the process, which ruins some of the skins and thereby creates waste

As a way to drive down costs. Kering resells those skins to other industries using skins of that quality. This has reduced the cost of Source: Interview with Kering CEO François-Henri Pinault in 2016, as pubthe process to 10 to 12 percent more expensive than tanning us-

ing metals. The company is confident to further drive down costs Source: Company information

lished in Bloomberg Businessweek

Improve chemicals management in processing. The use of chemicals is one of the areas with the lowest transparency throughout the value chain, particularly in processing. The lack of transparency hinders compliance and leads to the exposure of workers to hazardous chemicals. We estimate the fashion industry can save the world economy nearly €3 billion if fewer workers become ill due to imprudent chemical use. Given the current low achievement scores on chemicals management across the industry on the Higg Index, change can start with actions by individual brands to enforce their Restricted Substances List. Further measures might entail upfront investment but could quickly become financially viable. (See Making metal-free tanning economical).

Disruptive Actions:

Refine chemical- and water-reduced processing. Major improvements in production steps are required to fully move beyond using hazardous chemicals and also to reduce wastewater pollution, especially in dyeing.

For a start, there have been several promising developments for reducing the water and energy required during dyeing, such as H2COLOR, a novel dve produced by Ecofoot.¹²⁰ The company promises to reduce energy use by 80% and water intake by 70% because the negatively charged particles used in its dyes wash off easily. Use of Ecofoot's dye takes 45% less processing time than conventional dyeing does. Another possibility is for the fibers themselves to be more receptive to dyes. DyeCoo is pioneering in the field of fully waterless processing, with CO₂ replacing water and process chemicals.¹²¹ So far it is suitable only for polyester and the machines are costly. But further measures available to suppliers can successfully reduce resource consumption while having positive influence on costs. (See Supplier Realizing Optimized Impact from the Outset)

Make hazardous chemical treatment redundant. The ultimate objective should be to eliminate chemicals and other hazardous input factors. OrganoClick is working on making outdoor wear waterproof without perfluorinated chemicals. Their product OC-aquasil Tex is still a chemical additive but achieves its water-repellent effect without using PFC and is

PROOF OF CONCEPT

Hirdaramani Group: Supplier Realizing Optimized Impact from the Outset

Suppliers play a key role in driving initiatives for improving environmental and social impact and, more broadly, conscious business practices in the early stages of the value chain. Hirdaramani, supplier to brands such as Marks & Spencer, Calvin Klein, and Tommy Hilfiger with 38 production facilities across Sri Lanka, Vietnam, Bangladesh, and Ethiopia, opened a factory focusing on sustainable manufacturing which compared with conventional apparel manufacturing facilities shows:

- 50% reduction in energy consumption, through an advanced evaporative cooling system, a prismatic skylight system, solar-powered street lamps, energy-saving fixtures. and solar-panel systems providing up to 8% of the factory's power needs and exporting excess power to the national power arid.
- 60% reduction in water consumption due to, for instance, wastewater recycling, rainwater harvesting, and water-saving fixtures.
- Zero waste ending up in landfills, among other means by reusing thread cones and recycling waste cuts.

As the company reported, the reduction of the environmental footprint is considered an overarching business opportunity comprising cost savings, improved processes, an engaged workforce, and an increasing number of orders from brands searching for environmentally and socially responsible suppliers.

Source: Company information

biodegradable under certain conditions. It also needs less energy in its application, because it requires lower temperatures than conventional products¹²². A glimpse of full revolution comes from NEFFA, whose Myco-Tex research project uses pure mushroom roots that grow by replicating over and over again in a molded form.¹²³ It allows for flexible clothing without spinning, weaving, or chemical treatment, and is fully biodegradable. Startup Pili Bio relies on micro-organisms for its dyes and could soon go commercial.124

Production-to-Demand: Target 2030: No overproduction

Overstock is one of the most pressing problems of the industry, leading to high markdowns and lost value. Planning production to match demand is necessary and beneficial to businesses and the economy alike to avoid wasting natural resources.

Immediate Actions:

Minimize overproduction and markdowns. Next, the model of "sell what you create" should move toward "create what can be sold". This model yields higher full price sell-through rates and less overstock. Driven by the traditional fashion calendar, the industry typically places high-volume orders well in advance, but supply too often exceeds actual demand The consequence: large quantities of clothing are heavily discounted. Many shoppers now expect and anticipate those markdowns, which in turn effectively invites them to over-consume. Fortunately, many sophisticated tools are now available to fine-tune demand forecasts. Other consumer goods categories use predictive analytics based on big data and customer relationship management technologies to optimize assortment creation. Some small brands are built on the concept of ensuring that the firm's supply exactly matches demand in the form of pre-orders from customers-TWO THIRDS for instance, which bundles orders by consumers and only then places its production order in the respective size.¹²⁵

Amazon is showing how to drive this principle further with a recently filed patent for an 'on-demand' factory. An algorithm collects orders and coordinates them in the most efficient way possible, based on needed materials or manufacturing processes.¹²⁶ Such a factory would produce only according to individual orders exactly matching demand and minimize inventory-very different from fashion's current approach.

Intensify engagement with supplier base and provide order security for suppliers. By embracing and including suppliers in the calculation of the supply-demand equation, brands can improve demand planning and production scheduling. It allows for better workforce planning at the suppliers, limiting excessive overtime and outsourcing to third-party suppliers unknown to the brand. Constant dialogue and closer relationships with a preferred supplier base also boosts flexibility and transparency.



Disruptive Actions:

Offer to a "Segment of One." The ultimate goal is prediction algo-Move toward automation at scale. Catering to individual consumers'

rithms for true segment-of-one markets by product type, style, size, and so on, to "create what people want." By using artificial intelligence beyond current forecasting methods, fashion brands can "know what a consumer wants before he or she knows it." Optimized offers, driven by algorithms matching individual style and fitting needs, will better satisfy consumers and lead to longer use of products, reducing consumption and waste. Some companies have already taken steps in this direction, moving toward 'codifying' design. Stitch Fix sends clients five curated pieces of clothing monthly, based on data gleaned from Pinterest, customer surveys, and personal notes to stylists. As the client answers questions or communicates with a stylist, the algorithm improves its predictive power further.¹²⁷ needs case by case requires efficient and speedy production processes. Manufacturing of apparel, footwear, and home textiles lags behind other types of manufacturing, making the industry a clear target for large-scale automation with an average labor productivity catch-up potential of 157% across Cambodia, Indonesia, the Philippines, Thailand and Vietnam compared to the average of their respective manufacturing sectors.¹²⁸

Increased automation is a natural next step, albeit a disruptive and capital-intensive one for most manufacturers. (See Automation: The Opportunity). As has happened in many other industries, robots can take on tasks that are repetitive, or physically demanding, or hazardous. They also offer workers the opportunity to re-skill. Workers can move into machine monitoring jobs, or into calibrating and maintaining automation equipment, and effectively participate in the enhanced productivity through wage increases in the short- to mid-term.

There is a social catch: automation could lead to value migrating toward more technologically advanced countries. (See Automation: A Social Threat?) It may become economically viable for companies to move manufacturing from developing countries and thus closer to the consumer with shorter distances travelled and therefore reduced CO₂ emissions. Manufacturers will no longer have to go to where labor is cheapest; they will become smaller, local, and highly configurable.

Automation: The Opportunity

There are plenty of natural incentives for fashion brands to extend automation. Robot costs are falling and technology continues to advance rapidly with such recent developments as specialized machines called sewbots. Selected innovations are being implemented, such as bonding/gluing techniques as alternatives to sewing, and computer-controlled tools for pattern making and cutting to get more from each area of fabric and to reduce leftover material.

Sportswear brands are the front-runners with automation thus far: Adidas opened its SpeedFactory automated plant in 2016¹; North Face has its FuseForm production technique²; Nike announced a partnership with high-tech manufacturing firm Flex³. But a bigger, more concerted, more disruptive push is needed. Manufacturing as a whole is undergoing a transformation; Industry 4.0 is the term used to describe the myriad changes coming, extending far beyond, say, robotics, to the Internet of Things, cognitive computing, and more. By 2020, 25% of manufacturing

sewbots in China

will be conducted by robots eliminating one-sixth of labor cost according to the World Economic Forum.

The fashion sector can and must benefit too. The International Labor Organization estimates that sewbots could dramatically cut costs in China and Thailand; the ILO's calculation is that by 2020, human labor may be up to 50% more expensive than

^{1.} The Economist. (2017). Adidas's high-tech factory brings production back to Germany. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://www.economist.com/news/ business/21714394-making-trainers-robots-and-3d-printers-adidass-hightech-factory-brings-production-back

^{2.} Evo. (2015). The North Face Launches Fuseform. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://culture.evo.com/2015/02/north-face-fuseform/

^{3.} Nike. (2015). Nike's Manufacturing Revolution Accelerated by New Partnership with Flex. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://news.nike.com/news/ nike-s-manufacturing-revolution-accelerated-by-new-partnership-with-flex

Automation: A Social Threat?

Automation Has Been Used in Manufacturing for Decades

Automating repetitive and dangerous tasks, such as dveing processes, can be seen as advantageous in terms of working conditions, as well as the health and safety of workers. Also, the automation of basic tasks will not mean that people won't find other work. For decades, developed nations, and more recently developing nations, have advanced automation while keeping unemployment rates stable or even falling

Training and transition programs are key, for instance, to making people go into related jobs (such as maintaining robots onsite) or evolving industries that still require manual work (such as service jobs).

Automation = Higher Productivity = Higher Value

Through automation and the resulting increased productivity. a lot of value is created. The challenge is to ensure that a large part of this value remains in the country in which the production takes place—then, the local population will also benefit. Little will have been gained in sustainability terms if the value goes only to large multinational brands and robot manufacturers.

Real Revolution: Artificial Intelligence (AI)

A true global challenge will be the automation not of basic tasks but of tasks requiring cognition. With developments in AI, even many office jobs in developed nations might become automated.

The effects of this massive change in the workforce could be much more impactful than the automation in manufacturing that has already been under way for a long time.

For further details, please consider reading the BCG perspective "Competing in the Age of Artificial Intelligence" (https://www.bcgperspectives.com/ content/articles/strategy-technology-digital-competing-age-artificial-intelligence/)

Utilize 3D printing at scale. 3D printing also offers leap-forward gains to farsighted fashion brands. It enables rapid prototyping, on-demand, segment-of-one products, and local production with no inventory, and no waste, among other benefits. Today 3D printing is more suited to limited scale than high volumes, and is still relatively expensive. It is better suited to hard products-jewelry and shoes-than to textiles. In terms of sustainability, what first comes to mind is preventing long product journeys from production to consumer and therefore avoiding greenhouse gas emissions. But the high temperatures now needed in the process strain the ecological footprint of production, and the environmental impact of materials has to be carefully assessed.

Many in the industry got their first glimpse of 3D printing's potential in 3D-printed shoes now available from New Balance and Under Armor. but at very high prices. Adidas is making an additional attempt at making 3D printing available at scale through the testing of a fully automated 3D printing and robotics shoe factory (the Speedfactory) in Germany and is planning to open a second one in the US this year. This will enable guicker reactions to consumer needs and faster delivery due to the closer proximity to customers.¹²⁹ Concerning 3D printing fabrics, TamiCare is one of the front-runners with its product CosyFlex[™], which features a fully automated process without cuts and therefore no wasted fabric.¹³⁰ Several startups are also working on 3D-printer-style knitting machines for fashion, for instance, Unmade¹³¹ and Kniterate.¹³²

Rebalanced Industry Economics: Target 2030: Fair and equal pay to workers and skill development for all workers

SOCIAL

Rebalancing industry economics would improve the lives of a large number of industry workers by ultimately ensuring that they receive a fair and equal wage. There is a long journey ahead before reaching that target, but brands are well-positioned to start the journey today.

Immediate Actions:

Ensure full payment and avoid forced overtime. Mismatched production planning often leads to excessive and forced overtime, together with delayed wage payments to workers. This is especially prevalent when brands change, cancel, rush, or place late orders. Lead times can be set unreasonably short, requiring excessive overtime. When brands pay their suppliers late or delay payments, workers may see their wages delayed too.¹³³ A closer relationship with suppliers can help in remediating such issues through assessing impacts of purchasing practices and establishing control measures preventing harm. The assessments can include tracking relevant indicators such as the percentage of orders placed late or changed after placement.¹³⁴ Possible control measures are using pricing models accounting for the cost of wages and benefits, clearly communicating deadlines to the purchasing team, and sharing the purchasing plan with suppliers.¹³⁵ (See Intensify Engagement with Supplier Base in the category Production-to-Demand.)

Establish minimum wage pay To improve the prevailing labor practices in garment production, GFA and BCG contend that wages must increase substantially. While decent working conditions have a wider scope than merely improving wages-such as limits on regular working hours and overtime-increasing wages is viewed as the strongest lever with which to ensure decent work. We see it as symbiosis, with reasonable working hours moving in tandem with a living wage.

As a first step, suppliers' compliance with international standards and national legislation has to be assessed. Support for effective wage fixing and enforcement mechanisms has to be clearly demonstrated. In a joint effort with suppliers, brands need to establish wage-management systems, together with complete and accurate payroll records, that reduce the risk of fraud in payments. Staff responsible for paying wages have to be trained.

A next step in moving toward living wages for workers and their families is to aim for 'extreme compliance' to minimum wages (paying 120% of the legal minimum) as reported by ILO-a figure that could benefit the world economy by nearly €5 billion per year,¹³⁶ representing the value of increased economic consumption and private investments by workers.

As things are today, the sector's minimum wages in most Asian countries are less than half of what can be considered a living wage137-the consequence of governments fearing that their countries will not be able to compete with other low-wage economies. Often manufacturers do not even comply with the minimum wage. Fair wages would enable workers to support themselves as well as two adult dependents, one adult and two children, or four children, covering food, clothing, housing, travel costs, children's education, health costs, and 10% toward discretionary income (such as savings or a pension).¹³⁸

India has the largest fashion-sector workforce. Estimates show that 35% of all workers receive less than 80% of the minimum wage of about €100 per month. It would cost the fashion industry an additional €1.8 billion a year-equivalent to only 1% of the industry's profit pool today-to bring all of India's textile workers up to the 120% of minimum wages-money that would have trickle-down benefits for consumption patterns across the country.¹³⁹ Crucially, wage increases on this scale would also help offset discrimination toward women --the majority of the garment and footwear

IT WOULD COST ONLY ABOUT *€1.35 TO DOUBLE* THE WAGES OF THOSE PRODUCING T-SHIRTS THAT RETAIL *FOR* €25 EACH



manufacturing workforce,¹⁴⁰ who are often especially vulnerable to low wage levels due to the persistent gender pay gap.¹⁴¹

Paying workers 120% of the stipulated minimum wage is merely the first step toward paying all workers fair wages. In order to truly provide better living standards, cross-industry collaboration is needed.

Ensure worker representation. An important step toward paying fair and equal wages to all workers in the fashion industry is to provide them with an opportunity to be heard. While individual brands will not be able to drive the necessary change at a global level alone, brands can contribute to empowering workers through ensuring that all suppliers have democratically elected worker representation.

Worker representation is critical as it provides workers with a platform for negotiation and furthers workplace dialogue and peaceful conflict resolution between workers and management-especially in countries where unionization is restricted by law.¹⁴²

Disruptive Actions:

Move to living wages. Brands in particular can step up to determine acceptable living wages in the nations where they have suppliers and then to enforce improved compensation. Admittedly, this is not a simple exercise; due to big differences from country to country in consumer price levels and many other factors, it can be hard to determine what a living wage truly is. To start off, fashion brands could leverage work already done on this topic by organizations such as the International Labor Organization, the Fair Wear Foundation, and the Clean Clothes Campaign.

Another difficult but crucial step: using agreed-upon data on what constitutes living wages to collaborate with suppliers in increasing compensation. The issue that comes immediately to mind, of course, is that costs of goods sold will skyrocket. But that's where deeper levels of collaboration will be essential in order to improve productivity levels. That can

Exhibit 30 Effect of Markup Model on Final Retail Price

Current Industry Markup Structures Leading to Disproportionate Price Increases



tion capacity.

Change industry standards for mark-up structures. Rebalancing of industry economics also means changing mark-up structures. Such changes could be barely visible to the consumer; it should cost only about €1.35 to double the wages of those producing T-shirts that retail for €25 each. However, under current mark-up structures, where all input price increases are treated equally, doubling the wages of workers would be multiplied and the T-shirt might actually cost €6.75 more on the retail rack. (See Exhibit 30.) So what is needed is a business system that allows an additional consumer premium for higher wages to be passed on to workers in its entirety. That model calls for truly innovative thinking and breaking business practices in place for decades.

Health and Safety Excellence: and morale

Ensuring that the health and safety of all workers are protected is an area where strong collaboration between fashion brands and suppliers can make a large difference. There are already immediate actions that can be taken today.

Immediate Actions:

Implement supplier health-and-safety scoring. Fashion brands can add health-and-safety scoring to their sourcing-decision criteria. A balanced-scorecard approach-well established as a management tool-can be helpful here. It gives factors such as exposure to chemicals, availability of fire doors, and remediation for injuries in line with the ILO Employment Injury Benefits Convention, appropriate weighting alongside quality, cost,

PROOF OF CONCEPT

How "Better Work" Increases Performance and Improves Workers' Lives

As a partnership involving the UN's International Labour Organization Better Work aims at uniting diverse groups—governments, factory owners, unions, workers, and global brands.

Improved firm performance: Factories collaborating with Better Work were up to 22% more productive, and the average factory in Vietnam experienced a 25% increase in profitability. This is attributable to reduction in worker turnover and injury rates improvements in balancing production lines, and increases in orders

Benefits to workers, their families and communities: Across country programs the initiative was able to decrease the gender pay gap by up to 17% and reduce sexual harassment concerns by up to 18%. In Jordan, a 33% increase in the proportion of workers using remittances to educate children could be shown, reflecting a wider shift in how remittances are used: from debt repayment to investments in education, health, and nutrition

Source: Information provided by Better Work

happen through worker training, better worker attitudes when working conditions are improved, knocking down barriers to the flow of production information, and increasing support for investments in more efficient machinery-for example, loans at preferential interest rates. While some of these initiatives can be carried out by individual brands, the entire industry needs to commit in order to move the needle. This is especially true for smaller brands that only account for a fraction of their suppliers' produc-

Target 2030: 100% safe working places fostering well-being

and delivery. Health and safety would become an explicit decision criterion 'on eye-height' with the others.

Disruptive Actions:

Realize industry best-practice safety levels. Front-runners in sustainability, have significantly cut the average number of recorded injuries in the industry at their factories.¹⁴³ One way to support such steps is to cut the number of suppliers, which enables closer relationships¹⁴⁴ and require source traceability and other guarantees to clearly prohibit subcontracting. Suppliers must develop capabilities through worker and supervisor training, in collaboration with factory managers. Such measures would not only improve working conditions and therefore brands, reputations and risk management, but can actually improve direct performance. (See How "Better Work" Increases Competitiveness and Workers' Lives.)

Use technology to drive assessment of Occupational Health and Safety (OHS). According to technology providers, mobile applications already exists to help fashion brands identify, reduce, and prevent workplace hazards faster and on a greater scale. These new mobile applications combine social technology, gamification, and data-driven insights to improve users' understanding and engagement with workplace safety. Instead of pen-and-paper methods of training and recordkeeping, an incident report or workplace safety observation can now be done using a smartphone's GPS, camera, voice-recording, and texting capabilities to capture real-time data. Adidas' initiative sends text messages to over 260,000 workers in 58 factories, representing around a quarter of its supply chain.¹⁴⁵ For training purposes, a quick video of employees doing things correctly can be easily shared with other workers. Data collected can be fed into modeling programs, provide almost instant feedback to employees, fill out reports with a minimum of effort, and track leading indicators. The best of these new technologies go beyond just automating manual tasks to delivering value by driving new behaviors on the factory floor. Online social networks and focused member communities enable workers to share knowledge and learn from each other. Mobile phones today are relatively inexpensive and easy to use and even provide workers with anonymized data about working conditions to enhance visibility across global supply chains. Often an NGO is required to gather and process the data to ensure end-users' anonymity and security.

HEALTH AND SAFETY IS AN AREA WHERE STRONG COLLABORATION BETWEEN FASHION BRANDS AND SUPPLIERS CAN MAKE A LARGE DIFFERENCE

Via the Internet of Things, an increasing number of devices and equipment are becoming connected to each other and to the internet, making it possible to transfer real-time data that can be used to drive insights and improve OHS programs. This could include notifications alerting workers when they enter areas with unique safety requirements as well as extracting data from equipment.

Advocacy of Human Rights:

Immediate Actions:

Ensure gender equality. Findings from a recent Better Work study demonstrate that workplace policies favorable to female workers give the business greater resilience, profitability, recruitment and retention.¹⁴⁶ While there are promising developments, many challenges remain here for the industry with wage discrimination (see Chapter 1), sexual harassment. and lower quality of life for female garment workers. Sexual harassment often arises from power differences, misaligned pay incentives, and the high-pressure nature of garment work-and it undermines productivity as well as hurts workers.¹⁴⁷ Female workers reported having less free time as they often bear the burden of the work at home in addition to their factory job. To increase gender equality fashion brands should, for instance, ensure that business partners have recruitment policies that guard against discrimination, harassment, and abuse. Also important are employment policies that prohibit discrimination, including against pregnant women, and encompass remediation plans.¹⁴⁸ Brands can also prompt partners to offer flexible work options, and to report on the share of women among all employees and in management positions. Guaranteeing equal opportunities and improving employment conditions in general can further increase productivity. (See Investing in Workers' Well-being Can Pay Off.)

Prevent child labor further upstream. According to the UN, the number of children engaged in child labor declined globally by one third from 2000 to 2012 (from 246 million to 168 million). Yet more than half of those remaining child laborers in 2012 (85 million) were engaged in hazardous work.¹⁴⁹ A supply chain 100% free of child labor should be the goal of every fashion brand, but the risk of short-term and unsound solutions is high due to the complex, multilayered and fragmented supply chains of the industry. Fashion brands should work with governments to combat child labor while also understanding and supporting comprehensive, bigger picture

PROOF OF CONCEPT

Li & Fung: Investing in Workers' Well-being Can Pay Off

Partnering with non-profit Business for Social Responsibility (BSR), the company rolled out the joint "HER" project to over 85 of its factories in 2014, a workplace program promoting health, financial inclusion, and gender equality.

Responding to local conditions and suppliers' needs, for instance in Cambodia, a curriculum was created focusing on nutrition and diet, given the high rate of anemia amongst female workers, who might then succumb to fainting. Li & Fung further hosted workshops to share best practices among participating factories and form support networks.

Acting on the belief that such initiatives would not only yield benefits to workers, but also factories and ultimately buyers. the company measured the impact on business. Bangladesh

factories, for instance, showed a decrease in sick leave days by females of over 2% per month. In Cambodia, a 10% drop in resignations amongst female employees and productivity increases between 3% and 18% could be seen over the course of the program.

LI & Fung points out that the given improvements are data correlations and no guarantee for the direct impact of the program. But the company affirms that the initiative are accompanied by economic gains for factories. Based on the gathered experiences, the company plans to roll out a mobile app by the second half of 2017 promoting employee and factory engagement.

Source: Company information



solutions that could include pre-competitive collaboration. According to the OECD there are significant gaps in legal frameworks and law enforcement capacity to prevent child labor in many garment-producing countries.¹⁵⁰ The OECD encourages fashion brands to work at numerous levels, including increased worker and management awareness through training. establishing management systems, and helping address systemic and root causes of child labor (such as lack of access to education).

Disruptive Actions:

Realize same opportunities regardless of orientation, beliefs and background. Achieving equal opportunities at the workplace means safeguarding that no one is treated differently or less favorably because of characteristics that are not related to their merit or the direct requirements of the job. This goal is one of the UN's Ten Principles of the Global Compact.¹⁵¹ Besides the already addressed topic of gender equality, discrimination includes sexual orientation, religious beliefs, social background, and disabilities as well as age and political opinion. The aspiration of equal opportunities is to be realized both in fashion brands' own operations and their entire supply chain. Drafting and implementing anti-discrimination and harassment policies, as well as diversity and inclusiveness policies, is the first step, followed by requiring all commercial business partners to have at least clear anti-discrimination, harassment and abuse policies in place. But companies also need to actively promote equal opportunity. Employees' awareness has to be raised so that incidents are avoided altogether or can at least be reported without concerns.¹⁵² In a concerted move, the fashion industry has to work together to have not just inclusive headquarters staff, but to realize equal opportunities along the supply chain.

Transparency and Traceability:

Target 2030: Full visibility on all Tier's supplier performance and conditions

One challenge inhibiting progress along a number of impact areas in

the industry is the lack of transparency and traceability.

Immediate Actions:

Use common standard to assess and remediate sustainability performance. Many companies lack clarity about sustainability because there is so much "noise": a plethora of well-meant initiatives that lack sufficient scale, a multitude of certificates, and scattered research. Brands interested in measuring their current performance and identifying the best path ahead lack a standardized methodology or framework. Existing tools are split between individual company efforts (such as Kering's EP&L or Nike's Manufacturing Index) and some ambitious multi-stakeholder attempts to fill that vacuum. This fragmentation calls for consolidation in order to channel money and effort at the most promising initiatives.

What is needed is a widely adopted global standard to performance assessment and sustainability reporting, so companies can measure their performance against industry benchmarks. This standard must have a crit**OVERARCHING**

standard.

Disruptive Actions:

Use intermediaries as enforcers. SMEs usually have little influence on their suppliers' practices, as they represent only a tiny fraction of a supplier's overall orders or source through intermediaries. But these intermediates can be used as enforcers of sustainable practices, functioning as gatekeepers in a joint effort with brands by stipulating, for instance, strict guidelines on chemical exposure and workers' safety. Large numbers of SMEs will need to collaborate to make this happen.

Develop technologies enabling full source traceability. Companies can also aim to know the sources of their raw materials, and the specific factories for each batch of production. That can help with organic certification and with eliminating subcontracting. Emerging tracking technologies such as DNA tracking and blockchain—as pioneered by the startup Provenance¹⁵³—can help.

Consumer Engagement: Target 2030: Complete Customer information on a garment's life cycle impact - environmentally and socially

When GFA and BCG polled the industry's sustainability officers to ask who bears the major responsibility for driving the industry toward more sustainability, fashion brands pinpointed consumers as number one. There is an opportunity, then, for brands to engage the consumer and encourage sustainable behavior.

Immediate Actions:

Continue sustainability education. By educating, informing and incentivizing consumers, companies can make up for consumers' limited awareness and limited willingness to pay for sustainable products. By actively engaging on the topic of sustainability, consumers may see and appreciate the need for it and the value it can create for them personally.

A notable corporate sustainability campaign includes Patagonia's 2011 ad that appeared in the New York Times on Black Friday. The ad features a black Patagonia jacket with the headline "don't buy this jacket". The ad text calls attention to the culture of consumption reflected by Black Friday and the strain that such consumption puts on natural resources. The company ends the ad by saying, "Don't buy what you don't need. Think twice before you buy anything."¹⁵⁴

A third approach to consumer awareness communication is exemplified in Selfridges' Material World initiative, carrying the tagline "What on earth are you wearing?" The campaign involved a film designed to raise consumer awareness to the consequences of material choice. It also ad-

ical mass of users to ensure fair peer-to-peer comparison. As introduced earlier in this report the Sustainable Apparel Coalition's Higg index offers a suite of tools empowering brands, retailers and facilities to identify areas of improvement and pointing the way to optimization. The index already covers a large share of the industry, and its continual commitment to refinement and expansion can fill the need for a much needed common

dresses consumers in stores through tags, providing information on the properties and sustainability of the materials used. The brand reports that 83% of 1,000 interviewed customers felt it shifted their knowledge about the issues.

These types of campaigns are a helpful way of introducing the topic of sustainability to consumers and enabling them to participate in the broader sustainability effort. As an added benefit to retailers, collection boxes and campaigns may even be able to drive store traffic, as customers will need to visit the stores to donate the garments.

Implement wear and care instructions. The use phase is a large contributor to the overall environmental impact because washing, drying, and ironing are so water- and energy-intensive. Companies can help reduce the impact of the use phase through wear and care instructions optimized for low environmental impact, for instance through less frequent washing and washing at lower temperatures. Further, companies should highlight the urgency for repair versus discarding an item and include replacement buttons and yarn.

BY EDUCATING, INFORMING AND **INCENTIVIZING CONSUMERS, COMPANIES** CAN MAKE UP FOR CONSUMERS' LIMITED AWARENESS AND WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR SUSTAINABLE PRODUCTS

Eileen Fisher offers extensive step-by-step repair and care guides on its website, enabling consumers to follow instructions on topics ranging from "How to hand wash a sweater" to "How to sew a button." Taking the initiative a step further, the company offers to repair customers' garments free of charge; the customer simply has to take the item to the store and then wait eight to ten weeks.¹⁵⁵

Disruptive Actions

Establish information labels. To encourage consumers to make more sustainable purchasing decisions and influence consumption patterns, large product labels on garments can be powerful instruments. Providing information on the environmental impact of a given product to consumers will help them understand the implications of their purchases. It can also become a competitive advantage if, for instance, the item is compared to an average product that does not use organic input materials or novel dyeing processes. A company pioneering in that regard is US fashion brand Reformation: It published environmental impact information for all its products on its website in terms of CO2, water and waste. It also compares the footprint of each garment with those of a comparable, conventionally produced one.

Building upon existing concepts such as environmental P&Ls and tools such as the Higg index, measuring the environmental impact of one's products can be achieved in the near future by brands already reporting on the topic. For inexperienced brands undertaking this effort would also help them to better understand their own supply chains, as was concluded by 78% of participants in a French government pilot project on environmental labeling.¹⁵⁶ Common labeling standards would facilitate consumer understanding. Such a standard seems within reach on the environmental front; more and more companies are making environmental impact assessments, with some, such as Kering, going so far as to lay open most of their methodology.¹⁵⁷ The same cannot be said for social impact assessments. But standardized labels on working conditions in, say, garment factories, would help raise consumers' attention to the impact of their choices.

Novel Business Models:

Many emerging business models in the fashion industry circle around the idea of prolonging the life of a garment, be it through reuse or increased durability. Such business models can complement existing models or form the basis of a company in its own right. One novel concept promotes consumer access over ownership. Such models can be based on renting clothes rather than purchasing them, at 10% to 15% of their purchase price, or even a Netflix for clothing model where consumers get access to unlimited items, three of which they can hold at a time, based on a monthly subscription fee.¹⁵⁸ As a consumer's wardrobe life-cycle manager, the subscription business model can reduce production while satisfying consumers' need for novelty. (See Novel Business Models at Filippa K.) Admittedly, individual transportation and possibly cleaning after every use could blunt some of the environmental advantages.

Sharing models, boosting the secondary use of products, or promoting repair, can reduce the industry's environmental impact, but they still have to prove their economic viability on the large scale. A major obstacle is the lack of consumer demand: 90% of consumers in EU countries do not consider buying secondhand clothing at all.¹⁵⁹ But as examples from other industries show-think Uber and Airbnb-there could still be a vast opportunity. Imagine telling someone 10 years ago that soon, millions of people would regularly share rides with complete strangers and stay in apartments of people they have never seen before—and pay for such services.

PROOF OF CONCEPT Novel Business Models at Filippa K

Filippa K is a brand that is considering business model innovation to emphasize its devotion to reduce, repair, reuse, and recycle. To ensure that all the company's products receive a second or third life through reuse, the company has operated a profitable secondhand store in Stockholm since 2008. Further,

Source: Company information

Ambition 2030: Full utilization of purchased fashion producst

the company has started to rent out clothes in selected stores through its Lease concept. This concept builds on the idea that customers will be able to renew their wardrobes without contributing to increased consumption

SUSTAINABILITY IS A POSITIVE BUSINESS CASE

The preceding assessments show that the industry can already generate immense value for the world economy through better practices. The company examples showcased in chapter 3 are proof-of-concept that such improvements can also be economically viable to individual businesses. In its 2015 survey report with *MIT Sloan Management Review*, BCG found that 60% of managers in publicly traded companies believe that good sustainability practices influence business decisions.¹⁶⁰ This stands in contrast to the 75% of senior executives in investment firms who consider a company's sustainability performance to be materially important for their investment decisions—and nearly half who would not invest in a company with a poor track record in that regard.¹⁶¹ Furthermore, 75% of investors now think that increased operational efficiency often accompanies sustainability investments.¹⁶²

GFA and BCG aim to assess how far these moves towards improved impact can be profitable, or at least not generate additional costs. Besides the company examples mentioned already, we can point to several measures with neutral to positive business cases, based on initial estimates built on available data and ambitious, but reasonable assumptions.

These calculations were performed for investments to boost efficiency in waste and water management, and to improve labor productivity, for an exemplary fashion brand. With waste reduction, the measures included supplier training to lower processing waste, wider use of laser cutting to lower manufacturing waste, and new apparel designs that cut down on waste from day one. Water-saving measures included supporting suppliers in adhering to the Better Cotton Initiative's principles. Measures for productivity included training of factory managers, efforts to change workers' attitudes and improve working conditions, streamlining line-level productivity, and removing information barriers to sharing best practices.

More extensive estimations were made on energy efficiency, which has special significance for fashion brands due to the high energy costs on yarn processing, polyester production, and store occupancy. These investments can reach break-even quickly and even increase EBIT-margins. (See Exhibit 31, Energy Efficiency Reducing Emissions While Enhancing the Bottom Line.). For an exemplary company, comparable to a typical large-scale fashion retailer, break-even would come within a few years and the EBIT-margin could be improved by one percentage point.

These assessments, along with the multitude of proofs of concepts outlined in Chapter 3, show that improving a fashion brand's impact need not come at the detriment of profitability—and this is without calculating the positive effect on risk management and brand building. But more work is to be done on a broader, more detailed set of concrete examples. Such work, to be released in a future edition of the report, will include partnerships with sustainability frontrunners to analyze in depth the short- and long-term effects of these investments.

All of these investments can help counteract the cost pressures faced by fashion brands that were described in Chapter 1. But uncertainty prevails and even greater cost increases are possible, if for example policymakers move toward special taxes to enforce lower resource use. This is why the industry needs disruptive new technologies and business models as well as collaborative improvements. These would keep businesses the driving force in the industry, supported by policymakers instead of restrained by them.

Energy efficiency • Rising non-renewable energy costs • Investment into energy efficiency in production stages and retail stores Initial situation: Influence on Production cost Material cost SG&A • Base case: 1.8% CAGR Influence on Production cost • Factory running cost • Factory running cost • Factory running cost • Cocupancy cost • Tier 2 Supplier Tier 1 Supplier Own stores

- The production of fabrics from raw material is the most energy-intense production step in the fashion value chain, driven by amongst others cotton spinning and weaving or polyester extruder spinning and knitting
- As illustrated in the model P&L, fabric cost is often by far the greatest cost factor in the cost of goods sold; therefore, measures increasing energy efficiency in this production step have the greatest impact
- Examples of possible efficiency drivers:
 Update suction fan drive control systems
- Utilize heat energy of exhaust gases
- Install variable frequency drives for humidification system pumps
- In total, those and other energy efficiency increasing measures are estimated to reduce energy consumption by 32% in applicable facilities
- 80% was assumed as a share of applicable facilities, as Tier 2 supplier facilities are often located in countries such as India or Bangladesh where many are still equipped with outdated machinery showing the highest savings potential
- The investment mainly entails cost for upgrading the equipment for every facility; due to a generally large number of supplier facilities, the capital requirement is large
- Furthermore, cost for capacity building, i.e. training of workers, has to be taken into account, although in much smaller dimensions
- Due to the big share of the considered cost items in the overall cost of goods sold, and therefore the cost savings, the investment is calculated to break even within 1-2 years

- In Tier 1 factories, being responsible manufacturing of the garments, ener considerable input factor, however n as in Tier 2
- Furthermore, factory running cost an a marginal share of overall production therefore, energy efficiency measured production step only have very limit
- Examples of possible efficiency driving install Variable Speed Drives for washing, drying machines
 Switch to LED lighting
- Use new, more efficient screw t pressors
- In total, those and other energy efficience increasing measures were estimated energy consumption by 26% in those applicable
- 90% was assumed as a share of app facilities as also Tier 1 supplier facilit often located in countries such as In Bangladesh where many are still equ with outdated machinery showing th saving potential
- Again, the investment includes cost ment and capacity building
- Capital requirements are, however, or ably smaller than in the case of Tier with also less facilities to upgrade or
- Nevertheless, due to the limited cos impact, the time needed to break-ev efficiency measure is calculated to b 3 and 4 years



ion cost	Material cost	SG&A	
ning cost	 Fabric cost Accessory Print / embroidery 		
	Ow	n stores	
for the ergy is a not as large re only on cost; es in this eed impact ers: r sewing,	 As outlined in the model P&L, store occupan cost generally make up a large share of SG& costs, roughly on par with labor and G&A expenses Within the store occupancy costs, energy co make up a rather small but still considerable part of the total costs; within energy costs, especially lighting makes up a major part. Me sures in this area can therefore have a viable influence on the bottom line 		
ype com- ciency I to reduce te facilities licable cies are dia or	 Examples of possib LED lighting re Switch of lighting re Switch of lighting re Steering by day sensors In total, such energy mated to save near Based on similar stores the measures are as all stores of the mo 	le efficiency drivers: quiring less direct energy ning reducing necessary energy ylight and occupancy y saving measures are esti- ly 23% in energy costs ore concepts in all outlets, ssumed to be rolled out in del company.	
uipped he highest for equip- consider- 2 suppliers verall t saving ven on that he between	 Upgrading for instarequires adaptation can be realized with requirements, due the scalable technology processes, however savings For the model compreaks even within 	nce the lighting in stores s in all retail outlets but n comparably low capital to standardized easily v solutions and installation still leading to direct cost pany, the investments around 1 to 2 years	

EBIT-margin +0.2-0.3 ppts.

 \downarrow

Source: BCG analysis. The achievable reductions in energy consumption are a result of a triangulation of different sources, amongst others Asian Development Bank. (2014). Bangladesh: Industrial Energy Efficiency Finance Program.; USAID. (2014). The Business Case for Energy Efficiency in Vietnam's Textile Industry; ICF. (2015). Study on Energy Efficiency and Energy Saving Potential in Industry from Possible Policy Mechanisms. European Commission Directorate - General Energy.







A CALL FOR COLLABORATION AND INNOVATION

The Landscape for Change is bold and ambitious, going well beyond what individual players have accomplished thus far and can accomplish going forward. The main challenge to achieve this ambition is not individual commitment and actions, but leadership, collaboration and innovation. How can the industry manage the collective effort to develop new solutions and scale promising technologies to commercial viability? Many of these ideas will become practical only with widespread adoption. It's not enough for a few leading brands or sustainability champions to show proof of concept. We need the broad commitment and coordinated participation of the industry as a whole. With help from industry associations, consumers, and regulators, fashion can achieve the vision of a better industry.

WHAT IS THE ROLE OF REGULATORS?

Governmental regulators can play several roles in their interactions with the industry, along with international organizations such as the United Nations, the EU, and ASEAN, and their actions will depend on the industry's own course. The best result is for regulators to offer a globally harmonized approach.

Outside of laws on wages and chemical use, the fashion industry so far has seen little regulatory intervention. This is due partly to a lack of regulation generally in the main producing countries. Some initiatives have emerged from voluntary action, such as Bangladesh's Accord on Fire and Building Safety, which is legally binding for participating parties. The UN Global Compact, in which firms pledge to observe proclaimed standards, is another example.

This voluntary self-regulation of the industry may change—and change quite suddenly—if the industry finds itself blamed for sustainability shortfalls. To avoid unilateral regulation, it is incumbent upon the industry to regulate itself.

THE REGULATOR AS AN AMPLIFIER

By taking the lead, the industry can favorably steer the needed changes. Not only would it preempt unilateral restrictions, but it could prompt *supportive regulation* that reinforces sustainability targets and incentivizes change. Rather than setting out mandates, regulators can motivate and amplify the moves of farsighted companies. They can do this, for example, with incentives for renewable energy or tax discounts for repairs. (See Exhibit 32 for an overview of the broad-solutions landscape envisioned for regulators).

Going further, governments can push the technological frontier with public research grants or subsidies on company- or industry-wide R&D, for instance on sustainable materials or chemical recycling. They can also subsidize investments to match production with demand, as in big data, 3D printing, and large-scale automation.

With fashion lagging behind other industries on recycling, policymakers should make it easier to handle clothing waste. They can help make consumers aware of the end-of-life stage and existing collection options as well as simplify the collection process. Regulators can also awaken con-



Source: BCG analysis

sumers to environmental impacts by requiring labels on water and energy use for washing machines and dryers, and by establishing standards for sustainability labels on garments.

As for the social footprint, regulators can support better labor practices with tax incentives or direct financial support for worker safety training programs and improvements in factory conditions. They can help invest in company health services for workers in production countries, help define the living wage, and support collective bargaining.

In all of this, regulators should be aware of the differences in sustainability maturity and available resources across the industry. Brands about to embark on the journey face a business reality different from that faced by front-runners with dedicated efforts well under way. Legislation has to reflect these distinctions to support efforts without imposing unmanageable cost on resource-constrained firms.

IF REGULATORS STEP IN

If the fashion industry fails to take the proactive, concerted stance needed to boost sustainability, regulators may take on more than just supporting and amplifying roles. They could take the lead – and as the pharmaceutical industry has found, damage the industry's profitability.¹⁶³ Potential steps range from mandates on renewable energy to compulsory labeling for environmental life cycle assessments and social impact -- as was piloted with the Grenelle 2 Act in France; or more broadly in the European Commission's 'Environmental Footprint' pilots in 26 consumer product categories. Regulators could set far-reaching and escalating targets for the industry, with penalties becoming more severe over time.

Other laws might set maximum levels of water and chemical use, as is already the case in drought-stricken regions. Or governments might compensate, through taxes, for the mispricing of water by factoring in the negative side effects of its unconstrained use, comparable to the way carbon taxes are used today.¹⁶⁴ Governments could even mandate "extended producer responsibility"—forcing brands to take in products they sold when consumers return them at end-of-life, as they have already done in many countries with electronics.

Regulators may impose limits on the use of virgin raw materials. Fashion brands that are not yet using low-impact materials, and have no established relations with suppliers of such materials would struggle under such regulation.

On the social side, regulators could start with stricter enforcement of minimum wage levels and escalate to raises in those levels. Labor-relations rulings could drive systems for determining and negotiating living wages. Policymakers could also implement a standardized global healthand-safety auditing process. At a minimum, it might set protocols for unannounced audits and restrictions on the choice of auditors. The European Commission is currently working on the 'EU Garment Initiative', aiming for responsible management in the supply chain of the garment sector.

Regulators might also force fashion brands to stipulate community investments, such as the minimum percentages of sales allocated to projects that benefit workers at their factories. Or it could insist that foreign direct investments have a minimum level of community investment in, say, the health infrastructure for garment workers. These are not hypothetical possibilities. There is a growing political will at least within the European Union to regulate the fashion industry. Initiatives in Germany, The Netherlands and elsewhere have gained momentum among policymakers in response to what they see as the industry's lack of self-governance of supply chains. Such national initiatives could potentially undermine the all-important efforts at global consolidation and harmonization. To head these off, it is imperative for the industry to develop and showcase large-scale improvements.

THE BEST RESULT IS FOR REGULATORS TO OFFER A GLOBALLY HARMONIZED APPROACH

THE CONSUME THE SCALE

Consumers are far more sensitive to environmental, social, and ethical concerns than those of previous decades. A third of Millennials strongly agree that they are more likely to buy from companies that are mindful of their social responsibilities, while just a quarter of those older than 51 say so.¹⁶⁵ But only a tiny proportion of fashion shoppers are willing to pay a premium for sustainable products. In the Pulse Survey only 6% of the fashion brands polled said they charged a substantial premium for products explicitly marketed as sustainable. One in four firms named consumers' unwillingness to pay such a premium for preventing them from revising their practices. At the same time, most consumers have, at best, a hazy idea of what goes into their buys. While some may be attuned to fair-trade sourcing of the materials that go into a desired blouse or jacket, they may know little or nothing about the impact of the dyeing processes used in making the product. They may also be blind to their own participation when quickly disposing of the apparel after only a few wears.

Additionally, consumers who want to make informed decisions about their fashion purchases are hard-pressed to sort through the information available to them. Here lies opportunity for the industry. Farsighted fashion brands can join forces with consumers in a long-term push for better practices and transparency in the value chain.

Next to making conscious choices about their consumption patterns, consumers can most easily make a difference in their apparel use. By upgrading washing and drying machines to eco-efficient models, as old machines break, they can save a great deal of water and energy. As for disposal, they can help by donating used garments through in-store collection boxes or public collection schemes.

With education, information, and incentives, consumers can gradually change their habits far beyond washing and drying.

THE CONSUMER WITH THE POWER TO TIP

COLLABORATION AND INNOVATION NEEDED **ON AN UNPRECEDENTED SCALE**

Up to now, individual brands and retailers and selected multi-stakeholder initiatives have shown impressive commitment and have already achieved great progress. Best practices are available across all segments of the industry, and substantial innovations are emerging. Applying and implementing these will do much to improve the industry's impact. But these will not be enough to capture the full potential outlined in Chapter 1. A collective effort with critical mass would enable the industry to make progress on the major pre-competitive goals, such as a network of collection points to promote recycling.

Such an effort would need a unified agenda with clear goals. It would be led by the large industry brands which as we have shown in Chapter 2, are clearly ahead of the game when it comes to sustainability. (See A Common Global Agenda on Sustainability in Fashion). The key is to set up an ecosystem that encourages all parts of the industry to collaborate on the major issues. Multi-stakeholder initiatives, acting beyond commercial interests, can offer guidance and promote cohesion. But today's scattered, fragmented array of initiatives, memberships, certifications and so on can be confusing to brands, suppliers, innovators and donors, Consolidation is inevitable to focus time, energy and money.

With the industry united around an agenda for change, it can drive the needed systemic change and work jointly on disruptive innovation. (See Changing the Apparel Industry through Innovation and New Business Models.) As promising ideas emerge, companies can support pilot programs and then quickly scale them up to commercial viability. Such collective investments would drive down costs and enable the magnitudes necessary to 'move the needle'-as can be seen in other industries where such practices are common.

FOR A WORLD BEYOND NEXT SEASON

This report has laid out the scale of the challenges and broken down the necessary responses, near-term and further out as well as individual and collective. It has advanced the business case for change and estimated the upside of the appropriate responses. It has also sketched out one scenario where the industry acts proactively and forcefully, and a fallback

Global Fashion Agenda: A Common Global Agenda on Sustainability in Fashion

Global Fashion Agenda was born from the imperative to transcend misconceptions and bridge fragmented sustainability efforts, by setting a unified agenda on key environmental, social and ethical issues for the global fashion industry.

Working in partnership with a group of sustainability-pioneering fashion leaders, Global Fashion Agenda aims to create a common understanding of the most critical issues facing the industry across segments, sizes, and geographies, and focus efforts on the highest impact opportunities. This group of partners today

counts H&M. Kering, Li & Fung, Target and Sustainable Apparel Coalition.

Global Fashion Agenda is anchored around Copenhagen Fashion Summit, the world's principal event on sustainability in fashion for industry decision-makers. Leveraging the strength of this platform, Global Fashion Agenda advocates for focused industry efforts following this common agenda, and creates joint commitments for change that has the potential to transform the way we produce and consume fashion today.

Fashion for Good: Changing The Apparel Industry Through **Innovation and New Business Models**

launched in spring 2017. It is bringing together brands, retailers suppliers, non-profit organizations, innovators and funders in order to jointly work on innovations and new business models which have the potential to transform the industry. The core of Fashion for Good is an open innovation platform aimed at finding, investing in, and accelerating startups that fasttrack the transition to a sustainable apparel industry. Per design the platform is open for all likeminded industry players and focuses on pre-competitive areas such as raw materials, processing technologies and end-of-use. Additionally the initiative aims to

omy.

In preparing and producing this report, GFA and BCG have signaled the urgency and hidden potential of the sustainability issue and shone a light on practical ways forward. It is our hope that the report becomes a powerful catalyst for real change. As such, the Good Citizen Principles and the Landscape for Change provide concrete recommendations grouped by different maturity phases. More broadly, GFA and BCG wish for this report to spark myriad conversations among many different parties that will collectively galvanize change at scale. We hope to continue this conversation in future reports, with input from all interested stakeholders.

look still further forward.

Fashion for Good is a holistic and inclusive open-source initiative, set up a EUR 100m acceleration fund to ease access to capital for fashion supply chain players through de-risking investments Fashion for Good was created with an initial grant from founding partner C&A Foundation, and other partners have joined to help build the foundation of Fashion for Good: C&A, the Cradle to Cradle Products Innovation Institute, the Ellen MacArthur Foundation. IDH the Sustainable Trade Initiative. Impact Hub Amsterdam Kering McDonough Innovation Plug and Play and the Sustainable Apparel Coalition (SAC).

Source: Information provided by Fashion for Good

case where regulators drive more of the change. We vigorously recommend the first scenario, in which fashion businesses take the driver's seat in making smart choices for the benefit of businesses and the world econ-

Since its beginning—certainly since the development of mass-fashion markets-the fashion industry has always had its eye on the clothing lines to be launched next season. In the context of a world timed by seasons altered already by the heavy hand of humankind, the industry must now

ENDNOTES

- 1 BCG comparison of retail market values across consumer industries, based on Euromonitor International, (2017)
- Market size estimate of the apparel and footwear industry (ex cluding home textiles, accessories and jewelry) based on BCG triangulation of: Euromonitor International. (2017, January 18). [Apparel and Footwear 20171: Statista, (2014, September), [Value of the global apparel and footwear market from 2002 to 20151: Morgan Stanley, (2015), Global Athletic Wear - Global Insight: Very Bullish Five-Year Outlook: Cowen and Company, (2015), Dislocation in Apparel Will Continue - "Athletic" Brands Will Win; MarketLine. (2016). Global Apparel Retail; Technavio. (2016). Global Women's Apparel Market
- 3 FashionUnited. (2016). Global fashion industry statistics International apparel. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from https://fashionunited. com/global-fashion-industry-statistics
- Based on exemplary calculations as presented in Chapter 3
- Copenhagen Fashion Summit 2017, (2017), Retrieved April 4, 2017, from https://www.copenhagenfashionsummit.com/summit-2017/
- 6 Sustainable Apparel Coalition. (2017). The Higg Index. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://apparelcoalition.org/the-higg-index/
- 7 United Nations. (2015). Probabilistic Population Projections based on the World Population Prospects: The 2015 Revision. Population Division, DESA, http://esa.un.org/unpd/ppp/
- 8 OECD (2017), GDP long-term forecast (indicator). (Accessed on 05 April 2017)
- 9 BCG estimate of total apparel and footwear consumption in million tons. For additional details, please see the methodology appendix
- 10 BCG Retail value projection 2015-2030 based on: Euromonitor International. (2017, January 18). [Apparel and Footwear 2017]; The Economist Intelligence Unit. (2017). [Footwear: Market demand (% real change pa) and Clothing: Market demand (% real change pa)]: Mintel Group Limited, (2017), [Footwear: Retail market value in USD adjusted to 2016 prices]. Forecast using constant 2016 prices and fixed 2016 exchange rates
- 11 Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockstrom, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E. et al. (2015). Planetary boundaries: guiding human development on a changing planet. Science, 347(6223).
- 12 Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å, Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E. et al. (2009). Planetary Boundaries: Exploring the Safe Operating Space for Humanity, Ecology and Society, 14(2),
- 13 Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockstrom, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E. et al. (2015). Planetary boundaries: guiding human development on a changing planet. Science, 347(6223)
- 14 Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å. Chapin, F. S., Lambin E et al. (2009) Planetary Boundaries: Exploring the Safe Operating Space for Humanity Ecology and Society 14(2)
- 15 Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å, Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E. et al. (2009). Planetary Boundaries: Exploring the Safe Operating Space for Humanity. Ecology and Society, 14(2).; Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockstrom, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E. et al. (2015). Planetary boundaries: guiding human development on a changing planet. Science, 347(6223).
- 16 Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å, Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E. et al. (2009). Planetary Boundaries: Exploring the Safe Operating Space for Humanity. Ecology and Society, 14(2).; Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockstrom, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E. et al. (2015). Planetary boundaries: guiding human development on a changing planet. Science, 347(6223).
- 17 World Bank. (2016). High and dry: climate change, water, and the economy. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- 18 The estimated environmental footprint builds on (1) a triangulation of the fiber mix in 2015 and the projected fiber mix in 2030, (2) an estimation of the environmental footprint along the en-

tire value chain by fiber type, and (3) an estimation of total consumption in million tons and scaling the footprint. For additional details, please see the methodology appendix

- 19 MCL Global. (2015). Closing the loop Can the apparel industry move from a linear to a circular business model? An essential guide for the global textile supply chain. Normanton, West Yorkshire UK[,] MCL Global
- 20 World Bank. (2016). High and dry: climate change, water, and the economy, Washington, DC: World Bank,
- 21 The estimated environmental footprint builds on (1) a triangulation of the fiber mix in 2015 and the projected fiber mix in 2030, (2) an estimation of the environmental footprint along the entire value chain by fiber type, and (3) an estimation of total consumption in million tons and scaling the footprint. For additional details, please see the methodology appendix.
- 22 Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockstrom, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, et al. (2015). Planetary boundaries: guiding human development on a changing planet. Science, 347(6223).
- 23 Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å, Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E. et al. (2009). Planetary Boundaries: Exploring the Safe Operating Space for Humanity Ecology and Society 14(2)
- 24 The estimated environmental footprint builds on (1) a triangulation of the fiber mix in 2015 and the projected fiber mix in 2030. (2) an estimation of the environmental footprint along the entire value chain by fiber type, and (3) an estimation of total consumption in million tons and scaling the footprint. For additional details, please see the methodology appendix.
- 25 Levi Strauss & Co. (2015). The life cycle of a jean: understanding the environmental impact of a pair of Levi's 501 jeans.
- 26 H&M. (2017). The H&M Group Sustainability Report 2016
- 27 Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockstrom, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E. et al. (2015). Planetary boundaries: guiding human development on a changing planet. Science, 347(6223).
- 28 Heffer, P. (2013). Assessment of fertilizer use by crop at the global level. Paris, The International Fertilizer Industry Association.
- 29 Kering. (2014). Environmental Profit & Loss (E P&L) Methodology and 2013 Group Results.
- 30 Organic Cotton. (2017). The risks of cotton farming. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://www.organiccotton.org/oc/Cotton-general/Impact-of-cotton/Risk-of-cotton-farming php
- 31 Kering. (2014). Envitonmental Profit & Loss (E P&L) Methodology and 2013 Group Results
- 32 UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme). (2009). UNEP Yearbook - New Science and Developments in our Changing Environment
- 33 According to the Global Footprint Network, the ecological footprint comprises the population's demand for plant-based food and fiber products, livestock and fish products, timber and other forest products, space for human urban infrastructure, and forest to absorb its carbon dioxide emissions from fuels. Global Footprint Network. (2017). Ecological footprint. Retrieved April 4, 2017 from http://www.footprintnetwork.org/our-work/ecological-footprint/
- 34 The amount of waste is measured starting from delivery of the raw materials to the processing stage and ending at the product's end-of-life, excluding co-products and wastes associated with agricultural, oil and chemical production (as defined by WRAP. (2012). Valuing Our Clothes: The True Cost Of How We Design, Use And Dispose Of Clothing In The UK.)
- .35 The estimated environmental footprint builds on (1) a triangulation of the fiber mix in 2015 and the projected fiber mix in 2030, (2) an estimation of the environmental footprint along the entire value chain by fiber type, and (3) an estimation of total consumption in million tons and scaling the footprint. For additional details, please see the methodology appendix.
- 36 The waste projection builds on (1) a triangulation of the fiber mix in 2015 and the projected fiber mix in 2030. (2) an estimation of the environmental footprint along the entire value chain by fiber type, and (3) an estimation of total consumption in million tons

and scaling the footprint. For additional details, please see the methodology appendix. The population statistics are retrieved from United Nations (2015) Probabilistic Population Projections based on World Population Prospects: The 2015 Revision. Population Division DESA

- 37 The Ellen MacArthur Foundation (2014) Towards The Circular Economy, Vol. 3: Accelerating The Scale-up Across Global Supply Chains
- 38 Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockstrom, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, et al. (2015). Planetary boundaries: guiding human development on a changing planet. Science, 347(6223).
- 39 Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å, Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E. et al. (2009). Planetary Boundaries: Exploring the Safe Operating Space for Humanity. Ecology and Society, 14(2).
- 40 The estimated environmental footprint builds on (1) a triangulation of the fiber mix in 2015 and the projected fiber mix in 2030, (2) an estimation of the environmental footprint along the entire value chain by fiber type, and (3) an estimation of total consumption in million tons and scaling the footprint. For additional details please see the methodology appendix.
- 41 World Bank, (2017). Water overview, Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/water/overview#1.
- 42 FashionUnited. (2016). Global fashion industry statistics International apparel. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from https://fashionunited. com/global-fashion-industry-statistics
- Weak minimum wage compliance in Asia's garment industry. ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, (Asia-Pacific Garment and Footwear Sector Research Note, [5]); Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Living Wage in Asia. Amsterdam: Clean Clothes Campaign; Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Stitched Up: Poverty Wages for Garment Workers in Eastern Europe and Turkey. Clean Clothes Campaign
- 44 The sample includes Cambodia, India, Indonesia, Lao PRD, Pakistan, the Philippines, Thailand, and Vietnam. The countries are weighted by the total of wage and salaried employment in apparel, footwear and textile in each country. Data based on Huynh, P. (2015). Employment, wages and working conditions in Asia's garment sector: finding new drivers of competitiveness. ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. (ILO Asia-Pacific working 58 Based on a projection of the recordable industry injury rate as, paper series)
- 45 Van Klaveren, M. (2016) Wages in context in the garment industry in Asia, Amsterdam: WageIndicator Foundation, April, Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://www.wageindicator.org/main/Wageindicatorfoundation/publications.
- 46 The United Nations, (2017), Sustainable Development Goals 17 Goals to Transform Our World Retrieved April 7 from http:// www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/
- 47 The United Nations. (2017). Sustainable Development Goals 17 Goals to Transform Our World. Retrieved April 7, from http:// www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/.
- 48 Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Living wage in Asia. Amsterdam: Clean Clothes Campaign.
- 49 Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Stitched up: poverty wages for garment workers in Eastern Europe and Turkey. Clean Clothes Campaign
- 50 Huynh, P., & Cowgill, M. (2016). Weak minimum wage compliance in Asia's garment industry, ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. (Asia-Pacific Garment and Footwear Sector Research Note, [5]).
- 51 Calculated based on distribution of wage data in Huynh, P., & 61 BCG triangulation based on annual reports and sustainability re-Cowgill, M. (2016). Weak minimum wage compliance in Asia's garment industry. ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. 62 BCG triangulation based on annual reports and sustainability re-(Asia-Pacific Garment and Footwear Sector Research Note, [5]) and garment worker numbers from Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014), Living Wage in Asia, Amsterdam: Clean Clothes Campaign and Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Stitched Up: Poverty

53 Huynh, P. (2016). Gender pay gaps persist in Asia's garment and footwear sector. ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. (Asia-Pacific Garment and Footwear Sector Research Note, [4]). 54 Huynh, P., & Cowgill, M. (2016). Weak minimum wage compliance in Asia's garment industry. ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. (Asia-Pacific Garment and Footwear Sector Research Note, [5]).

of competitiveness. ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. (ILO Asia-Pacific working paper series). 56 The authors of this report do not recommend 120% min. wage as representative of a living wage; level of 120% min. wage taken to show general insufficiency of min wage level to make a living. further the taken threshold is advantageous due to data availability in ILO reports on min. wage compliance (Huynh, P., & Cowgill, M. (2016). Weak minimum wage compliance in Asia's garment industry, ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, (Asia-Pacific Garment and Footwear Sector Research Note, [5]))

mum wage compliance in Asia's garment industry. ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. (Asia-Pacific Garment and Footwear Sector Research Note, [5]); BCG projection of the retail volume of apparel and footwear 2015-2030 based on Euromonitor International. (2017, January 18). [Apparel and Footwear 2017]; The Economist Intelligence Unit. (2017). [Footwear: Market demand (% real change pa) and Clothing: market demand (% real change pa)]; Mintel Group Limited. (2017). [Footwear: Retail market value in USD adjusted to 2016 prices].: Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Living Wage in Asia. Amsterdam; Clean Clothes Campaign and Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Stitched Up: Poverty Wages for Garment Workers in Eastern Europe and Turkey. Clean Clothes Campaign.

43 BCG estimation based on data from Huynh, P., & Cowgill, M. (2016). 57 Projection based on Huynh, P., & Cowgill, M. (2016). Weak mini-

presented by Nike (2015) Sustainable business report FY14/15 Beaverton: Nike Inc., which is based on primary data from the US Department of Labor - Occupational Safety and Health Administration. The recordable injury rate is scaled by the estimated number of garment workers in the world in 2015 and 2030. These are based on country data and production shares by Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Living Wage in Asia. Amsterdam: Clean Clothes Campaign, and Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Stitched Up: Poverty Wages for Garment Workers in Eastern Europe and Turkey. Clean Clothes Campaign., as well as BCG projection of the retail volume of apparel and footwear 2015-2030 based on Euromonitor International. (2017, January 18). [Apparel and Footwear 2017]; The Economist Intelligence Unit. (2017). [Footwear: Market demand (% real change pa) and Clothing: market demand (% real change pa)]; Mintel Group Limited, (2017), [Footwear; Retail market value in USD adjusted to 2016 prices].

60 United Nations. (2015). The Millennium Development Goals Report 2015. Retrieved April 5, from http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/2015_MDG_Report/pdf/MDG%202015%20rev%20 (July%201).pdf.

ports.

ENDNOTES

Wages for Garment Workers in Eastern Europe and Turkey Clean **Clothes** Campaign

52 The United Nations. (2017). Sustainable Development Goals -17 Goals to Transform Our World. Retrieved April 7, 2017, from http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/

55 Calculations based on Huynh, P. (2015). Employment, wages and working conditions in Asia's Garment sector: Finding new drivers

59 Nike. (2015). Sustainable business report FY14/15. Beaverton: Nike Inc

ports

63 BCG Retail value projection 2015-2030 based on: Euromonitor International. (2017, January 18). [Apparel and Footwear 2017]; The Economist Intelligence Unit. (2017). [Footwear: Market demand (% real change pa) and Clothing: Market demand (% real change pa)]; Mintel Group Limited. (2017). [Footwear: Retail market value in USD adjusted to 2016 prices]. Forecast using constant 2016 prices and fixed 2016 exchange rates.

- 64 Calculation based on a weighted average by garment workers of real earnings (relative to CPI) across China India Turkey Indonesia and Malaysia Farnings data is supplied by Oxford Economics. (2017). [Raw Materials and Labor Costs] and the number of garment workers per country is supplied by Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Living Wage in Asia. Amsterdam: Clean Clothes 82 Levi Strauss & Co. (2015). The life cycle of a jean: understanding Campaign.
- 65 Calculation based on a continuation of historical growth rates in 83 DyeCoo. (2014). DyeCoo technology used in new Nike factory the primary textile industry as reported by Werner International Management Consultants. (2014). Commentary on Hourly Labor Costs in the Primary Textile Industry 2014, weighted by number of garment workers from Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Living Wage in Asia and adjusted for inflation using MUV index by World Bank. (2017, January 2017). [World Bank commodities Price Forecast (constant US dollars)]
- 66 Both growth projections are in real terms.
- 67 World Bank. (2017, January 2017). [World Bank commodities Price Forecast (constant US dollars)].
- 68 Nominal data from Oxford Economics. (2017). [Raw materials and labor costs]. Adjusted for inflation using MUV index by World cast (constant US dollars)]
- 69 High oil case forecast by U.S. Energy Information Administration. (2017). [Energy Prices by Sector and Source] retrieved March 15. from http://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aeo/data/browser/#/?id=3-AEO2017®ion=1-0&cases=ref2017~highmacro~highprice~highrt&start=2015&end=2050&f=A&linechart= ref2017-d120816a.3-3-AEO2017.1-0~highmacro-d120816a.3-3-AEO2017.1-O~highprice-d120816a.3-3-AEO2017.1-O~highrtd120816a.3-3-AEO2017.1-0~ref2017-d120816a.4-3-AEO2017.1-0~highmacro-d120816a.4-3-AEO2017.1-0~highprice-d120816a.4-3-AEO2017.1-0~highrt-d120816a.4-3-AEO2017.1-0&map=highmacro-d120816a.3-3-AEO2017.1-0&sourcekey=1 weighted by erav index weights.
- 70 Mutuc M Pan S & Hudson D (2011) Response of cotton to oil price shocks. Agricultural Economics Review, 12(2), 40-49.
- See methodology appendix for further details on the estimation of exemplary P&L - including sources and growth assumptions.
- 72 See methodology appendix for further details on the estimation of exemplary P&L – including sources and growth assumptions.
- 73 Nike. (2015). Sustainable business report FY14/15. Beaverton: Nike Inc.
- 74 Kering. (2016). Environmental Profit & Loss (E P&L) 2015 Group Results
- 75 Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2015). Growth within: a circular economy vision for a competitive Europe. Ellen MacArthur Foundation
- 76 Textile Exchange. (2016). Material snapshot: chemically recycled polyester (cRPET). Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://textileexchange.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/TE-Material-Snapshot_ Chemically-Recycled-Polyester.pdf; Oerlikon. (2013). From Melt to Yard. Fibers and Filaments, (16).
- 77 Leonas, K. K. (2017). The use of recycled fibers in fashion and home products. Singapore: Springer Science+Business Media:): Ensait / ECO TLC (2014) Étude des perturbateurs et facilitateurs au recyclage des textiles et linges de maison. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://www.ecotlc.fr/ressources/Documents_site/ Rapport_provisoire_Etude_des_perturbateurs_et_facilitateurs_ au_recyclage_des_textiles_et_linges_de_maison.pdf.
- 78 Leonas, K. K. (2017). The use of recycled fibers in fashion and home products. Singapore: Springer Science+Business Media:): Textile Exchange, (2016), Material Snapshot: Chemically Recycled Polyester (cRPET). Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://textileexchange.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/TE-Material-Snap-

shot Chemically-Recycled-Polyester.pdf: Peterson, A. (2015), Towards recycling of textile fibers: separation and characterization of textile fibers and blends. Chalmers University of Technology; Patagonia. (n.d.). Reordering the Rs. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://eu.patagonia.com/enGB/patagonia.go?assetid=70863.

- 79 Patagonia (n.d.) Reordering the Rs Retrieved April 4 2017 from http://eu.patagonia.com/enGB/patagonia.go?assetid=70863.
- 80 H&M. (2017). The H&M Group Sustainability Report 2016.
- 81 H&M. (2017). The H&M Group Sustainability Report 2016.
- the environmental impact of a pair of Levi's 501 jeans.
- in Taiwan, Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://www.dvecoo.com/ dvecoo-technology-used-in-new-nike-factory-in-taiwan/
- 84 Fashion Revolution. (2016). Fashion Transparency Index 2016, report written in conjunction with Ethical Consumer. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://fashionrevolution.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/FR FashionTransparencyIndex.pdf.
- 85 Fashion Revolution. (2016). Fashion Transparency Index 2016. report written in conjunction with Ethical Consumer Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://fashionrevolution.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/FR_FashionTransparencyIndex.pdf.
- 86 ILO. (2015). Myanmar garment sub-sector value chain analysis. Yangon: International Labour Organization.
- Bank. (2017, January 2017). [World Bank commodities Price Fore- 87 IndustriALL. (2015). Industry bargaining for living wages. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://www.industriall-union.org/industrv-bargaining-for-living-wages.
 - 88 ILO. (2017). Redistributing value added toward labor in apparel supply chains: tackling low wages through purchasing practices. Conditions of Work an Employment Series, (83).
 - 89 H&M. (2017). The H&M Group Sustainability Report 2016.
 - 90 H&M. (2017). The H&M Group Sustainability Report 2016
 - 91 Barnardos. (2015). Once worn. thrice shy British women's wardrobe habits exposed! Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://www. barnardos.org.uk/news/media centre/Once-worn-thrice-shy-8211-British-women8217s-wardrobe-habits-exposed/press releases.htm?ref=105244.
- Oxford Economics. (2017). [Raw Materials and Labor Costs] en- 92 WRAP. (2013). Evaluating the financial viability and resource implications for new business models in the clothing sector. Banbury: Waste and Resources Action Programme
 - 93 WRAP (2016) EU Clothing Survey (ECAP) Wave 1 November 2016 n=4000
 - 94 Beton, A., Dias, D., Farrant, L., Gibon, T., & Le Guern, Y. (2014). Environmental Improvement Potential of Textiles. JRC Scientific and Technical Reports, Seville: European Commission, JRC - IPTS
 - 95 EPA. (2015). Advancing Sustainable Materials Management: Facts and Figures 2013. Washington D.C.: United States Environmental Protection Agency.
 - 96 The Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2014). Towards the Circular Economy Vol. 3: Accelerating the scale-up across global supply chains.
 - 97 Eurostat. (2016). Packaging waste statistics. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Packaging waste statistics.
 - 98 United Nations Commodity Trade Statistics Database (UN Comtrade), based on commodity code 6309 ("Worn clothing and other worn articles.")
 - 99 Primary micro-plastics are directly released into the environment as small particles, whereas secondary micro-plastics largely stem from degradation of larger plastic waste into smaller plastic components after entering the ocean.
 - 100 Boucher, J., & Friot, D. (2017). Primary micro-plastics in the oceans: a global evaluation of sources. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN.
 - 101 CRAiLAR Fiber Technologies International. (2017). Benefits of CRAILAR fiber. Retrieved April 3, 2017, from https://www.crailarfti.com/benefits-2/
 - 102 United Nations, (2015), Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, Retrieved April 4, 2017, from https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/post2015/transforming-

ourworld

- 103 Plannthin, D.-K. (2016). Animal Ethics and Welfare in the Fashion and Lifestyle Industries. In S. S. Muthu & M. A. Gardetti (Eds.) Green Fashion (pp. 49-122). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
- 104 Textile Exchange, (2016), Preferred Fiber Market Report 2016 105 Textile exchange (2016) Preferred Fiber Market Report 2016 106 H&M (2017) The H&M Group Sustainability Report 2016
- 107 Adidas (2016). Adidas unveils world's first performance shoe made from Biosteel® Fiber. Retrieved April 3, 2017, from http:// www.adidas-group.com/en/media/news-archive/press-releases/2016/adidas-unveils-worlds-first-performance-shoe-made-biosteel-fiber/
- 108 Schlomer, S., Bruckner, T., Fulton, L., Hertwich, E., McKinnon, A., Perczyk, D. et al. (2014). Annex III: Technology-specific cost and performance parameters. Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 1329-1356.
- 109 IRENA. (2015). Renewable energy prospects: United States of America REmap 2030 analysis Abu Dhabi IRENA
- 110 IRENA. (2015). Africa 2030: Roadmap for a renewable energy future. Abu Dhabi: IRENA.
- 111 A Ibañez, P., Senra, M. (2013). Energy efficiency and its contribution to energy security and the 2030 Framework for climate and energy policy {SWD(2014), 53(2013), 266-276.
- 112 Organic Cotton. (n.d.). The risks of cotton farming. Retrieved April 3, 2017, from http://www.organiccotton.org/oc/Cotton-general/Impact-of-cotton/Risk-of-cotton-farming.php.
- 113 Better Cotton Initiative Growth and Innovation Fund. (2014). Mainstreaming Better Cotton for Global Sustainable Impact. Retrieved April 3, 2017, from http://bettercotton.org/wp-content/ uploads/2013/12/GIFProspectus_120216_spread_final_7.pdf.
- 114 Cotton made in Africa. (2015). Minimizing the footprint: the environmental balance of Cotton made in Africa. Hamburg.
- 115 Forster, D., Andres, C., Verma, R., Zundel, C., Messmer, M. M., & Mäder, P. (2013). Yield and economic performance of organic and conventional cotton-based farming systems: results from a field trial in India. PLoS ONE, 8(12).
- 116 Bachmann, F. (2012). Potential and limitations of organic and fair trade cotton for improving livelihoods of smallholders: evidence from Central Asia Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems 27(2) 138-147
- 117 Bachmann, F. (2012). Potential and limitations of organic and fair trade cotton for improving livelihoods of smallholders: evidence from Central Asia. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems, 27(2) 138-147
- 118 World Bank. (2014). The Bangladesh Responsible Sourcing Initiative - A New Model for Green Growth. Washington, D.C.
- 119 The Zero Discharge of Hazardous Chemicals Programme (ZDHC). (2016), 2016 Wastewater Guidelines, Retrieved April 3, 2017. from http://www.roadmaptozero.com/fileadmin/content_2016/ ZDHC_Wastewater_Guidelines_Print.pdf.
- 120 Ecofoot. (2013). Technology of H2Color dyes that differentiates it from others. Retrieved April 3, 2017, from http://www.ecofoot.pt/ en/h2color
- 121 Rabobank. (2017). Waterless dyeing. Retrieved April 3, 2017, from https://www.rabobank.com/en/about-rabobank/customer-focus/innovation/start-ups/articles/waterless-dyeing.html.
- 122 OrganoClick. (2017). Water repellent additives for textile and nonwoven. Retrieved April 3, 2017, from http://organoclick.com/ products/performance-textiles-nonwoven/water-repellent-additives/
- 123 NEFFA. (2016). MycoTEX. Retrieved April 3, 2017, from http://neffa.nl/portfolio/mycotex/.
- 124 Pili. (2015). Living Colors. Retrieved April 3, 2017, from http:// www.pili.bio/
- 125 TWOTHIRDS, (n.d.), Sustainability, Retrieved April 3, 2017, from https://twothirds.com/pages/sustainability
- 126 WWD (2017). Amazon Prepares for On-Demand Fashion Produc-

- tion

138 Clean Clothes Campaign. (2014). Living wage in Asia. Amsterdam: Asia Floor Wage Alliance/Clean Clothes Campaign.

tion With Patent. Retrieved April 17, 2017, from http://wwd.com/ business-news/technology/amazon-going-deeper-into-fashion-with-new-on-demand-manufacturing-patent-10869520/

127 Ahuja, S. (2015). What Stitch Fix Figured Out About Mass Customization. Harvard Business Review. Retrieved from https://hbr. org/2015/05/what-stitchfix-figured-out-about-mass-customiza-

128 Calculation based on ILO. (2016). ASEAN in Transformation - Textiles, Clothing and Footwear: Refashioning the Future. Geneva: Bureau for Employers' Activities (ACT/EMP), Working Paper No

129 ILO. (2016). ASEAN in Transformation - Textiles, Clothing and Footwear: Refashioning the Future. Geneva: Bureau for Employers' Activities (ACT/EMP), Working Paper No 14.

130 TamiCare. (2016). Cosyflex introduces a new era in fabrics and a whole new world of opportunities for product developers. Retrieved April 3, 2017, from http://www.tamicare.com/cosyflex.

131 UNMADE. (n.d.). About us. Retrieved April 3, 2017, from http:// www.unmade.com/about-us/

132 Kniterate. (2017). Knitting machines made for everyone. Retrieved April 3 2017 from http://www.kpiterate.com/index.php/ about/

133 OECD. (2017). Session notes: roundtable on due diligence in the garment and footwear sector. Retrieved April 6, 2017, from https://mneguidelines.oecd.org/2017-Roundtable-Textiles-Session-Notes.pdf.

134 OECD. (2017). Session notes: roundtable on due diligence in the garment and footwear sector. Retrieved April 6, 2017, from https://mneguidelines.oecd.org/2017-Roundtable-Textiles-Session-Notes.pdf.

135 OECD. (2017). Session notes: roundtable on due diligence in the garment and footwear sector. Retrieved April 6, 2017, from https://mneguidelines.oecd.org/2017-Roundtable-Textiles-Session-Notes.pdf.

136 The authors of this report do not recommend 120% min. wage as representative of a living wage; level of 120% min. wage taken to show general insufficiency of min. wage level to make a living; further the taken threshold is advantageous due to data availability in ILO reports on min. wage compliance (Huynh, P., & Cowgill, M. (2016). Weak minimum wage compliance in Asia's garment industry. ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. (Asia-Pacific Garment and Footwear Sector Research Note. Based on the projections introduced in Chapter 1 concerning labor practices

137 A true living wage, allowing a worker to support him/herself and two additional 'consumption units, i.e. for instance a partner and two kids, is oftentimes twice as high as minimum wages in the garment sector in developing nations, as brought forward for instance by the Clean Clothes Campaign.

139 GFA and BCG used data presented in the reports from the two previous endnotes (Clean Clothes Campaign and ILO) to estimate that while it would cost not even €2bn per year to bring all Indian garment workers to 120% of the minimum wage, bringing them to a level with which they can support themselves and their dependents would cost more than €9bn yearly. Still, for a €25 garment of which the workers today receive around €1.40, increasing pay to a living wage would only mean an additional €1.34—in theory. Because due to current markup structures (see later in text), this would result in a €6.30 final equaling an over 25% price increase which could have been a 5% increase without current markup structures.

140 Calculations based on Huynh, P. (2015). Employment, wages and working conditions in Asia's garment sector: finding new drivers of competitiveness. ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. (ILO Asia-Pacific working paper series).

141 Huynh, P. (2016). Gender pay gaps persist in Asia's garment and footwear sector. ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific.

(Asia-Pacific Garment and Footwear Sector Research Note, [4]).

142 H&M (2017). The H&M Group Sustainability Report 2016.

- 143 Nike. (2015). Sustainable business report FY14/15. Beaverton: Nike Inc.
- 144 Nike. (2015). Sustainable business report FY14/15. Beaverton: Nike Inc.
- 145 Adidas. (2015). Adidas Group Sustainability Progress Report 2015. Herzogenaurach: Adidas AG
- 146 Better Work (2016). Women & the Garment Industry in 2015: A Snapshot from Better Work.
- 147 Better Work (2016). Women & the Garment Industry in 2015: A Snapshot from Better Work.
- 148 OCED (2017). OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains in the Garment and Footwear Sector.
- 149 The United Nations. (2017). Sustainable Development Goals -17 Goals to Transform Our World. Retrieved April 7, 2017, from http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/
- 150 OCED (2017). OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains in the Garment and Footwear Sector.
- 151 International Labour Organization. (2008). The Labour Principles of the United Nations Global Compact - A Guide for Business. Geneva: ILO.
- 152 H&M. (2017). The H&M Group Sustainability Report 2016.
- 153 Provenance. (2017). Technology. Retrieved April 3, 2017, from https://www.provenance.org/technology.
- 154 Patagonia. (n.d.). Worn Wear. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http:// www.patagonia.com/worn-wear.html.
- 155 Eileen Fisher. (2017). Repair and care: mend, tend, wash. Our tips for the long haul. Retrieved April 5, from http://www.eileenfisher.com/repair-and-care/repair-and-care-overview/?____ store=en&___from_store=default.
- 156 Ernst & Young. (2013). Report on company feedback from the French national environmental labeling pilot. Retrieved January 27, 2017, from http://www.developpement-durable.gouv.fr/img/ pdf/synthserapportEYV2_EN_3.pdf.
- 157 Kering. (2014). Environmental Profit & Loss (E P&L) Methodology and 2013 Group Results.
- 158 Rent the Runway. (2017). Want an unlimited wardrobe? Retrieved April 4, 2017, from https://www.renttherunway.com/unlimited.
- 159 WRAP (2016). EU Clothing Survey (ECAP) Wave 1 November 2016. Banbury: The Waste and Resources Action Programme; n=4000.
- 160 BCG. (2016). Investors care more about sustainability than many executives believe. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from https://www. bcgperspectives.com/content/articles/sustainability-strategy-investors-care-more-about-sustainability-than-many-executives-believe/.
- 161 BCG. (2016). Investors care more about sustainability than many executives believe. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from https://www. bcgperspectives.com/content/articles/sustainability-strategy-investors-care-more-about-sustainability-than-many-executives-believe/.
- 162 BCG. (2016). Investors care more about sustainability than many executives believe. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from https://www. bcgperspectives.com/content/articles/sustainability-strategy-investors-care-more-about-sustainability-than-many-executives-believe/.
- 163 Sood, N., De Vries, H., Gutierrez, I., Lakdawalla, D., & Goldman, D. (2009). The effect of regulation on pharmaceutical revenues: experience in nineteen countries. Health Affairs (Project Hope), 28(1),
- 164 IMF (2016). After Paris: fiscal, macroeconomic, and financial implications of climate change. IMF Staff Discussion Note. Retrieved April 4, 2017, from http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/ sdn/2016/sdn1601.pdf.
- 165 BCG 2016 Consumer Sentiment Series, US respondents, n=4518; Millennials defined as born 1982–1996.



PAGE 121

APPENDICES

R

PAGE 131 Environmental footprint projection

Forecasting the P&L of an Exemplary Fashion Brand

FORECASTING THE P&L OF AN EXEMPLARY **FASHION BRAND**

Exhibit A1.)



PULSE OF THE FASHION INDUSTRY



The profitability at risk for businesses is based on a projection of the profit-and-loss statement of an exemplary fashion brand. The P&L is projected for a base case that assumes conservative growth and for a high case that assumes high costs for energy, wages, and water.

Total revenues of the exemplary brand are projected to grow at the same rate as the retail value of the total apparel and footwear market, estimated at a real rate of 2% per annum between 2015 and 2030^1 . (See

- Low Case Base Case -0-High Case CAGR 2016-2030 Low Rase Hiah



Retail value growth is driven by well-performing lead markets such as China and the US as well as rapidly growing emerging markets such as India

Moreover, 'slow fashion' and quality focus could slow down in marginal terms the price decline by item .

However, while the overall retail value for apparel and footwear is projected to grow, the retail volume growth is projected to outpace it. As a consequence, the margins of fashion brands will come under pressure as average per item prices continue to fall. (See Exhibit A2.) On a more positive note, the decline in average per item prices appears to be slowing downbe slowing down.

Exhibit A2 Fashion Brands will be Confronted with Continuously Falling Average per Item Prices

	ltems per capita	Spend per capita (€¹)	Avg. price per item (€¹)
2030	20.9	235.1	11.2
2025	19.0	222.0	11.7
2020	17.4	210.6	12.1
2015	16.0	201.1	12.6
2010	14.1	197.4	14.0
2005	11.5	205.2	17.8

¹ Apparel and footwear market show using historic constant 2016 prices. forecast constant 2016 prices and historic fixed 2016 exchange rates. forecast fixed 2016 exchange rates Source: Euromonitor; Economist Intelligence Unit; Mintel; United Nations; World Bank; BCG Applyric









Gross Profit 50%



Exhibit A3 provides a detailed overview of the results of the base case projection, including margin assumptions and the magnitude of the individual line items, as well as the CAGRs for the entire period.

Exemplary P&L (€ million)

Drojoctod

	2015	2030	CAGR ¹
	10,000	13,522	2.0%
	916	1,620	3.9%
gement) ——	228	399	3.9%
	256	341	2.0%
	1,400	2,360	3.5%
	2,059	2,542	1.4%
	435	552	1.6%
	319	438	2.1%
	87	118	2.0%
	2,900	3,649	1.5%
	300	419	2.3%
	400	559	2.3%
	5,000	6,535	1.8%
st	1,280	1,736	2.0%
	1,178	1,823	3.0%
	1,241	1,678	2.0%
	3,700	5,238	2.3%
	100	135	2.0%
	1,200	1,162	∆ = -3.4 ppts

124

The assumptions are based on forecasts from a number of reputable sources as well as BCG triangulations. Exhibit A4 outlines the exact sources used to project the growth by cost buckets and line items as well as the major ingoing assumptions. Line items that are not specifically mentioned in the exhibit are assumed to grow in proportion with total revenues.

While the base case projection is built on realistic and conservative estimates, GFA and BCG also calculated the impact on the P&L in the case of high energy, high labor, and high water growth scenarios. Exhibit A5 provides a detailed overview over the high case results, including margin assumptions and the magnitude of the individual line items as well as the CAGRs for the entire period.

Exhibit A4 A Number of Sources Contribute to the Estimation and Forecast of the Exemplary P&L - The Base Case

P&L topic	Source	Description	Comment on application	
Company Data	CapitallQ	Financials for selected samplecompanies	Major P&L line items and margins averaged across sample	
Cost of	ILO (2017)	Cost breakdown for jeans produced in China, Bangladesh and Cambodia as well as poloshirt and technical t-shirt		
Goods Sold	BCG	BCG estimates for the overall COGS breakdown across countries for an apparel company	Average across all cases with equal weights	
Draduction Cost	Oxford Economics (2017)	Forecast: Real earnings (relative to CPI) for China, India, Turkey, Indonesia and Malaysia	Earnings are not specific to the textile industry. Assump- tion that the growth rate for earnings in the textile industry	
Production Cost	Clean Clothes Campaign (2014)	Number of garment workers for China, India, Turkey, Indonesia and Malaysia	mirrors the growth across industries in the given country Earnings are weighted by the number of garment workers in the given country	
	World Bank (2017)	Forecast (real values): Cotton A Index	Used without changes	
		Forecast (real values): Aluminum, iron, copper, zinc	Averaged with Oxford economics forecast. Input factor for metals proxy for accessories	
Material Cost	Oxford Economics (2017)	Forecast (nominal values): Aluminum, iron, copper, zinc	Averaged with World bank forecast. Input factor for metals proxy for accessories. Deflated by MUV index used by World Bank	
		Forecast: Oil prices (real values)	Key input factor for polyester proxy. Oil prices are assumed to drive 50% of polyester price.	
		Forecast: World energy prices (nominal values)	Deflated by MUV index used by World Bank. The energy mix is estimated to be 50% coal, 25% oil and 25% gas	
Selling, General and	Oxford Economics (2017)	Forecast: Real earnings (relative to CPI) for UK, France, Germany, US, Japan, S. Korea, China, Brazil, Argentina	Earnings are not specific to the textile industry. Assump- tion that the growth rate for earnings in retail sales mirrors	
Administrative Expenses	UN (2015)		Earnings are weighted by the population in the given country country	







Gross Profit 50%

Exemplary P&L (€ million)

Drojected

	2015	2030	CAGR ¹
	10,000	13,522	2.0%
	916	1,799	4.6%
gement) —	228	443	4.6%
	256	349	2.2%
	1,400	2,591	4.2%
	2,059	3,739	4.1%
	435	700	3.2%
		456	2.4%
	87	118	2.0%
	2,900	5,012	3.7%
	300	530	3.9%
	400	707	3.9%
	5,000	4,681	-0.4%
t	1,280	1,793	2.3%
	1,178	1,823	3.0%
	1,241	1,678	2.0%
	3,700	5,295	2.4%
	100	135	2.0%
	1,200	-749	∆ = -17.5 ppts

126

Exhibit A6 outlines the sources used to project the high cases for each of the chosen high case cost areas. The high energy price and high wage cases are based on year-by-year high case growth rates, modeling the case of high oil prices and the case of continued historical growth in labor cost in the primary textile industry. The high water price case is built on the assumption that in the future, the negative externalities of water to the world economy may be factored into the cotton price, increasing its cost to the exemplary company. This price increase may be the result of regulators introducing a water tax similar to carbon taxes common today in many markets. To make the effect of the high cases on the various line items transparent, Exhibit A7 outlines the effect of each case on each line item that is influenced by that cost. The comments outline the share of the cost factors in each line item. Further, the last two columns to the right show the total growth of the line item in the base and high cases, highlighting the magnitude of the high cost cases. For instance, the total fabric cost between 2015 and 2030 increases 65% in the high case, up from 23% in the base case. The impact of the high case on the exemplary line item is twofold. First, there is a direct impact on the 9% of fabric cost directly attributed to energy (for example, through the use of spinning machines). Second, there is an indirect impact on the 55% of fabric cost attributed to polyester, as the polyester price closely follows the price of oil.

Exhibit A7

The High Case Impacts A Number of Line Items on the Exemplary P&L

High Case	Line item impacted	Cost Contribution of Impact Factor	Growth: Base case	Growth: High case
	Factory running cost	Energy contributes 6.1% of the factory running costs, so the effect of the high energy cost has a small impact on this line item	36%	39%
	Fabric cost	The high energy case impacts the fabric cost is twofold. First, there is an impact on the 9% of cost contributed to energy. Second, there is a large effect through oil on the 55% of costs attributed to the polyester proxy	23%	65%
Energy	Accessories	The high energy case impacts the accessory cost is twofold. First, there is an impact on the 9% of cost contributed to energy. Second, there is a large effect through oil on the 43% of costs attributed to the polyester proxy	27%	60%
	Print / embroidery	Energy contributes 9% of the print/embroidery costs, so the effect of the high energy cost has a small impact on this line item	37%	42%
	Occupancy cost	Energy contributes 9% of the occupancy costs, so the effect of the high energy cost has a small impact on this line item	36%	40%
	Direct labor cost	The high wage case has a large impact on the direct labor cost, which contributes 65% of the production cost	78%	98%
Wages	Indirect labor cost (incl. management)	The high wage case has a large impact on the indirect labor cost, which contributes 16% of the production cost	78%	98%
wages	Fabric cost	Labor contributes 5% of the fabric costs, so the effect of high wages has a small impact on this line item	23%	24%
	Accessories	Labor contributes 5% of the accessories costs, so the effect of high wages has a small impact on this line item	27%	28%
Water	Fabric cost	Pricing in the negative externalities of water through its cost to soci- ety, directly impacts the P&L through the high water usage in cotton production. Cotton production contributes 31% of fabric cost and, thus, increasing water cost in cotton production has an impact on the cost of raw materials.	23%	36%

Exhibit A6 A Number of Sources Contribute to the Estimation and Forecast of the Exemplary P&L - The High Case

P&L topic	Source	Description	Comment on application
Energy	U.S. Department of Energy: U.S. Energy Information Adminis- tration (2017)	Forecast (real values): Industrial prices for distillate fuel oil, residual fuel oil, natural gas, metallurgical coal and other industrial coal	Following Oxford Economics in the base case, the energy mix is estimated to be 50% coal, 25% oil and 25% gas. Oil is defined as the average between distillate fuel oil and residual fuel oil. Coal is defined as the average between metallurgical coal and other industrial oil.
Wages	Werner international Management Consultants (2014)	Percentage change in hourly wages in USD between 2000 and 2014	The high wage case is based on a continuation of historical growth of wages in primary textile industry
	World Bank (2017)	MUV inflation index: Unit value index of manufacture exports in US dollar terms for fifteen countries	The average MUV inflation index over the period 2015-2030 is used to adjust forecasted growth for inflation
	Clean Clothes Campaign (2014)	Number of garment workers for China, India, Turkey, Indonesia and Malaysia	Forecasted growth rates are weighted by the number of garment workers in the given country
Water	EDIPTEX (2007)	Average weight of a t-shirt	The average weight of a t-shirt is assumed to be 250 grams
	BCG (2017)	Water usage in production of 1 kg of cotton	BCB triangulation based on WRAP (2012), Levi Strauss & Co (2015), Velden et. al. (2014), Cotton incoporated (2012) and Skog + Landskap (2014)
	PUMA (2011)	Cost to society of water	Pricing the negative externality water is based on the cost to society as measured by PUMA in the company's E-P&L
	Clean Clothes Campaign (2014)	Average cost of materials in a t-shirt	Triangulation of the average cost of materials in a t-shirt
	O'Rouke		
	ILO (2017)		

Absolute growth 2015-2030

In summary, in the high case, the EBIT-margin has 17.5 percentage points at risk until 2030. The increase from the base case of 14.1 percentage points at risk is contributed by a 9.1 percentage point increase from energy, a 2.1 percentage point increase from wages and a 2.2 percentage point increase from water. The remaining 0.8 percentage points stem from an amplification effect when combining all three high cases. (See Exhibit A8.)

While it is unlikely that the full high case will become reality in the near future, it is not difficult to imagine that a number of input factors will become more expensive as the supply of natural resources becomes increasingly scarce and the cost of labor grows as workers are paid fairer wages.



The high case places an additional 14.1 ppts. at risk with the



...and energy impacting the largest number of line items

Source: BCG analysis

The projected environmental footprint in 2015 for each impact area builds on the following analysis.

- 1. Triangulation of the fiber mix in 2015 and the projected fiber mix in 2030 if we continue business as usual
- by fiber type
- ing the footprint

1: Triangulation of the Fiber Mix in 2015 and the Projected Fiber Mix in 2030 If We Continue Business as Usual

made fibers), and wool.

Through this triangulation, the fiber mix in 2015 is estimated to be 64% synthetics/polyester, 28.5% cotton, 6% viscose/cellulosic fibers, and 1.5% wool. In general across sources and research, the existing forecasts of the shares of wool and viscose are stable across sources, while the approximated split between cotton and polyester tend to vary more with a range of 25% to 38% for cotton and 55% to 69% for polyester.

and 2030.

By applying the expected growth rates to the triangulated fiber mix in 2015, the fiber mix in 2030 is projected to be composed of 68% synthetics/polyester, 22.5% cotton, 8.5% viscose/cellulosic fibers, and 1% wool.

by Fiber Type

To reflect the differing environmental footprints across fiber types, the footprint is calculated for each impact area for each of the four major fiber types (synthetics/polyester, cotton, viscose/cellulosic fibers, and wool). The analysis considers the entire value chain from cradle to grave, reflecting the full impact of a given fiber.



ENVIRONMENTAL FOOTPRINT PROJECTION

2. Estimation of the environmental footprint from cradle to grave

3. Estimation of the total consumption in millions of tons and scal-

In this section, the three steps are examined in more detail.

The overall fiber mix for the fashion industry is based on a triangulation of, among others, Textile Exchange (2016), Lenzing (2016), Dibdiakova and Timmermann (2014), and CIRFS (2017)^{2,3,4,5}. The fibers were allocated to the following four categories: synthetics/polyester (including other synthetic manmade fibers), cotton, viscose (including other cellulosic man-

Suggested growth rates for 2015-2020 are 3% to 4% for synthetics/ polyester, 1% to 2% for cotton, and 5% to 6% for viscose/cellulosic fibers^{6,7}. It is assumed that, as a natural fiber, wool will grow at the same rate as cotton. Further, growth rates are assumed to remain stable between 2020

2: Estimation of the Environmental Footprint from Cradle to Grave

The final footprint for each fiber type throughout the value chain is based on a BCG triangulation of, among others, WRAP (2012), van der Velden et al. (2013), and Kirchain et al. (2015)^{8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15}.

Uncertainties are inherent in any type of LCA analysis for the fashion industry. As also emphasized by *Thinkstep*, there are usually large variations in LCA data. For instance, the results for almost all environmental

impact categories can vary as much as 60% for cotton at the gin gate, where the cotton is dried, cleaned, and compressed into bales. Further, for both synthetic and cotton fibers in the fabric production phase (from fiber to fabric), the results show a deviation of more than 50^{16} . Additionally, the magnitude of impact of the use phase may be overstated in research, leading to lack of focus on other areas of the value chain. Contrary to this effect, impacts of indirect activities in other phases of the value chain may be overlooked or understated, which again can overemphasize the use phase¹⁷.

3: Estimation of Total Consumption in Millions of Tons and Scaling the Footprint

As a final step, the consumption of apparel and footwear in 2015 and 2030 in millions of tons is estimated. The approximation builds on a BCG triangulation of bottom-up and top-down analyses. The bottom-up methodology is based on projected consumption of apparel and footwear per capita split by advanced and developing economies^{18,19,20}. The projections are subsequently scaled by population projections²¹. The top-down methodology is based on a BCG triangulation of growth forecasts of retail volume in number of items²² and estimates of the number of items per kg of apparel and footwear.

The result of triangulation across sources and methodologies is consumption of 62 million tons in 2015 and 102 million tons in 2030, corresponding to total growth of 63% and a CAGR of 3%.

In a final step of the analysis the environmental impact by fiber type is weighted with the projected fiber mix shares to then scale the weighted footprints by total consumption in millions of tons.

ENDNOTES

- 1 BCG Retail value projection 2015-2030 based on: Euromonitor 20 Greenpeace (2016). Timeout for fast fashion. International. (2017, January 18), [Apparel and Footwear 2017]; 21 United Nations (2015). Probabilistic population projections based The Economist Intelligence Unit. (2017). [Footwear: Market demand (% real change pa) and Clothing: Market demand (% real change pa)]; Mintel Group Limited. (2017). [Footwear: Retail mar- 22 BCG projection of the retail volume of apparel and footwear ket value in USD adjusted to 2016 prices] Forecast using constant 2016 prices and fixed 2016 exchange rates.
- 2 Dibdiakova, J., & Timmermann, V. (2014). Life cycle assessment on cotton and viscose fibres for textile production. Norwegian Forest and Landscape Institute
- 3 CIRFS (European Man-Made Fibres Association). (2017). World man-made fibres production. Retrieved April 7, 2017, from http:// www.cirfs.org/keystatistics/worldmanmadefibresproduction. aspx
- 4 Textile Exchange. (2016). Preferred Fiber Market Report 2016.
- 5 Lenzing. (2016). Leading Fiber Innovation Lenzing Investor Presentation - Full Year Results 2015.
- 6 Textile Exchange. (2016). Preferred Fiber Market Report 2016.
- 7 Lenzing. (2016). Leading Fiber Innovation Lenzing Investor Presentation - Full Year Results 2015
- 8 WRAP. (2012). Valuing Our Clothes: The True Cost Of How We Design, Use And Dispose Of Clothing In The UK.
- 9 Levi Strauss & Co. (2015). The life cycle of a jean: understanding the environmental impact of a pair of Levi's 501 ieans.
- 10 Velden, N. M., Patel, M. K., & Vogtländer, J. G. (2013). LCA benchmarking study on textiles made of cotton, polyester, nylon, acryl, or elastane. The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 19(2), 331-356.
- 11 Cotton Incorporated. (2012). Life Cycle Assessment of Cotton Fiber and Fabric - Full Report.
- 12 Textile Exchange. (2014). The Life Cycle Assessment of Organic Cotton Fiber - A Global Average
- 13 Dibdiakova, J., & Timmermann, V. (2014). Life cycle assessment on cotton and viscose fibres for textile production. Norwegian Forest and Landscape Institute.
- 14 Kirchain, R., Olivetti, E., Miller, T., & Greene, S. (2015). Sustainable apparel materials; an overview of what we know and what could be done about the impact of four major apparel materials: cotton, polyester, leather, & rubber. Materials Systems Laboratory - Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA.
- 15 Laursen, S. E., Hansen, J., Knudsen, H., Wenzel, H., Larsen, H. F., & Kristensen, F. M. (2007). EDIPTEX - Environmental assessment of textiles. The Danish Environmental Protection Agency (Miljøstyrelsen)
- 16 Thinkstep. (2017). Hot Spots in the Fiber Mix. Information made available to BCG by Thinkstep.
- 17 Cullen, J. M., & Allwood, J. M. (2009). The role of washing machines in life cycle assessment studies. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 13(1), 27-37.
- 18 Lenzing. (2016). Leading Fiber Innovation Lenzing Investor Presentation - Full Year Results 2015.
- 19 Textile World. (2015, February 03). Man-Made Fibers Continue To Grow, Retrieved April 7, 2017, from http://www.textileworld.com/ textile-world/fiber-world/2015/02/man-made-fibers-continueto-grow/

on the world population prospects: The 2015 revision. Population Division, DESA.

2015-2030 based on Euromonitor International. (2017). [Apparel and Footwear 2017]; The Economist Intelligence Unit. (2017). [Footwear: Market demand (% real change pa) and Clothing: market demand (% real change pa)]; Mintel Group Limited. (2017). [Footwear: Retail market value in USD adjusted to 2016 prices].

AUTHORS

JONAS EDER-HANSEN CHIEF CONTENT OFFICER GLOBAL FASHION AGENDA

CAROLINE CHALMER CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER GLOBAL FASHION AGENDA

SOFIA TÄRNEBERG CONTENT MANAGER GLOBAL FASHION AGENDA

THOMAS TOCHTERMANN CHAIRMAN GLOBAL FASHION AGENDA

JAVIER SEARA PARTNER, GLOBAL LEAD FASHION SECTOR THE BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP

SEBASTIAN BOGER PRINCIPAL THE BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP

GABRIELE THEELEN PROJECT LEADER THE BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP

SEBASTIAN SCHWARZ CONSULTANT THE BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP

LISE KRISTENSEN CONSULTANT THE BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP

KRISTINA JÄGER CONSULTANT THE BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP
GET IN TOUCH

FOR A WORLD BEYOND NEXT SEASON

BCCG THE BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP

THE BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP, INC. ONE BEACON STREET BOSTON, MA 02108 USA

WWW.BCG.COM

JAVIER SEARA SEARA.JAVIER@BCG.COM

SEBASTIAN BOGER BOGER.SEBASTIAN@BCG.COM



GLOBAL FASHION AGENDA FREDERIKSHOLMS KANAL 30-C 1220 COPENHAGEN K DENMARK

WWW.COPENHAGENFASHIONSUMMIT.COM

JONAS EDER-HANSEN JONAS@GLOBALFASHIONAGENDA.COM

CAROLINE CHALMER CAROLINE@GLOBALFASHIONAGENDA.COM

